		1
1		_
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	X	
4	CONGREGATION RABBINICAL COLLEGE OF TARTIKOV, INC., RABBI MORDECHAI	
5	BABAD, RABBI WOLF BRIEF, RABBI HERMEN KAHANA, RABBI MEIR MARGULIS,	
6	RABBI GERGELY NEUMAN, RABBI MEILECH MENCZER, RABBI JACOB HERSHKOWITZ,	
7	RABBI CHAIM ROSENBERG, RABBI DAVID A. MENCZER, and RABBI ARYEH ROYDE,	
8	Plaintiffs, 07-CV8304 -against- (KMK)	
9	VILLAGE OF POMONA, NY; BOARD OF	
10	TRUSTEES OF THE VÍLLAGE OF POMONA, NY; NICHOLAS SANDERSON AS MAYOR;	
11	IAN BANKS as Trustee and in his official capacity, ALMA SANDERS	
12	ROMAN as Trustee and in her official capacity, RITA LOUIE as Trustee and	
13	in her official capacity, and BRETT YAGEL, as Trustee and in his official	
14	capacity, Defendants.	
15	X	
16	June 16, 2014	
17	10:33 a.m.	
18	EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL of	
19	the Defendant, RITA LOUIE, taken pursuant to Notice, held at the offices of Savad	
20	Churgin, 55 Old Turnpike Road, Nanuet, New York, before a Notary Public within and for	
21	the State of New York.	
22	* * *	
23	SANDY SAUNDERS REPORTING	
24	254 South Main Street, Suite 216 New City, New York 10956	
25	(845) 634-7561	

2	FinalRitaLouie.txt APPEARANCES:	
	APPEARANCES.	
3		
4	SAVAD CHURGIN	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 55 Old Nyack Turnpike	
6	Suite 209 Nanuet, New York 10954	
7	BY: DONNA C. SOBEL, ESQ.	
8	JOHN G. STEPANOVICH, ESQ.	
9	ROBINSON & COLE, LLP	
10	Attorneys for Defendants 1055 Washington Boulevard	
11	9th Floor Stamford, Connecticut 06901	
12	BY: ANDREA DONOVAN NAPP, ESQ.	
13		
14	DORIS F. ULMAN, ESQ.	
15	Attorney for The Village of Pomona 134 Camp Hill Road	
16	Pomona, New York 10970	
17		
18	* * *	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
1		3
1		
2		
3		
4	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED	
5	by and between the attorneys for the	
	Page 2	

6	FinalRitaLouie.txt respective parties hereto that filing and
7	sealing are hereby waived.
8	
9	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
10	that all objections, except as to the
11	form of the question, shall be reserved
12	to the time of the trial.
13	
14	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
15	that the within examination may be signed
16	and sworn to before any Notary Public
17	with the same force and effect as though
18	signed and sworn to before this Court.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	- Rita Louie -
2	(At the request of Mr. Stepanovich the
3	following document was marked.)
4	(Whereupon, Notice of Deposition was
5	marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 260 for
6	identification.)
7	RITA LOUIE, a Defendant herein, having
8	been first duly sworn by Gale Salit, a
9	Notary Public of the State of New York, was

FinalRitaLouie.txt examined and testified as follows: 10 11 THE REPORTER: May I have your full 12 name, please? 13 THE WITNESS: Rita J. Louie. 14 THE REPORTER: May I have your 15 address? 16 THE WITNESS: 1 Secor Court, Pomona, 17 New York 10970. **EXAMINATION BY** 18 MR. STEPANOVICH: 19 20 Good morning, my name is John Q Stepanovich. This is Donna Sobel. And we're two 21 22 of the lawyers for the Rabbinical College of 23 Tartikov and the other plaintiffs in this lawsuit. 24 25 I apologize for my voice and I'm 5 - Rita Louie -1 2 losing it, so I'm hoping that I'll make it 3 through this. And if you don't understand my question or you can't hear me, please let me know 4 and I'll do the very best I can to make myself 5 6 heard. 7 Α okay. 8 Have you ever given a deposition Q before? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 Then you understand the importance of 12 giving an audible answer as opposed to a nod of 13 the head, right?

FinalRitaLouie.txt 14 Yes. Α 15 If you want to take a break at any Q time, please let me know and we'll accommodate 16 17 you as long as there's not a question pending. 18 Once the answer is given, then we'll allow you to 19 take a break, whatever you need. Okay? 20 Α Okav. 21 I'm handing you what's been marked as Q 22 Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 260, and ask if you can 23 identify that? 24 Α Yes. 25 What is that? Q 6 - Rita Louie -1 2 This is the -- it's the notice of the Α 3 case. Is this the notice of deposition that 4 Q 5 you received in order to come to your deposition today? 6 7 Yes, it is. Α What did you do to prepare for your 8 Q deposition? 9 10 Α I had one meeting with the attorneys, 11 with my attorney. Who was that? 12 Q Doris Ulman and --13 Α 14 MS. NAPP: John Peloso. 15 John Peloso. Α 16 Did you discuss your deposition with Q 17 anybody besides those two attorneys?

18	FinalRitaLouie.txt A No.	
19	Q Did you accumulate any documents for	
20	your deposition?	
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
22	Q Did you turn over any documents to	
23	your lawyers before this deposition?	
24	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
25	Q You can answer if you understand it.	
1	7 - Rita Louie -	
1 2		
3	A My lawyers had all of my access to all my documents.	
4	Q How did they have access to your	
5	documents, what do you mean by that?	
6	A In preparation during discovery I had	
7	some conversations with Andrea regarding access	
8	to emails, any village documents I had on my	
9	in my possession.	
10	Q So then the documents that were in	
11	your possession and relevant to this case were	
12	turned over to your lawyers sometime ago?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q When was that?	
15	A Within the last year.	
16	Q You have any idea when?	
17	A Not exactly, no.	
18	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to	
19	be marked.)	
20	(Whereupon, Defendants' Supplemental	
21	Responses To Plaintiffs' First Interrogatory	
	Page 6	

22	was mai	FinalRitaLouie.txt rked Plaintiff's Exhibit 261 for
23		
		fication.)
24	Q	I'm handing you, Miss Louie, what's
25	been marked	d as Plaintiff's Exhibit 261, and ask
1		8 - Rita Louie -
2	if you've	ever seen that before? Specifically I
3	turn your a	attention to Page 2. Under your name
4	Rita Louie	there's some answers there and that's
5	basically v	what I would like you to review. Of
6	course, you	u can review the whole document but
7	that's what	t I'm going to ask you some questions
8	on.	
9	Α	Okay. (Perusing document.) I don't
10	remember e	ver seeing this particular document.
11	Q	All right. Well, let me ask you some
12	questions	then.
13		You have an email account; is that
14	right?	
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	what is your email address?
17	Α	Rjlouie@optonline.net.
18	Q	How long have you had that email
19	address?	
20	Α	Many years.
21	Q	The last ten years?
22	Α	I would say seven years.
23	Q	Did you have an email address prior to
24	that?	
25	Α	Yes.

			_
1		- Rita Louie -	9
2	Q	What was that?	
3	Α	Rjldevelopment2@aol.com.	
4	Q	What period of time would you have had	t
5	that email	, rjdevelopment2@aol.com?	
6	Α	The late 1990s through early 2000s.	Ε
7	don't know	specifically.	
8	Q	Once you got this email,	
9	rjlouie@op	tonline.com did you use the other	
10	email, the	rjldevelopment?	
11	Α	No.	
12	Q	Besides those two email accounts, did	
13	you ever ha	ave any other email accounts?	
14	Α	I do have an email account associated	
15	with the v	illage, rita.louie@pomonavillage.com.	
16	Q	Do you use that email associated with	
17	the village	e?	
18	Α	Only for village business.	
19	Q	Do you have access to that email at	
20	home or do	you have to use that email at village	
21	hall?		
22	Α	I have access to it at home.	
23	Q	The documents that you turned over to	
24	your counse	el that's related to this lawsuit, how	
25	did you do	that, was that hard copies or	
1		- Rita Louie -	10
-		KICA LOUIC	

All electronic. I have no hard copy Page 8

2

Α

3	documents	related to this case in my possession.	
4	Q	So electronically what do you mean,	
5	did you pu	t that on a disk or how did you get it	
6	to your co	unsel?	
7	Α	I gave counsel access to all my email	
8	accounts.		
9	Q	Then was it counsel that retrieved	
10	relevant e	mails and documents off of those	
11	accounts?		
12	Α	I don't know. I believe so.	
13	Q	Just so I understand the process.	
14	Your couns	el had access to all your email	
15	accounts a	nd they're the ones that went through	
16	your docum	ents to see if there was relevant	
17	informatio	n to this lawsuit; is that your	
18	testimony?		
19		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
20	Α	I have no idea what they did with the	
21	access to	my email accounts.	
22	Q	You didn't pick out documents that	
23	were respo	nsive to this lawsuit, did you?	
24	Α	No.	
25		MS. NAPP: Object to the form. You	
1		- Rita Louie -	11
2	can an		
3	Q Can an	Your lawyer did that, right?	
4	Ų	MS. NAPP: If you know, you can	
5	ancwan		
6	answer	I don't know. You'd have to ask my	
U	Α	Page 9	

7	lawyer.
8	Q Were you advised at all by anyone at
9	village hall to retain documents related to this
10	lawsuit?
11	A Yes.
12	Q How did you receive that advice?
13	A I don't recall specifically, but it
14	might have been via email.
15	Q Who would that have come from?
16	A That would have come from the mayor.
17	Q Mr. Sanderson?
18	A Mr. Sanderson, yes.
19	Q Do you recall when that happened?
20	A No.
21	Q Do you have social media accounts?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Could you tell me about those?
24	A I have a Facebook page. And I have a
25	Twitter account.

12 - Rita Louie -1 2 Q How long have you had those? Less than five years. 3 MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 5 be marked.) (Whereupon, Defendant Louie's 6 Supplemental Responses To Plaintiffs' Second 8 Set Of Interrogatories was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 262 for identification.) 9 10 On your email accounts -- is it Ms. or Q Page 10

11	Mrs., is Miss okay?	
12	A You can call me Rita. Mrs. Louie.	
13	Q Mrs. Louie. Thank you.	
14	A Yeah.	
15	Q On your email accounts did you delete	
16	any of your emails that were relevant to this	
17	lawsuit?	
18	A Not that I know of.	
19	Q Did you have any kind of automatic	
20	process or program on your emails that would have	
21	deleted your emails after a certain period of	
22	time?	
23	A No.	
24	Q Did you save emails into a folder on	
25	your computer?	
		L3
1	- Rita Louie -	L3
2	- Rita Louie - A Yes.	L3
2	- Rita Louie - A Yes. Q So did you have a named folder for	L3
2	- Rita Louie - A Yes.	L3
2	- Rita Louie - A Yes. Q So did you have a named folder for village business? A Yes.	L3
2 3 4	- Rita Louie - A Yes. Q So did you have a named folder for village business?	13
2 3 4 5	- Rita Louie - A Yes. Q So did you have a named folder for village business? A Yes.	13
2 3 4 5 6	- Rita Louie - A Yes. Q So did you have a named folder for village business? A Yes. Q That would have been under the name of	13
2 3 4 5 6 7	- Rita Louie - A Yes. Q So did you have a named folder for village business? A Yes. Q That would have been under the name of what?	L3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	- Rita Louie - A Yes. Q So did you have a named folder for village business? A Yes. Q That would have been under the name of what? A Village Business, yes.	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	- Rita Louie - A Yes. Q So did you have a named folder for village business? A Yes. Q That would have been under the name of what? A Village Business, yes. Q So your emails that were relevant to	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	- Rita Louie - A Yes. Q So did you have a named folder for village business? A Yes. Q That would have been under the name of what? A Village Business, yes. Q So your emails that were relevant to village business, you would have moved them into	13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes. Q So did you have a named folder for village business? A Yes. Q That would have been under the name of what? A Village Business, yes. Q So your emails that were relevant to village business, you would have moved them into that folder?	13

15	computer?	
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	Did you have to do that on the village
18	email addr	ess as well?
19		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
20	Α	Any emails I had, regardless of the
21	address it	came under, if it had to do with
22	village bu	siness went into the Village Business
23	folder.	
24	Q	So then it was that folder or that
25	account th	at your lawyers had access to?
1		- Rita Louie -
2		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Once you turned over access to your
5	emails to	the lawyers, did you go back and review
6	what email	s were copied or downloaded to supply
7	in this li	tigation?
8		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
9	Α	No.
10	Q	Every email related to village
11	business d	id you drag into that file or did you
12	delete som	e emails before you dragged them into
13	the Villag	e Business file?
14		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
15	Q	Do you understand?
16	Α	I understand the question.
17		If I was going to delete if I had
18	deleted an	y village business emails, it would Page 12

	T mark taloure. Cxt
19	have just been meeting agendas that were
20	duplicated, any duplicates I would have deleted.
21	Other than that, every other village business
22	email went into the Village Business folder.
23	Q So when you say Village Business, what
24	went into that, anything dealing at all with the
25	village?
_	
1	- Rita Louie -
2	A Yes.
3	Q Except agendas?
4	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
5	Q Is that right?
6	A (No response.)
7	Q That Village Business email folder was
8	something that was created after you were
9	elected?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did you retain any emails while you
12	were campaigning for office?
13	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
14	A Not necessarily.
15	Q What did you do with the emails that
16	related to your campaign?
17	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
18	A I would not have kept track of them.
19	Q I'm sure you emailed and received
20	emails regarding your campaign for trustee,
21	right?
22	MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Page 13

23

Α

Yes.

24	Q	Do you recall deleting those emails?
25	Α	Not specifically.
1		16 - Rita Louie -
2	Q	what do you recall about that?
3	Α	I clean out my email folder
4	periodical	ly, because it would get too full. So
5	certainly	if a campaign was over, campaign emails
6	would have	been deleted.
7	Q	So did any of your emails regarding
8	your campa	ign make it into the Village Business
9	folder?	
10	Α	Possibly, I don't know.
11	Q	Do you know, did the emails that you
12	had during	your campaign include emails between
13	yourself a	nd village residents?
14		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
15	Α	I don't recall.
16	Q	well, give me an example then of what
17	I'm using,	I'm using the term campaign emails.
18	Give me an	example of what kind of emails you
19	would have	had when you were running for office
20	during you	r campaign?
21		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	Q	If you understand the question.
23	Α	No, I'm not understanding the
24	question.	It's too broad.
25	Q	Let me see if I can rephrase it.

		17
1		- Rita Louie -
2		I think you've answered this question.
3	You emaile	d while you were campaigning for
4	office, ri	ght?
5		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
6	Α	I'm sure I did.
7	Q	Did those emails include emails with
8	constituen	ts?
9		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
10	Α	Possibly.
11	Q	Who would you have emailed with during
12	your campa	ign?
13		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
14	Α	I don't recall.
15	Q	Nick Sanderson?
16	Α	Possibly.
17	Q	Brett Yagel?
18	Α	Possibly.
19	Q	Those emails, I think you testified
20	they don't	exist anymore; is that right?
21		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	Α	I don't know. That's not what I
23	testified.	I said some campaign emails could
24	have possi	bly been deleted during a purge of my
25	email acco	unt, but I have no way of knowing that.

3	Α	FinalRitaLouie.txt No.
4	Q	Which email did you use while you were
5	campaignin	
6	А	Rjlouie@optonline.net.
7	Q	I'm handing you now what's been marked
8	as Plainti	ff's Exhibit 262, and ask if you have
9	ever seen	that document before?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Do you know what it is?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	What is it?
14	Α	It's the interrogatory questions and
15	responses.	
16	Q	Did you participate in drafting this
17	document?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	You provided the answers to these
20	questions	to your attorneys; is that right?
21	А	Correct, yes.
22	Q	Where are you employed, Mrs. Louie?
23	А	I'm self-employed.
24	Q	What do you do?
25	А	I'm a construction manager and
1		- Rita Louie -
2	property m	anager.
3	Q	What's the name of your company?
4	А	RJL Development, Inc.
5	Q	Is that your initials, RJL?

Yes.

6

FinalRitaLouie.txt 7 Tell me what you do. Q I manage construction jobs for 8 9 residences and commercial. I am also an 10 independent contractor for property management for Rand Commercial Services at this time. 11 Are you a licensed contractor? 12 Q 13 Α I'm in the process of getting my 14 license. 15 0 How long has RJL Development been in 16 business? 17 Α Since 1997. Did you form it in 1997? 18 Q 19 Α Yes. 20 Is that how you have been employed Q since 1997? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Tell me what you do. Q 24 I contract with homeowners or 25 businesses to do design and build projects where 20 - Rita Louie -1 the homeowner wants to be the general contractor 2 3 but needs somebody to manage the job for them. I pull permits, I do drawings, I do designs. I oversee site personnel. I've bid out contracts. 5 As a liaison between the jobsite and the 6 homeowners or the business owner. Then would you typically hire a 8 9 general contractor to do a job? 10 Typically my client is the general

FinalRitaLouie.txt 11 contractor. And I am the project manager on the 12 job. Then who would hire the subcontractors 13 Q 14 to do the work? 15 The general contractor, whether it's the owner or a regular general contractor in 16 17 conjunction with myself. 18 So you're advising the general contractor in this process? 19 20 Correct. Α 21 You don't actually sign any contracts Q 22 with the subcontractors yourself? 23 Α Correct. 24 Does your company have any employees besides you? 25 21 - Rita Louie -1 2 I have one part-time employee. Α 3 What position is that? Q He's a laborer, general labor. Α You also indicated I think you do 5 Q property management? 6 Α Yes. what kind of property management? 8 Q 9 I do property management exclusively for Rand Commercial Services. And Rand has 25 10 11 properties under the name of Better Homes and 12 Gardens Rand Realty, and I manage all their 13 properties for them. 14 Is that residential? Q

FinalRitaLouie.txt 15 That's commercial. Α 16 Office space? Q 17 Office space, yes. 18 Q What do you do in that regard? Just 19 generally describe that. Repairs, take complaints, liaison with 20 21 the landlords if it's a rental space. Upkeep of 22 the properties if it's our own -- if Rand owns 23 the buildings, general landscaping, maintenance, 24 contracts. 25 Q Do you collect the rents? 22 - Rita Louie -1 2 Α No. The rents go directly to Rand? 3 Q Α 5 How long have you been doing that? Q Ten weeks. 6 Α Those properties are located in what 7 Q general area? 8 9 Rockland, Westchester, Orange, Bergen and Putnam Counties. 10 11 Q That's run through your company RJL 12 Development? 13 They pay me Rita Louie as a Α consultant. I'm also a New York State licensed 14 15 salesperson. 16 Real estate salesperson? Q 17 Real estate salesperson, yes. Α 18 So you have a real estate license? Q

		FinalRitaLouie.txt
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	An agent's license?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Do you have a broker's license?
23	Α	No.
24	Q	What positions have you held with the
25	Village of	Pomona?
1		Dita Louis
1		- Rita Louie -
2	Α	I was a member of the planning board.
3	Q	When was that?
4	Α	Can my attorney help me out with the
5	dates? 20	00 it was 2002 to 2006.
6	Q	Who appointed you to the planning
7	board?	
8	Α	At the time I believe it was Herb
9	Marshall.	
10	Q	Was it a four-year term?
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	You served the entire four years?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	Were you a member of the planning
15	board that	whole time or were you ever elected
16	chair of t	he board?
17	Α	I was a member the whole time.
18	Q	Who else was on the planning board
19	during you	r term?
20	Α	Nick Winters was the chair. There was
21	Dan Kolak	(phonetic). And there was an older
22	gentleman,	I can't remember his name. I'm so
		Da

22		FinalRitaLouie.txt
23	sorry.	
24	Q	Mel Cook?
25	Α	No. No, not Mel.
1		- Rita Louie -
2	Q	Was Bob Prol on the planning board
3	when you w	ere a member?
4	Α	No.
5	Q	You know Bob Prol?
6	Α	I know the name.
7	Q	You don't know him personally?
8	Α	I do not know him personally.
9	Q	Who else, if you recall?
10	Α	I can't remember. Sorry.
11	Q	Did there come a time in March of '06
12	that you h	ad to write to the ethics board?
13		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
14	Q	Village of Pomona Ethics Board.
15	Α	I believe I did, yes.
16	Q	Do you recall what that was about?
17	Α	I was being hired, RJL Development, to
18	consult on	a job in Pomona. And I needed to make
19	it known t	hat I would be working, you know, on a
20	constructi	on project within the village and I
21	would recu	se myself from anything that had to do
22	with that	project.
23	Q	So was that what the letter was about?
24	Α	I don't recall yeah, I think so,
25	yeah.	

	25
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q If I'm understanding you correctly,
3	you were hired to do a job in Pomona while you
4	were a member of the planning board?
5	A Correct.
6	Q Did any applications regarding that
7	job come before the planning board?
8	A NO.
9	Q What was the name of that job or
10	project that you had?
11	A I believe the address was at 12 High
12	Mountain, I believe, or 2 High Mountain, one of
13	those houses.
14	Q So it was a residential project?
15	A It was a residential project, uh-huh.
16	Q Did you complete that project?
17	A Yes.
18	Q To your recollection nothing came
19	before the planning board on that?
20	A NO.
21	Q That letter, we'll just call it the
22	letter regarding High Mountain for ease of
23	reference. Who was that letter written to, the
24	board of trustees or the ethics board?
25	A I believe it was written to the ethics
1	- Rita Louie -
2	board. No, I believe the original letter was
3	written to the board of trustees. I'm sorry. I Page 22

4	don't recall completely.
5	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
6	be marked.)
7	(Whereupon, Board of Trustees Meeting
8	Minutes, 5/9/05, Bates No. POM17495, was
9	marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 263 for
10	identification.)
11	Q I'm handing you, Mrs. Louie, what's
12	been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 263. I just
13	ask you to look at that. It appears as if it's
14	minutes of a May 9th, 2005 board of trustees
15	meeting. I turn your direction to the second
16	page, fourth paragraph under Old Business.
17	"Mayor Marshall will have a copy of
18	Rita Louie's letter sent to the members of the
19	planning board. A joint workshop meeting with
20	the village board and the planning board will be
21	scheduled. Mr. Corless will be asked to attend
22	the joint meeting."
23	Did I read that accurately?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you recall what that letter was

- Rita Louie about? I believe that letter was about the Halley II -- not the Halley II. The letter was about the construction up on the mountain in Pomona and my concerns regarding the village policies regarding that construction. Page 23

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

27

8 Q You didn't retain a copy of that 9 letter? Not that I remember. 10 Α 11 Can you tell us what your concerns Q 12 were about the construction? 13 At the time I was on the planning Α 14 board and there were many projects coming before 15 us with houses being built on steep slopes. And we were having a lot of issues with the 16 17 development up on the mountain. What kind of issues? 18 Q 19 Mostly developers going beyond clearing limit lines. That the sites had been 20 filled with shot rock and the builders were 21 22 unable to re-vegetate the areas behind the houses 23 on major portions of the site, which was causing 24 the planning board a lot of problems in making their decisions. 25

28

Τ	- Rita Louie -
2	Q What was your objective with that
3	letter?
4	A I would need to refresh my memory
5	with by seeing the letter to know what my
6	objective was, because it was in 2005.
7	Q But this letter here that you just
8	described, this was not the ethics letter that we
9	talked about before, was it?
10	A No.
11	Q The letter that you wrote to the board

12	regarding	the job that you had in Pomona we'll
13	call it th	e ethics letter for now. Does that
14	sound okay	?
15	Α	Yes, that's fine.
16	Q	Did the board take any action, the
17	ethics boa	rd or the village take any action on
18	your lette	r?
19	Α	I believe that they recommended I
20	resign fro	m the planning board.
21	Q	Do you know why?
22	Α	The board felt that there was a
23	conflict o	f interest if I was going to be doing
24	business i	n the village and also sitting on the
25	planning b	oard.

29 1 - Rita Louie -Did you offer to just recuse yourself 2 Q 3 from that project? Α Yes, I did. It was then that the village then 5 recommended that instead of recusing yourself you 6 7 resign from the planning board? 8 Α Correct. 9 Is that what you did? Q 10 Yes. Α When did you do that? 11 Q I don't recall. Sometime in 2006 I 12 Α 13 guess. 14 Since that time in 2006 have you done Q 15 other jobs in the Village of Pomona?

16	A No.
17	Q So was it just that one job, the job
18	on High Mountain, is that the only job you did in
19	Pomona?
20	A Yes.
21	Q I think you testified to this. You
22	did in fact then resign from the Village Planning
23	Board; is that right?
24	A Yes, I believe I did.
25	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
1	- Rita Louie -
2	be marked.)
3	(Whereupon, Memo 8/29/07, Document
4	Hold and Preservation Notice - Privileged
5	and Confidential, Bates Nos. POM0007310-14,
6	was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 264 for
7	identification.)
8	
9	Q I'm handing you, Mrs. Louie, what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 264, and ask
10	if you've ever seen that before?
11	A Yes.
12	
13	
14	Q That's dated August of 2007, right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q When did you first see this document?
17	A It would have been in August of 2007.
18	Q How did you get this document?
19	A I don't remember. Page 26

20 were you a member of the board of Q 21 trustees in August of 2007? 22 Α Yes. 23 Then when you received this document Q 24 in 2007 is that when you began to preserve your 25 documents related to the Tartikov matter? 31 - Rita Louie -1 2 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 3 Α Yes. You preserved those documents and Q 5 emails as you described earlier; is that right? Correct. Α 6 Just to be clear, did you have an 7 8 email folder that was entitled Village Business that the emails went into? 9 10 I had to physically put them in that Α folder, yes. 11 12 But did you have any other documents 13 besides emails that were related to village business? 14 15 Α No. 16 You didn't have any Word documents or 17 scanned documents, anything like that, that was 18 related to village business? Not that I recall that didn't come to 19 20 me in an email.

Page 27

the only village business file that you had was

an email file?

So then the only, again to be clear,

21

2223

Correct.

24

_ '	A COTTECT!	
25	5 Q That was titled Village Busi	ness,
1	1 - Rita Louie -	32
2	2 right?	
3	3 A Yes.	
4	4 Q The only village business em	ails that
5	5 would have been deleted would have been	those
6	6 emails that set agendas; is that right?	
7	7 A Agendas or duplicates, yes.	
8	8 Q You became a member of the v	illage
9	9 board of trustees when?	
10	O A In 2007, in the election of	2007.
11	1 Q When were you sworn in?	
12	.2 A I believe it was April or Ma	y. I
13	don't remember the exact date.	
14	Q Do you currently sit on the	board of
15	5 trustees?	
16	6 A Yes.	
17	.7 Q When did you run for reelect	ion?
18	.8 A 2011.	
19	9 Q These are four-year terms; i	s that
20	0 right?	
21	1 A Correct.	
22	Q When you were elected in 200	7 to the
23	3 board of trustees, was that the first t	ime you
24	4 were elected to public office?	
25	5 A Yes.	

	33
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q Obviously since 2007 you have voted
3	and passed laws as a member of the board of
4	trustees, right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Can you just tell me what, just
7	generally what you base your decisions on when
8	you vote to pass a law?
9	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
10	A I base my decisions on the legality of
11	the law, whether it's enforceable and how it
12	affects our village residents.
13	Q So let's break that apart. On
14	legality and enforceability of the law, how do
15	you determine whether a law is legal?
16	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	Q That's a stupid question, I
18	understand, but I'm just trying to Do you
19	understand the question?
20	A Yes. We consult with our attorney and
21	I would use my own sense of cognitive thinking.
22	Q I think I hear what you're saying, is
23	that when a law is proposed it's obviously vetted
24	through your counsel?
25	A Correct.
1	Rita Lavia
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q And if it gets to a vote, then you
3	believe that your legal counsel has determined

4	FinalRitaLouie.txt that it's legal?
5	A Correct.
6	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
7	Q You understand the question?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Besides the legality, what else do you
10	base the decision to vote on a law on?
11	A The information that's given me.
12	Q By whom?
13	A By the attorneys, by the consultants,
14	by the experts and feedback from the residents.
15	Q How do you go about getting feedback
16	by the residents?
17	A We have public hearings and people
18	speak.
19	Q I'm sure that you also get feedback in
20	other ways, right?
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	A Not officially.
23	Q I'm sure you talk to residents about
24	laws that are being proposed, right?
25	A Not necessarily, no.
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q Is it your testimony that the only
3	public input you get on a law is that which is
4	presented at a public hearing?
5	A In general, yes.
6	
7	•
1	that, but I mean you're not sitting here today

FinalRitaLouie.txt 8 saying that you had never received public input 9 on a law at the grocery store or walking your dog or something like that, right? 10 11 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 12 On a law, no. I can't remember a 13 single incident where I would have discussed an 14 upcoming law with residents on the street or in a 15 supermarket. How about through emails, have 16 0 17 constituents ever expressed their opinion on an upcoming law through emails to you? 18 Not that I remember. 19 Α 20 Telephone conversations? Q 21 No, I don't speak to the residents on the telephone about legal matters. 22 23 You indicated that you don't speak to Q 24 citizens that way on legal matters. But have you 25 ever spoken to any Pomona resident and received 36 - Rita Louie -1 their opinion on anything outside of a public 2 meeting? 3 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Residents and neighbors have opinions 5 Α on everything, yes. 6 7 Q I'm sure they do. And is it fair then 8 to say that you have -- the answer to that 9 question is yes, you have received opinions from 10 residents on issues, correct? 11 MS. NAPP: Object to the form.

FinalRitaLouie.txt 12 Α On issues, yes. 13 Give me an example for instance on Q 14 issues. What do you hear from citizens out 15 there? 16 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Can I answer? 17 Α 18 0 Sure. 19 MS. NAPP: If you understand the 20 question, you can answer any time I object unless I tell you not to. 21 22 For example, many of our residents are athletic and they go running. And I've had a lot 23 24 of complaints from residents about neighbors who 25 don't pick up their dog poop and they are running 37 - Rita Louie -1 and it causes a problem for them. That would be 2 3 a discussion in a supermarket. I understand. You have another 4 Q example? 5 when are the roads going to be paved 6 up on the mountain would be a big discussion that 7 8 comes up. Coming back to the public hearings, 9 Q 10 then the way I understand how it works at the 11 village is when a law is proposed citizens have 12 the opportunity to speak at a public meeting when 13 that law is being considered, right? Correct. 14 Α 15 And obviously in your presence you Q

16	hear these	FinalRitaLouie.txt opinions?	
17	Α	Yes.	
18	Q	Do you weigh those opinions when you	
19	vote on a	law?	
20	Α	Absolutely.	
21	Q	Do you read the Rockland County	
22	Journal News?		
23	Α	Yes.	
24	Q	Do you read that on a daily basis?	
25	Α	Not lately.	
			38
1		- Rita Louie -	
2	Q	Let's go back to 2007. Did you read	
3	the Rockla	nd County Journal News back then?	
4	Α	Yes.	
5	Q	You read it on a daily basis?	
6	Α	Yes.	
7	Q	Did you also back in 2007 time frame	
8	go onto th	e Journal News website? I think it's	
9	lohud.com.		
10	Α	I don't believe so. Back then I was	
11	not on LoH	ud.	
12	Q	When did you start to get on LoHud?	
13	Α	Just in the past two years.	
14		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
15	Α	I'm not a big fan of newspapers	
16	online.		
17	Q	How long have you lived in Rockland	
18	County?		
19	Α	Since 1987.	

20	Q I	FinalRitaLouie.txt Where did you move from?
21	Α (Queens. Flushing, Queens, New York.
22	Q I	When you moved to Rockland County did
23	you move to	Pomona?
24	1 A	No.
25	Q I	Where did you move to?
1		- Rita Louie -
2	Α -	To Nanuet.
3	Q V	When did you move to Pomona?
4	•	1993.
5		Is that when you moved to your home on
6	Secor Court	
7		Yes.
8	Q ,	You've been there ever since?
9	-	Yes.
10	Q :	1993 in Pomona. And I'm sorry, it was
11	19 what into	o Rockland County?
12	А	'87.
13	Q I	Has Rockland County changed since
14	1987?	
15	1	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
16	Α	Not too much.
17	Q I	Has the traffic increased?
18	Α `	Yes.
19	Q I	How about the water supply, has that
20	decreased?	
21	Α 1	Not that I know of.
22	Q I	what about the development, has that
23	gotten ha	as Rockland County been has its
		Page 34

24	pace of de	FinalRitaLouie.txt evelopment increased since 1987?	
25		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
1		- Rita Louie -)
2	Α	Overall, no.	
3		What about the development in Pomona,	
	Q has that i	ncreased since 1993?	
4			
5	A	No.	
6	Q 	Do you believe there's been an	
7	increase i	n development in Rockland County since	
8	1987?		
9		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
10	Α	Overall, no.	
11	Q	In your opinion there's really not	
12	much of a	change in Rockland County since 1987?	
13		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
14	Α	In the entire county, no.	
15	Q	Has there been any change at all in	
16	Rockland C	County since 1987 in your opinion?	
17		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
18	Α	Yes.	
19	Q	What is that change?	
20	Α	There has been actually, there's	
21	been an in	creased development, if this is what	
22	you're try	ring to get at, in Ramapo.	
23	Q	I'm not trying really to get at	
24	anything.	I understand you may think that and	
25	I'm not tr	ying to be rude.	

		FinalRitaLouie.txt	41	
1		- Rita Louie -	41	
2	I	s there let's use another word.		
3	What about t	the increase in population, has there		
4	been an incr	ease in population in Rockland County	y	
5	since 1987?			
6	A I	don't know.		
7	Q I	'm trying to understand your answer.		
8	Ramapo has c	changed since 1987, is that what your		
9	testimony wa	testimony was?		
10	M	NS. NAPP: Object to the form.		
11	А Т	here has been zoning changes in		
12	Ramapo, but	the rest of the county is relatively		
13	the same.			
14	Q W	hat kind of zoning changes are you		
15	aware of in	Ramapo?		
16	A I	am aware of several areas. The Town	1	
17	of Ramapo ch	nanged their comprehensive plan and		
18	some of thei	r zoning on certain areas of their		
19	town.			
20	Q D	oo you know the result of those zoning	3	
21	changes?			
22	M	IS. NAPP: Object to the form.		
23	A T	he density increased in many areas.		
24	Q D	oo you recall what the zoning changes		
25	were in Rama	ipo?		
1		- Rita Louie -	42	
2	A T	The only one specifically that I		
3		um aware of is the Patrick Farm		
4	property.			

5	Q Do you know how the Patrick Farm
6	property zoning has changed?
7	A Yes.
8	Q How?
9	A It was originally two acre zoning.
10	And it's now I believe it was rezoned to one
11	acre zoning and then again downzoned to
12	multi-family zoning as far as I know.
13	Q Do you know who owns the Patrick Farms
14	property?
15	A No.
16	Q What do you know about adult student
17	housing in Ramapo?
18	A I know the term adult student housing
19	and but I don't believe there I don't know
20	that much about it.
21	Q You understand the term, but you don't
22	know the specifics; is that what you're saying?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Has there been an increase in
25	population of Orthodox, Hasidic individuals in

1 - Rita Louie
2 Ramapo?

3 A I don't know the numbers and I

4 don't -- Over what time period are you talking

5 about?

6 Q Since 1987.

7 A I'm only aware of the time that I've

8 lived in Pomona.

9	Q Since 1993 then has there been an
10	increase in the Orthodox, Hasidic population in
11	Ramapo?
12	A I don't know.
13	Q So as you sit here today is it your
14	testimony that you don't know whether or not
15	there's been an increase in the Orthodox, Hasidic
16	population in Ramapo?
17	A There may have been, but I don't know
18	the numbers.
19	Q I'm not asking you for the numbers.
20	I'm just asking you for your understanding.
21	A In my opinion?
22	Q Yes.
23	A Yes, possibly. Yeah.
24	(Off-the-record discussion.)
25	Q Do you know if the Patrick Farms

44

1 - Rita Louie development was intended for Orthodox, Hasidic 2 Jews? 3 I had heard that at a zoning board and also at a planning board meeting, that the developers were saying that it was. 6 7 Have the schools changed in Rockland 8 County since you got here? 9 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 10 Α Which schools? Let's just say East Ramapo School 11 Q 12 District.

13 Α Yes. 14 Q How is that? The population of the school district 15 Α 16 has changed dramatically. 17 What do you mean by population? You Q 18 mean number or the --19 The demographics. Α 20 Q Can you explain that? 21 When my children were in the school 22 there was about a 50/50 mix of white versus minority population of children in the school 23 24 district and now the public schools are almost 90 25 percent minority students.

45 1 - Rita Louie -2 When you say minority students, can Q 3 you just explain that, please? Black and Hispanic. 4 Α 5 You say that's now about 90 percent? Q 6 Α Correct. What about the school board itself in 7 East Ramapo, do you know whether or not that has 8 9 changed since you've come to Rockland County? 10 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 11 Yes. Α 12 How has it changed? Q The school board is mostly made up of 13 14 parents who send their children to private schools now. 15 Who would that be? 16 Q

17 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 18 Α I don't know their names. 19 Are you referring to Orthodox, Hasidic Q 20 Jews? 21 They're people who send their children Α 22 to private school. 23 what kind of private school? Q 24 Α The religious schools. 25 Jewish schools? Q 46 - Rita Louie -1 2 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. I believe some of them send their kids 3 Α to Jewish schools and I think there's one board 4 5 member who sends their child to a Catholic school or Christian school. I'm not sure, I don't know 6 their personal lives. 7 Do you know how many members on the 8 Q 9 school board send their children to private religious schools? 10 I believe all of them at this point. 11 Α 12 I'm sorry, I think you may have Q 13 testified. Your children went to East Ramapo School District? 14 15 Α Yes, they did. 16 Did they go through the high school 0 and graduate from the high school? 17 My daughter graduated from high 18 My son transferred to the Masters School 19 in Westchester in 11th grade, so he graduated 20

47

	FinalkitaLouie.txt
21	from there. But he went through the East Ramapo
22	schools for all the other years.
23	Q When did your daughter graduate from
24	high school?
25	A 2000 oh, jeez. 2007.
1	Ditt. Lauis
T	- Rita Louie -

2 What was the last year your son was in Q 3 the East Ramapo School District? 2006. 4 Α when did this -- I'm going to use the 5 Q word change. When did you notice this change on 6 the East Ramapo School District start to come 7 about on the board, the board makeup? 8 9 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 10 If you understand what I'm saying. Q 11 Just recently in the last couple of Α 12 years. 13 Do you ever attend any East Ramapo Q 14 School District board meetings? 15 Α I have, yes. 16 When was the last time you've done Q 17 that? 18 Probably about a year ago. Α 19 Do you know what a voting bloc is? Q 20 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 21 Α Yes. 22 What is it? Q It's when a group of people vote all 23 24 in lock step for the same person directed by

whoever.

48 - Rita Louie -1 Do you know if there's any voting 2 Q 3 blocs that exist in Rockland County? Α Yes. What is that? 5 Q There's a Hispanic voting bloc in the 6 Α 7 Village of Haverstraw. There's also the voting 8 bloc, the religious voting bloc in Monsey. I believe there's also an Irish Catholic voting 9 10 bloc in Pearl River. 11 The religious voting bloc that you Q referred to in Monsey, would that be the 12 13 Orthodox, Hasidic religious group? 14 Α Yes. 15 Are you familiar with the concept of Q 16 tax exempt properties? 17 Α Yes. 18 Q To your knowledge are there any tax exempt properties within the Village of Pomona? 19 20 I believe there's a group home. We have the Ladentown church. We have the Hindu 21 22 temple. And there's the new one, the 23 Zoro-something congregation. I'm sorry. 24 Do you have an opinion on tax exempt Q 25 properties?

1		FinalRitaLouie.txt - Rita Louie -	
2	Α	No.	
3	Q	Do you understand just generally the	
4	legal basi	s for tax exempt properties?	
5	Α	Yes.	
6	Q	What is that?	
7	Α	Religious institutions, schools are	
8	tax exempt	by law.	
9	Q	What do you know about Hasidic and	
10	Orthodox J	ews and their customs and practices?	
11		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
12	Α	In terms of what?	
13	Q	Just generally.	
14	Α	It's a religion just like any other	
15	religion o	n earth.	
16	Q	What do you mean by that?	
17	Α	There are many religions on earth, and	t
18	some peopl	e practice one religion and some people	9
19	practice a	nother religion.	
20	Q	Do the Orthodox, Hasidic Jews wear	
21	identifiab	le clothing?	
22	Α	Yes, pretty much.	
23	Q	Can you describe that?	
24	Α	Orthodox men wear hats, they have	
25	payos, the	y wear long black coats for the most	
1		- Rita Louie -	50
2	nart	25 252.6	

Page 43

I'm not trying to put words in your mouth. But

3

Q

I think you testified that there's --

5	FinalRitaLouie.txt the number of Orthodox, Hasidic Jews have
6	increased in Ramapo, is that fair?
7	A Yes.
8	Q How do you feel about that?
9	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
10	A I have no feeling about it.
11	Q Do you go to Ramapo?
12	A Do I go to Ramapo?
13	Q Yes.
14	A I live on the border of Ramapo, yes.
15	Q When you say on the border, right on
16	the border?
17	A Within one block. I'm right over the
18	border in Haverstraw, yes.
19	Q So you have seen Ramapo change since
20	you've moved to Pomona?
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	A Yes, there's been some building going
23	on.
24	Q What kind of building?
25	A Multi-family developments.
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q Is that near where you live?
3	A Within five miles.
4	Q Do you know whether or not that's for
5	adult student housing?
6	A No, I don't know.
7	Q When you say multi units, what do you
8	mean by that?
	•

FinalRitaLouie.txt High density multi-family houses, 9 three-story buildings, developments. There are 10 11 several. 12 Q Do you know how many? 13 Α No. How do you feel about that kind of 14 Q 15 development? 16 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. How do I feel about that kind of 17 development. The question is too broad. 18 19 Do you agree with that kind of Q development? 20 21 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 22 I grew up in public housing. So yeah, 23 it's a nice place to live. 24 So you're not opposed to multi-family 25 housing, are you? 52 - Rita Louie -1 2 Α No. Has Ramapo changed in any other way 3 Q since you've moved to Rockland County, other than 4 5 what you have just described? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 6 Not that I can think of. 7 Α 8 Q Do you do any posting on Internet forums or blogs? 9 10 Sometimes. Α 11 What names do you use? Q 12 What names do I use? Α

FinalRitaLouie.txt 13 Yes. Q 14 I use my name, Rita or Rita J. Α 15 What does the J stand for? Q 16 My maiden name, Jablonski. Α 17 So have you posted under the name Rita Q Jablonski on Internet forums, right? 18 19 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 20 I don't know if I've ever used that Α I don't remember. 21 name. 22 We'll come back to that. Q 23 So you have used the name, I'm sorry, 24 Rita J? 25 Α Uh-huh. 53 1 - Rita Louie -2 Any other names that you remember Q

3 using on social media? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 4 5 No, I don't remember. Are you aware of the lead plaintiff in 6 Q this case, the Congregation Rabbinical College of 7 Tartikov, Inc.? 8 9 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 10 I know the name. Α When did you become aware of the name? 11 Q When this lawsuit came up. 12 Α 13 July of '07? Q 14 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 15 Α Probably August of '07 sounds more 16 like it.

17	FinalRitaLouie.txt Q You were familiar with Tartikov,	
18	though, prior to August of '07, right?	
19	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
20	A Not that I recall.	
21	Q When did you become familiar with	
22	Tartikov's intentions to develop the property?	
23	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
24	A I don't remember.	
25	Q Did you become aware of Tartikov's	
1		54
1	- Rita Louie -	
2	intentions to develop the property around the	
3	time you were running for office in '07?	
4	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
5	A I don't remember.	
6	Q The Tartikov development was a major	
7	issue in your campaign, wasn't it?	
8	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
9	A Not a major issue. I think it might	
10	have been an issue.	
11	Q It was an important issue in your	
12	campaign, wasn't it?	
13	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
14	A It was an issue.	
15	Q You're saying it was an issue but it	
16	was not an important issue in your campaign; is	
17	that what your testimony is?	
18	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
19	A Correct.	
20	Q When you were running for office in	
	Page 47	

FinalRitaLouie.txt 21 '07 it was an issue with the citizens of Pomona, 22 right? 23 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 24 Α Some. 25 when you campaigned did you go door to Q 55 1 - Rita Louie -2 door? 3 Α Yes. 4 Q Did you cover the entire village? 5 Α Yes. You talked to people who expressed Q 6 their concern over Tartikov's plans, right? 7 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 8 9 Among other things. Α 10 I'm sure. I'm sure there were other Q 11 things. But you did talk with village residents 12 about the Tartikov plans, right? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 13 Not about the Tartikov plans, because 14 15 there were no plans. But about the property, 16 possibly yes. 17 Q What kinds of opinions did you get from the residents? 18 19 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. I don't remember specifically. 20 21 some people wanted to know what's going to happen 22 on that property. 23 Just generally what was your response Q 24 to those kind of questions?

FinalRitaLouie.txt

MS. NAPP: Object to the form.

		56
1		- Rita Louie -
2	Α	Generally we assured residents that we
3	would be i	maintaining the zoning in the Village of
4	Pomona ac	cording to our comprehensive plan, and
5	that was	our objective.
6	Q	When was the last time the village's
7	comprehen	sive plan was updated, do you know?
8	Α	It was before my time.
9	Q	Before your time on the board?
10	Α	On the board, yeah.
11	Q	So when did you first become aware of
12	what it w	as that Tartikov intended to build on
13	the prope	rty?
14		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
15	Α	I'm still really not clear what
16	Tartikov	intends to build on the property,
17	because I	haven't really ever heard of any plan
18	or seen a	ny plan.
19	Q	You do know that Tartikov intends to
20	build a r	abbinical college on the property?
21	Α	I've heard the rabbinical college,
22	yes.	
23	Q	What else have you heard?
24		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
25	А	I've heard talk of a rabbinical

2	college wi	th dormitories attached and a house,
3	some housi	ng.
4	Q	Anything else?
5		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
6	Α	No.
7	Q	Do you believe that the rabbinical
8	college is	just a front for a housing project?
9		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
10	Α	I have no idea.
11	Q	Do you have any understanding what a
12	rabbinical	college is?
13	Α	I believe it's to train rabbis to be
14	judges in	religious courts. That's what I know
15	of it.	
16	Q	Do you know who Michael Tauber is?
17	Α	I know the name.
18	Q	Have you ever met him?
19	Α	I don't think so.
20	Q	How do you know the name?
21	Α	Just from the court cases.
22	Q	So it's your understanding that
23	Michael Tai	uber is associated in some way with
24	rabbinical	college?
25	Α	I don't know if it's rabbinical

58

1	- Rita Louie -	,
2	college or some other developments, but, you	
3	know, I've only heard the name. I have no idea	
4	what he's associated with.	
5	Q What do you know about the Patrick Page 50	

6 Farm development?

7	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
8	A Currently, historically?
9	Q We'll go back. Historically.
10	A That the property was downzoned by the
11	Town of Ramapo and there could possibly be a huge
12	development built there.
13	Q Do you have any idea of the numbers?
14	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
15	A I have heard anywhere between, you
16	know, five hundred homes to eight thousand
17	people.
18	Q You say you've heard this. Where did
19	you hear this, where did you get this information
20	from?
21	A I go to a lot of meetings, planning
22	board meetings. I've been at the zoning board
23	I was at the zoning board meeting when the
24	changes were being discussed in public hearings.
25	Q Did you ever hear the developer talk
1	Dita Lauia
1	- Rita Louie -
2	about his plan for Patrick Farms?
3	A I saw the presentation at a some
4	planning board meetings.
5	Q What's the current status of the
6	Patrick Farm development?
7	A I believe the planning board decisions
8	have been put on hold by the court, because the
9	SEQRA process was not completed properly. I Page 51

10 believe the Army Corps of Engineers still has to 11 come in and evaluate the wetlands. There's a 12 question of a major gas pipeline through the property that would be in violation if housing 13 14 was built near a pipeline. 15 Are you opposed or do you support the Q Patrick Farm development? 16 17 Α I support responsible development on the Patrick Farm property. 18 19 Q What do you mean by that? 20 The issues that I just reviewed 21 regarding why it's on hold, the issues of 22 preserving wetlands, preserving the integrity of 23 the property, not building near a dangerous gas 24 pipeline. 25 Q What do you mean by protecting

60

1 - Rita Louie -2 integrity of the property? 3 The property was basically zoned two 4 acre zoning originally for a reason. The reason being because that would be in keeping with the 5 integrity of the environment and the surrounding 6 7 area. 8 How do you know that? Q 9 How do I know that. That the original Α 10 zoning was two acre zoning? 11 No, the reason why it was zoned two Q You just explained there were reasons. 12 acres. 13 As a, you know, former planning board

Page 52

Α

14	member if something is zoned a certain way,
15	there's a reason things are zoned a certain way
16	MR. STEPANOVICH: Can you read back
17	the answer, Madam Court Reporter?
18	(The following answer was repeated:
19	A The property was basically zoned
20	two acre zoning originally for a reason.
21	The reason being because that would be in
22	keeping with the integrity of the
23	environment and the surrounding area.)
24	Q So then I'm just trying to focus in,
25	Mrs. Louie, that two acre lots there on Patrick

61

1 - Rita Louie -2 Farms is in keeping with the surrounding 3 environment; is that what you're trying to say? I'm not trying to put words in your mouth. I'm 4 5 just trying to figure out the answer. MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 6 When zoning is laid out there's a 7 reason for it. It's not just done haphazardly, 8 9 oh, let's put two acre zoning here. When 10 something is two acre zoning it's because the 11 surrounding area is two acre zoning. It's 12 because there are reasons for it to be zoned two acres. It's not just done for no good reason. 13 The surrounding area is Pomona, right? 14 Q 15 MS. NAPP: Object to the form.

Page 53

16

17

Q

Pomona?

Part of the surrounding area is

18	Α	Part of the surrounding area is
19	Pomona.	
20	Q	Pomona is not zoned two acres, is it?
21	Α	It's zoned one acre.
22	Q	But not two, right?
23	Α	Right.
24	Q	What is the other surrounding zoning
25	around Pat	rick Farms, if you know?

62 1 - Rita Louie -2 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 3 Α You know what, I don't know. Besides Pomona, what borders Patrick Q Farms? Α Montebello -- is Montebello -- I can't 6 To the south is Suffern, Montebello 7 ask her. 8 area. Do you know the zoning? 9 Q 10 No, I don't. Α 11 Q Are you a member of Preserve Ramapo? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 12 13 No, I'm not. Α 14 Do you know if Preserve Ramapo has Q 15 members? 16 I don't know if it's official membership or just a group of people. 17 What do you know about Preserve Ramapo 18 19 in terms of the kind of organization that it is? 20 I believe they were formed to preserve Ramapo. It's a grass roots organization formed 21 Page 54

22	to oversee	development in the Ramapo area.	
23	Q	What are they trying to preserve, if	
24	you know?		
25	А	I think they are just trying to	
1		- Rita Louie -	63
2	preserve tl		
3		Anything specific?	
	Q		
4	Α	Environmentally, scenic-wise.	
5	Q	Development?	
6		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
7	Q	Do you know?	
8		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
9	Α	It depends.	
10	Q	It depends on what?	
11	Α	The question is too broad. I can't	
12	answer it.		
13	Q	Do you know if Preserve Ramapo is	
14	opposed to	increased development in Ramapo?	
15	Α	No, I don't know.	
16	Q	Do you know Robert Rhodes?	
17	Α	Yes.	
18	Q	Do you know him personally?	
19	Α	I've spoken with him.	
20	Q	Do you know if he's associated with	
21	Preserve Ra	amapo?	
22	А	I believe so. He's one of the leads	
23	of Preserve	e Ramapo I think.	
24	Q	Have you ever talked to Mr. Rhodes	
25	about the ⁻	Fartikov project? Page 55	

	64	
1	- Rita Louie -	
2	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
3	A Possibly, not that I remember	
4	specifically.	
5	Q But it's possible?	
6	A It's possible, sure.	
7	Q Have you ever heard Mr. Rhodes speak	
8	out about the increased Orthodox, Hasidic	
9	population in Ramapo?	
10	A Specifically, I don't know.	
11	Q What have you heard other than	
12	specifically about that?	
13	A I've heard Mr. Rhodes speak at many,	
14	many planning board meetings, town hall meetings,	
15	zoning board meetings. I don't know if it's	
16	specifically about any population.	
17	Q Generally what does Mr. Rhodes speak	
18	out to use your term speak out, I don't want	
19	to mischaracterize what you said. What does he	
20	speak out about at these planning board meetings?	
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
22	A I've heard Mr. Rhodes speak out many	
23	times against downzoning, overdevelopment,	
24	irresponsible development.	
25	O You agree with it?	

FinalRitaLouie.txt MS. NAPP: Object to the form.

2

_		
3	A At times. It depends on the project.	
4	Q So then what you were talking about	
5	with Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Rhodes speaks out about	
6	these issues on specific projects or just as a	
7	general matter?	
8	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
9	A I've heard him speak out against	
10	specific projects.	
11	Q Like what?	
12	A Patrick Farm.	
13	Q What have you heard him say?	
14	A I've absolutely heard him testify in	
15	public hearings that it was irresponsible	
16	development.	
17	Q And why?	
18	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
19	A Too big, too dense, wetlands, gas	
20	pipeline, all of the environmental issues.	
21	Q As a member of the planning board	
22	you're I'm sure aware that projects come to the	
23	planning board and issues are raised regarding	
24	those projects, right?	
25	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
	66	
1	- Rita Louie -	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q That's happened when you've been on	
4	the planning board, right?	
5	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
	Page 57	

FinalRitaLouie.txt 6 Sure. Α 7 Generally there's an attempt to Q 8 resolve these issues, right? 9 Α Yes. 10 When you were on the planning board Q 11 generally how did that happen? 12 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 13 We are talking generalities now. Q I mean you were on the planning board for four 14 15 years. Just tell me the process of just a 16 typical kind of project that would come before 17 you. 18 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 19 Α When a project comes up the planning 20 board has guestions and issues with certain aspects of the project. And typically the 21 22 petitioner would go back to their engineers and 23 come back the following month and resubmit a 24 revised set of drawings to answer the planning 25 board requests.

67 - Rita Louie -1 2 Q That's a typical process, right? That's typical, yes. 3 Α Let's say, for instance, the first Q issue was a traffic issue, they needed another 5 6 red light. The applicant would come back and say 7 that for one reason or another that issue was resolved, okay? 8 9 MS. NAPP: Object to the form.

10	Α	FinalRitaLouie.txt Correct.	
11	Q	The planning board is satisfied,	
12	right?		
13		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
14	А	Right.	
15	Q	Then there's another issue and that's	
16	a give and	take that goes back and forth, right?	
17		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
18	Α	Correct.	
19	Q	Until all the issues are resolved?	
20		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
21	Q	Is one option, right?	
22	Α	Yes.	
23	Q	Then when all the issues are resolved $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\}$	
24	then the ap	oplicant gets approval, right?	
25		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
1		- Rita Louie -	68
2	А	Well, there's a vote taken.	
2		,	

3 By the planning board? Q Correct. 4 And the planning board either what, 5 votes to approve? 6 7 The planning board votes to approve or Α votes to not approve. 8 Are there any other options of the 9 Q 10 planning board in Pomona? 11 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Approval or disapproval. Is there any 12 Q other vote the planning board could take? 13

14	FinalRitaLouie.txt A I don't believe so.
15	MR. STEPANOVICH: You want to take a
16	break now?
17	(At this time a luncheon recess was
18	held, after which the deposition resumed.)
19	CONTINUED EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. STEPANOVICH:
21	Q Were you on the planning board of
22	Pomona when the application from the Yeshiva
23	Spring Valley was filed?
24	A No, I don't believe I was.
25	Q Are you familiar with the Yeshiva
1	69 - Rita Louie -
2	Spring Valley?
3	A No, I'm not.
4	Q You never heard of Yeshiva Spring
5	Valley before?
6	A I've heard the name, but I'm not
7	familiar with the project at all.
8	Q You were on the planning board in 2002
9	to 2006?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And you don't recall any application
12	coming from the Yeshiva Spring Valley?
13	A I do not.
14	Q Did you ever recall walking the site
15	of this property?
16	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	A Which property?
	Page 60

18	Q	FinalRitaLouie.txt Let me be clear. When I say the
19	property, I	'm talking about the approximate 100
20	acre site t	here at 202 and 306.
21	Α	I've never taken a tour of that
22	property.	
23		You've never walked it?
24	•	No, I have not.
25	Q	So then Yeshiva Spring Valley's
	`	
1		- Rita Louie -
2		, you have no recollection of that
3	whatsoever?	
4	Α	No, I do not.
5	Q	Are you familiar with Camp Dora
6	Golding?	
7	Α	I know the name.
8	Q	Do you know whether or not that
9	organizatio	n operated the property in the past?
10	1	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
11	Α	No, I do not.
12	Q	I'm sorry if I'm just repeating myself
13	here. But	you don't recall the name Rabbi
14	Fromowitz a	t all?
15	I	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
16	Α	No.
17	Q	This property that we're talking
18	about, this	100 acre property, have you heard it
19	called the	Camp Dora property?
20	Α	No.
21	Q	Have you heard it called Yeshiva
		Page 61

22	FinalRitaLouie.txt Spring Valley property?
23	A Yes.
24	Q That doesn't ring a bell or refresh
25	your memory about any application for that
_	71
1	- Rita Louie -
2	property?
3	A No, I don't remember any application
4	for that property.
5	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
6	be marked.)
7	(Whereupon, Affirmation of Rabbi
8	Fromowitz, Bates No. RC267, was marked,
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 265 for identification.)
10	Q Just take a second and review that
11	please for as long as you need.
12	A (Complying.) Okay.
13	Q After reviewing 265, does that refresh
14	your recollection at all about the Yeshiva Spring
15	Valley's proposed use of the property?
16	A No.
17	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
18	be marked.)
19	(Whereupon, Preserve Ramapo email,
20	1/9/07, Bates No. POM13255, was marked
21	Plaintiff's Exhibit 266 for identification.)
22	Q I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie,
23	what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 266,
24	and ask you to take a look through that.
25	A (Complying.)

			7.0
1		- Rita Louie -	72
2	Q	Have you ever seen Exhibit 266 before	?
3	Α	Not that I recall.	
4	Q	Back in '07, January of '07 that's	
5	when you w	were running for election; is that	
6	right?		
7	Α	Correct.	
8	Q	Board of trustees.	
9		And did you receive information from	
10	Preserve F	Ramapo back in that time in January of	
11	'07?		
12		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
13	Α	Not that I recall.	
14	Q	So have you ever seen at all this	
15	document?		
16	Α	I have never seen this document.	
17	Q	Today is the first time you ever saw	
18	this docur	ment?	
19	Α	Yes.	
20	Q	How did you hear the first time about	
21	the rabbin	nical college?	
22	Α	I don't remember. I don't remember	
23	the first	time I heard about it. It wasn't this	
24	document -	if that's what you're asking.	
25	Q	Again, I think you've answered it, bu	t
1		- Rita Louie -	73
_		- KICA LOUIE -	

I just want to make sure. This is the first time $$\operatorname{\textsc{Page}}$ 63$ 2

3	you've seen this document; is that right?
4	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
5	A As far as I remember, this is the
6	first time I've ever seen this document.
7	Q Have you ever seen the last two pages
8	of this document before?
9	A I don't remember ever seeing this.
10	Q Do you ever recall seeing any sketch,
11	any drawing or anything regarding the rabbinical
12	college's plans to develop?
13	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
14	A No, I don't.
15	Q Did you ever have any discussions with
16	anybody on the village board about the rabbinical
17	college's plans to develop the property?
18	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
19	A I don't I have no idea how to
20	answer that question.
21	Q Let me try to ask a better question.
22	You testified this is the first time
23	you saw this document. But did you ever talk
24	with anybody on the Village Board of Trustees and
25	they told you that they had seen a document

	i marki caloure. exc
7	know who.
8	Q You recall somebody telling you
9	something about the site plan?
10	A That there was a document out
11	somewhere. Never seen it.
12	Q You don't recall who told you that?
13	A No.
14	Q Do you recall when that was told to
15	you?
16	A NO.
17	Q Could you describe from what sources
18	you have received information regarding the
19	rabbinical college's plans for development?
20	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
21	A I have not received any information
22	regarding the plans for development of this
23	property.
24	Q So you've never seen any formal plans,
25	correct?
_	75
1	- Rita Louie -
2	A I've never seen any plans, no.
3	Q And you testified that you never saw
4	this prior exhibit which was 265 which lays out a
5	little sketch of the plan, right?
6	MS. NAPP: 266.
7	Q 266, I'm sorry.
8	A No, I've never seen it.
9	Q Is it fair to say then you've never
10	seen anything on paper regarding the rabbinical Page 65

11	college's plans to develop; is that fair?
12	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
13	A Correct, I've never seen anything on
14	paper or any plans regarding this project.
15	Q I think you've answered this earlier,
16	but I'll ask you again. Did you ever receive any
17	emails from Preserve Ramapo?
18	A In what time frame?
19	Q Back in 2007.
20	A I don't remember if I was on their
21	email list at any time back then.
22	Q So as you sit here today do you have
23	any recollection of receiving any emails from
24	Preserve Ramapo?
25	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
_	-
-	•
	76
1	- Rita Louie -
1 2	- Rita Louie - Q Back in 2007.
1 2 3	Rita Louie -Q Back in 2007.A It's possible, but I don't think so.
1 2 3 4	- Rita Louie - Q Back in 2007. A It's possible, but I don't think so. Q Beginning in 2007 were you aware of
1 2 3 4 5	- Rita Louie - Q Back in 2007. A It's possible, but I don't think so. Q Beginning in 2007 were you aware of the public sentiment regarding the plans for the
1 2 3 4	- Rita Louie - Q Back in 2007. A It's possible, but I don't think so. Q Beginning in 2007 were you aware of
1 2 3 4 5	- Rita Louie - Q Back in 2007. A It's possible, but I don't think so. Q Beginning in 2007 were you aware of the public sentiment regarding the plans for the
1 2 3 4 5	- Rita Louie - Q Back in 2007. A It's possible, but I don't think so. Q Beginning in 2007 were you aware of the public sentiment regarding the plans for the rabbinical college?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	- Rita Louie - Q Back in 2007. A It's possible, but I don't think so. Q Beginning in 2007 were you aware of the public sentiment regarding the plans for the rabbinical college? MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	- Rita Louie - Q Back in 2007. A It's possible, but I don't think so. Q Beginning in 2007 were you aware of the public sentiment regarding the plans for the rabbinical college? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A In 2007?

Yes, let me try to rephrase it.

the public sentiment regarding the plans for the Page 66

In January of 2007 were you aware of

12

13

14

Q

15 rabbinical college?

16		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	Α	I'd have to say no, because nobody
18	really kne	w what the plans were for this.
19	Q	The rabbinical college was a hot topic
20	for the el	ection, wasn't it?
21		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	Α	It was one of many topics, yeah.
23	Q	What were the other topics of the
24	election i	n 2007?
25	Α	One of the hottest topics was
1		- Rita Louie -
2	develonmen	t on top of the mountain.
3	Q	Would you consider that topic to be
4	·	tant than the rabbinical college topic?
5	more impor	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
6	Α	Yes.
7		What were the facts behind the
8	Q	t on the mountain that you refer to?
9	deve ropilleri	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
	A	-
10 11	A from rocid	I was getting considerable push back ents on the destruction of the scenic
12		
	-	the mountain in Pomona, because the
13	•	were taking down too many trees.
14	Q	What time frame was that happening,
15		appening back in late 2006, 2007?
16 1 .	Α	Yes, it started while I was on the
17	planning b	
18	Q	When you say developers, was there any Page 67

19	developer specifically that was doing that?
20	A There were a couple of people building
21	houses. I don't think they were big developers.
22	You know, medium size developers just building a
23	few houses.
24	Q Did you testify earlier that you don't
25	recall the name Michael Tauber?
1	78
1	- Rita Louie -
2	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
3	A No, I know the name. I don't really
4	know what he does.
5	Q Do you know that he was affiliated in
6	some way with the rabbinical college?
7	A I'm not clear on what project he would
8	be affiliated with.
9	Q I understand your answer. But did you
10	know back in 2007 that Michael Tauber had an
11	association with the rabbinical college?
12	A NO.
13	Q You didn't know that?
14	A Absolutely, no.
15	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
16	be marked.)
17	(Whereupon, Email dated 8/7/07, Bates
18	No. POM16497, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
19	267 for identification.)
20	Q I'm handing you now what's been marked
21	for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit 267.
22	It looks like that's an email from Brett Yagel Page 68

23 dated August 7, 2007 to

24	nicksanderson@pomonavillage.com and
25	rita.louie@pomonavillage.com. You were using
1	79 - Rita Louie -
2	that email, right, rita.louie@pomonavillage.com
3	in August of '07, correct?
4	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
5	A Correct.
6	Q The email reads, "Tauber Michael,
7	broker for Tartikov. Second largest donation to
8	CSL. Hit PR airwaves last night. B."
9	Does this refresh your recollection at
10	all, Mrs. Louie, about who Michael Tauber was?
11	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
12	A Reading this now I know who Michael
13	Tauber is or who he's affiliated with, according
14	to this email.
15	Q Is it your testimony today as you read
16	this, this is the first time you realized that
17	Michael Tauber was associated with Tartikov?
18	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
19	A I would say it wasn't that important
20	to me, so I would not have paid that much
21	attention to this.
22	Q What was not that important to you?
23	A Who Michael Tauber was.
24	Q But the Tartikov project was important
25	to you, right?

	80
1	- Rita Louie -
2	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
3	A Any project is important, but this
4	particular email wouldn't have made a very big
5	impact on me.
6	Q When you say this particular email,
7	what part of this particular email would not have
8	made a very big impact on you? I'm trying to
9	figure out what that is.
10	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
11	A The part of the top that came from
12	Brett Yagel.
13	Q That talks about Michael Tauber,
14	broker for Tartikov, being the second largest
15	donation to CSL?
16	A Yes.
17	Q CSL, by the way, for the record that's
18	Christopher St. Lawrence, right?
19	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
20	A Correct.
21	Q You recognize that CSL stands for
22	Christopher St. Lawrence, right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do you have any idea why Brett Yagel
25	sent this email only to you and Mr. Sanderson?
	81
1	- Rita Louie -
2	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.

3

FinalRitaLouie.txt
If it was right after the election or

4	we were his caucus, so he might have just
5	wanted to pass on the information.
6	Q What do you mean, we were his caucus?
7	A Nick, Brett and I ran together as the
8	Village Community Party. So if Brett got some
9	information he thought we would be interested in,
10	he might have sent it to us.
11	Q I'm trying to understand the word
12	caucus. Beyond the fact that you ran together on
13	the same slate, does the word caucus have any
14	special meaning there on the Village Board of
15	Trustees?
16	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	A Yeah, I mean it's the same thing, it
18	means we were a slate, that's all.
19	Q What does that mean, we were a slate?
20	I think I know, but I would like you to explain
21	that to me.
22	A We ran together for office on the same
23	ticket.
24	Q The election was in March of '07,
25	right?
1	82 - Rita Louie -
2	A Correct.
3	O This is about five months after the
4	election, right?
5	A Correct.
6	Q What was the reason for you, Nick
J	Page 71
	raye /1

7	FinalRitaLouie.txt Sanderson and Brett Yagel to still be on one
8	slate in August of '07?
9	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
10	A Well, we were also friends.
11	Q Were there times when just you, Nick
12	Sanderson and Brett Yagel would discuss the
13	Tartikov project?
14	A NO.
15	Q So you, Brett Yagel and Nick
16	Sanderson, just the three of you, never discussed
17	the Tartikov project amongst the three of you?
18	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
19	A In a meeting?
20	Q Anywhere.
21	A After the election, no.
22	Q How about before? Never after the
23	election. But before the election did the three
24	of you ever discuss the Tartikov project?
25	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
1	- Rita Louie -
1	
2	A It's possible.
3	Q Nothing comes to mind, though?
4	A Not specifically. We discussed a lot
5	of issues during the campaign.
6	Q Besides the Tartikov project and the
7	building on the side of the mountain, what were
8	the other issues that were of concern during your
9	election campaign?
10	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
	Page 72

FinalRitaLouie.txt
People of the village were concerned 11 about communication between the local government 12 and the residents. We had a lot of discussion 13 14 about that. 15 Improving communications? Q Improving communications, uh-huh. 16 Α 17 0 What else? 18 We discussed improving events in the Α 19 village. 20 Community day things? Q 21 Community things. We had a lot of 22 musicians in the village. We discussed the 23 institution of a music festival, which we 24 subsequently did start. Big and small issues, 25 many many. 84 - Rita Louie -1 2 Did you, Mr. Sanderson and Mr. Yagel Q 3 ever discuss the Tartikov project with anyone from Preserve Ramapo? Α 5 No. Never? Q 6 Α Not that I remember, no. Did you ever have any personal 8 Q discussions with Robert Rhodes about the Tartikov 9 10 project? 11 MS. NAPP: Object to the form.

Page 73

Did you ever have any discussions with

Not that I remember.

Michael Castelluccio regarding the Tartikov

12

13

14

Α

Q

	FinalRitaLouie.txt
15	project?
16	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	A No.
18	Q Never?
19	A No. I don't know Michael
20	Castelluccio.
21	Q Did you ever have any discussions with
22	Robert Prol regarding the Tartikov project?
23	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
24	A No.
25	Q I think you testified, but I just want
_	
1	- Rita Louie -
2	to be clear. Did you and Robert Prol sit on the
3	planning board together?
4	A NO.
5	Q Have you ever met Robert Prol?
6	A I may have met him years ago, but I
7	don't remember. I really never knew him.
8	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
9	be marked.)
10	(Whereupon, Campaign Literature,
11	Endorsement, Bates No. POM20043, was marked
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 268 for identification.)
13	Q I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie,
14	what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 268. I
15	ask you to review that.
16	A (Complying.)
17	Q Have you ever seen Exhibit 268 before?
18	A Not that I recall.
	Page 74

19	FinalRitaLouie.txt Q Well, you were endorsed by Preserve
20	Ramapo in your election in 2007; is that right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you know how that happened?
23	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
24	Q Did you meet with Bob Rhodes, the
25	chairman of Preserve Ramapo, prior to Preserve
	86
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Ramapo endorsing you?
3	A I don't remember meeting with him, but
4	maybe one of the other candidates did.
5	Q Did you speak with Bob Rhodes at all
6	regarding your desire to seek Preserve Ramapo's
7	endorsement?
8	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
9	A I did not personally, no.
10	Q Did anyone else on the slate do that?
11	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
12	A I have no knowledge of it.
13	Q Did you authorize Mr. Sanderson or Mr.
14	Yagel to speak to Preserve Ramapo on your behalf?
15	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
16	A No.
17	Q Do you disagree with anything in this
18	endorsement by Preserve Ramapo?
19	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
20	A No.
21	Q Back in 2007 you wanted the
22	endorsement from Preserve Ramapo, correct?
	Page 75

23	FinalRitaLouie.txt MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
24	A I don't remember seeking specifically
25	their endorsement, but certainly when you are
1	- Rita Louie -
2	running for office you want as many endorsements
3	as possible.
4	Q Why would you want the endorsement
5	from Preserve Ramapo?
6	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
7	A We I would want the endorsement of
8	any civic group or grass roots organization in
9	the community.
10	Q In the last full paragraph the
11	endorsement reads, "Nick, Brett and Rita have
12	stood with their Ladentown neighbors knowing that
13	the fight began with the passing of RLUIPA and
14	the floodgates that opened when the Ramapo Town
15	Board led by Christopher St. Lawrence passed the
16	adult student housing law and designated Patrick
17	Farm as one of the four ASH locations."
18	Did I read that accurately?
19	A Yes.
20	Q How did Bob Rhodes know that, what I
21	just read, that you stood with the Ladentown
22	neighbors?
23	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
24	A This is campaign speak.
25	Q What is campaign speak, what I just

	88	
1	- Rita Louie -	
2	read?	
3	A Yeah, it's campaign speak. It's, you	
4	know, the candidates, you know, will fight for	
5	you and for your neighborhood basically.	
6	Q Do you know who wrote this	
7	endorsement?	
8	A Well, it says it's from Bob Rhodes.	
9	Q That's what it says at the bottom,	
10	right?	
11	A Uh-huh.	
12	Q Do you know whether or not Bob Rhodes	
13	wrote this endorsement?	
14	A It says it's from Bob Rhodes. I have	
15	to assume he wrote it.	
16	Q And then reading again that same	
17	paragraph, "knowing that the fight began with the	
18	passing of RLUIPA and the floodgates that opened	
19	when the Ramapo Town Board led by Christopher St.	
20	Lawrence passed the adult student housing law and	
21	designated Patrick Farm as one of the four ASH	
22	locations."	
23	Do you agree that the floodgates were	
24	opened when the Ramapo Town Board passed the	
25	adult student housing law?	
1	- Rita Louie -	
2	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
3	A Yes.	
_	,	

4	Q What floodgates were opened?	
5	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
6	MR. STEPANOVICH: And the objection	n,
7	excuse me, would be?	
8	MS. NAPP: Floodgates, nobody know	'S
9	what that means.	
10	Q Do you know what floodgates means?	
11	A Floodgates, when you open up	
12	floodgates it lets everything through.	
13	Q So you agree then that the fight b	egan
14	when the adult student housing law was passed	in
15	Ramapo?	
16	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
17	Q Which opened up the floodgates; is	
18	that right?	
19	A It's kind of like it's rhetoric	
20	and, you know, I really can't agree with it o	r
21	disagree with it. It's just campaign rhetori	С.
22	Q And so it doesn't mean anything?	
23	A No.	
24	Q But it was used in an endorsement	for
25	you?	

90 - Rita Louie -1 2 Α Yes. 3 To get votes? Q Α 5 Isn't it true that what Mr. Rhodes is referring to here is that once the adult student 6 housing laws were passed in Ramapo, then the Page 787

8	Orthodox J	ews got to move into those houses, that
9	housing; i	sn't that right?
10		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
11	Α	No.
12	Q	That's not right?
13	Α	No, not necessarily at all.
14	Q	Do you know who lives in the adult
15	student ho	using in Ramapo?
16	Α	I don't even know where there is adult
17	student ho	using currently in Ramapo.
18	Q	You know there are adult student
19	housing si	tes in Ramapo, right?
20	Α	Not anything in particular.
21	Q	Well, I thought you said you lived
22	near Ramap	0?
23	Α	I do.
24	Q	You're not familiar with adult student
25	housing si	tes in Ramapo?

- Rita Louie -1 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 2 3 I don't know which sites are adult student housing sites, no. Do you know at all who the adult 5 6 student housing was intended to provide housing for? 7 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 8 9 Α Adult students. Of what, students of what? 10 Q It doesn't say. Page 79 11 Α

91

12	Q	Religious
13	Α	It says adult student housing. It's
14	adult stud	ents.
15	Q	Adult religious students, right?
16		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	Α	No, it could be any adult students I
18	believe.	If a piece of property is designated
19	for adult	student housing, it could be any adult
20	students I	would imagine.
21	Q	Adult students associated with what,
22	school or	
23	Α	Any kind of school.
24	Q	But you know, though, isn't it true
25	that the a	dult student housing in Ramapo was

92 1 - Rita Louie -2 designed to house Orthodox, Hasidic Jews, right? 3 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Α No. You don't know that? 5 Q 6 Α No. You do know that the intended 7 Q residents of Patrick Farms was going to be 8 Orthodox, Hasidic Jews, right? 9 10 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. I didn't know that until it came up 11 before the planning board, no. 12 13 Q But you ultimately found out, right? Only when the developer himself said 14 15 SO.

FinalRitaLouie.txt 16 You think Mr. St. Lawrence caters to Q 17 the Orthodox Jewish voting bloc? 18 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 19 Α No, not necessarily. 20 Well, what do you mean by not Q 21 necessarily? 22 I think he caters to developers like Α 23 any town supervisor because they bring him tax 24 revenue. 25 Q I think you testified, but I want to 93 1 - Rita Louie be clear. The Patrick Farm site was designated 2 3 as an adult student housing site in Ramapo, 4 correct? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 5 I wasn't completely aware of that, no. 6 Α 7 I thought it was designated as a multi-family zone, that was my understanding. 8 9 Q Mr. Rhodes indicates in the third paragraph that "This team has fought alongside 10 11 Preserve Ramapo and has proven that if necessary 12 it's up to the challenge to face a drawn out 13 RLUIPA battle that could compromise our zoning 14 and already overburdened infrastructure and water 15 supply." Did I read that accurately? 16 17 Α Yes. How did you fight alongside Preserve 18 Q Ramapo to do that? 19

20	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
21	A I have no idea what he's referring to.
22	It's campaign rhetoric.
23	Q Okay, that's all I have on it.
24	Are you aware sitting as a board of
25	trustee member if the village has ever taken any
1	94 - Rita Louie -
2	action with respect to any other land use
3	development outside of the Village of Pomona?
4	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
	•
5	A Say that again. Repeat the question,
6	I'm sorry.
7	(The question was repeated.)
8	A Yes.
9	Q Can you tell me which projects that
10	would be?
11	A We have filed a lawsuit against the
12	developer of the Walmart property on 202 that
13	straddles the Town of Haverstraw and Town of
14	Ramapo.
15	Q When was that?
16	A That was over a year ago. I don't
17	remember when, the date exactly.
18	Q What was the basis of that lawsuit?
19	MS. NAPP: I'm just going to caution
20	you, Rita, not to divulge any information
21	that might have been conveyed to you by
22	counsel. Anything that you understand
23	independently you're free to explain to Mr. Page 82

Stepanovich.

24

Z 4	Scepanovicii.
25	Q What do you understand the basis of
	95
1	- Rita Louie -
2	the lawsuit to be?
3	A I understand the basis of the lawsuit
4	to be that the zoning board acted improperly in
5	changing the parking regulations on that
6	property.
7	Q Then is the issue of the lawsuit a
8	parking issue, a parking lot issue?
9	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
10	A It's a zoning issue, yes.
11	Q That deals with parking?
12	A That deals with parking, parking and
13	density which are related.
14	Q So is it an issue that deals with the
15	number of parking spaces and spots?
16	A Yes.
17	Q what else?
18	A what else?
19	Q What other lawsuit are you familiar
20	with that the village has been involved in
21	outside of the village?
22	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
23	A The village was a party to a lawsuit
24	against the development on Grandview Avenue.
25	Q Grandview?

			~ ~
1		- Rita Louie -	96
2	Α	Grandview in Ramapo.	
3	Q	What is that about?	
4	Α	I thought that was a multi-student	
5	a multi-fa	umily housing project.	
6	Q	Was that an adult student housing	
7	project?		
8	Α	I don't know if we ever called it	
9	adult. Ma	lybe it was an adult student housing	
10	project.	You know what, I don't remember.	
11	There's so	many things.	
12	Q	Do you remember, what is your	
13	understand	ling of the basis of that lawsuit?	
14		MS. NAPP: Again, same caution.	
15	Α	Again, I believe my understanding of	
16	that lawsu	it was the amount of density on the	
17	project, t	hat it was not in keeping with the	
18	area.		
19	Q	Was that the Mosdos property?	
20	Α	Mosdos, yes.	
21	Q	What do you mean it was not in keepin	g
22	with the p	property, what do you mean by that?	
23	Α	That it was not the zoning or the	
24	density of	the project was very dissimilar to	
25	surroundir	ng properties.	
1		- Rita Louie -	97
2	0	Was this a property in Ramano?	

Page 84

Yes.

3

Q

FinalRitaLouie.txt Do you recall the zoning of the area

	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
5	where the land was located?
6	A I do not.
7	Q Do you know if it was an adult student
8	housing zone?
9	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
10	A I don't recall.
11	Q What other instances has the village
12	taken action on outside of its property in
13	relationship to a land use matter?
14	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
15	A Taken legal action on?
16	Q We could stay with that, legal action.
17	A I believe the village is also a party
18	to the lawsuit some lawsuits on the Patrick
19	Farm property.
20	Q What is your understanding of the
21	basis of those lawsuits?
22	A The basis of those lawsuits. My
23	understanding is that it is a also similar
24	action of the zoning board or town board that
25	downzoned the property in direct violation of
1	98
1	- Rita Louie -
2	their own comprehensive plan.
3	Q This is the Patrick Farm property we
4	are talking about?
5	A Patrick Farm property, yes.
6	Q Any other lawsuits?
7	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
	Page 85

FinalRitaLouie.txt That you're familiar with. 8 Q 9 MS. NAPP: Same objection. I can't think of any right now. 10 Α 11 Are you familiar with the Nike site Q 12 development? The Nike site development? 13 Α 14 0 15 Isn't that the same as the Mosdos? Α 16 Q That's what I was going to ask you. 17 Oh, okay. Yes. Α I just wanted to make sure we were 18 Q 19 talking about the same thing. 20 Α Okay. 21 Are you familiar with the Bobover Yeshiva? I'm probably not saying that right 22 23 obviously. 24 I remember the name, but I don't know 25 at the moment which project that is. 99 - Rita Louie -1 2 That project would have been a project Q outside of the Village of Pomona? 3 Α Yes. Other than recognizing the name, do 5 you have any recollection of that? 6 7 Α No, that was sometime ago. 8 when did you begin your campaign for Q 9 the election in 2007?

It would have been sometime in January

10

11

Α

2007.

FinalRitaLouie.txt 12 Why did you run for the board of Q 13 trustees in 2007? 14 Because I wanted to represent my Α 15 village. 16 What was it that you felt you had to Q offer the citizens? 17 18 I felt as a builder myself or as a 19 construction manager I was very familiar with development. I was also familiar with the 20 village and the character of the village. I love 21 22 the Village of Pomona, I loved all the people 23 there. I specifically moved to Pomona in 1993 24 and built my house there up on that hill because 25 I wanted to be in that village. And I felt like

100

1 - Rita Louie -2 I could give back to the village by being a 3 trustee. You used the word character of the 4 Q village or actually the term character of the 5 village. What do you mean by that? 6 It's very difficult to explain Pomona 7 8 unless you live there and you've been there, because it's not just a place where you live and 9 have your house. It's kind of like a state of 10 mind. It has trails and mountains and sunsets 11 12 and, you know, it's just a really pretty bucolic 13 place. When you say character that's what 14 Q

you're referring to, character of the village?

15

16 Yes. Α 17 The Tartikov project then in 2007, Q 18 when you ran, and I think you've testified and 19 I'm not trying to go over this a million times, 20 but it was in your opinion one of the issues that 21 was important, correct? 22 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 23 It was one of the issues, yes. Α 24 Q Citizens of Pomona expressed their 25 concerns to you about that Tartikov plan, right? 101 - Rita Louie -1 2 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Citizens expressed concern about all 3 the development that was going on at the time or 4 proposed development, potential development. 5 6 Beside the Tartikov project, what 7 proposed development were the citizens talking to 8 you about? There wasn't anything proposed as much 9 Α 10 as there was potential. Patrick Farm, Halley II, 11 certainly the Walmart project down on 202. 12 Q was the Walmart project a project back in 2007? 13 14 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 15 It was a potential project because it was open space. 16 And so what were the citizens telling 17 Q you about that open space, what did they tell 18 19 you?

20 Object to the form. MS. NAPP: 21 You can answer if you understand. Q 22 The question is too big. Α 23 Did the citizens want you to preserve Q 24 the open space? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 25 102 - Rita Louie -1 2 Is that what it was, did the citizens Q 3 say, look, we want to know what your position is 4 on the open space, did they say that? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 5 Yes, that question was asked. 6 Α Did they want to know what your 7 Q position was on open space? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 What was your position on open space Q back in 2007? 11 12 My position on open space was that if it was zoned for -- if the Walmart property was 13 14 zoned for, you know, shops, that we would fight 15 to make sure that that shopping center was built 16 according to the current zoning and kept in scale 17 with what the current zoning called for. If the 18 property was zoned for one or two acre zoning, 19 that we would fight to make sure that whatever 20 was built there is consistent with the zoning 21 that it was zoned for. 22 You used the word fight. Is it fair Q 23 to say your position back then - and it may be Page 89

2.4		FinalRitaLouie.txt
24		I'm just concerned with it back then
25	in 2007 - v	was that you would fight to enforce the
1		- Rita Louie -
2	village's	zoning code?
3	Α	Absolutely.
4	Q	What was your party affiliation back
5	in 2007?	
6	Α	Major party?
7	Q	No, your party affiliation that you
8	ran for in	2007 for the board.
9	Α	It was the Village Community Party.
10	Q	Was Mr. Sanderson also a member of
11	that party	?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	And Mr. Yagel?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	was anybody else a member of the
16	Village Co	nmunity Party?
17	Α	No, just the three of us.
18	Q	was that a party that was formed in
19	2007?	
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	Formed by you, Mr. Sanderson and Mr.
22	Yagel?	
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Did you run with that party
25	affiliation when you ran for reelection?	

	FinalRitaLouie.txt
1	- Rita Louie -
2	A Yes.
3	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
4	be marked.)
5	(Whereupon, Email dated 8/3/07, Bates
6	No. POM21331, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
7	269 for identification.)
8	Q When you ran for election in 2007 did
9	you believe that the village was at a crossroads?
10	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
11	Q You understand what I mean by the word
12	crossroads?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Did you believe that the village was
15	at a crossroads in 2007?
16	A Yeah, I think I did.
17	Q What do you mean by that?
18	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
19	Q What did you mean by the village being
20	at a crossroads back in 2007 when you ran for
21	election?
22	A At the time it was at the beginning of
23	there being a lot of there being a lot of
24	potential for large development surrounding the
25	village and that was concerning a lot of people.
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q In what respect?
3	A Well, we have gone over this before.
4	That people in the village were concerned that
•	Page 91

5	the surrounding areas were starting to develop
6	shopping centers and housing developments. And
7	they were concerned about the Village of Pomona
8	being caught in that crossroad.
9	Q What is it that the Village of Pomona
10	could do about the surrounding areas' zoning,
11	what were you going to accomplish?
12	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
13	Q Do you understand the question?
14	A Yes. I mean we could just voice our
15	opinion as an adjacent municipality or as
16	concerned citizens of the area. We have that
17	right as Americans.
18	Q What right?
19	A To voice our opinion.
20	Q The Village of Pomona, though, can't
21	officially affect a neighboring village or town's
22	zoning, can it?
23	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
24	A If we could legally have any
25	influence, we would submit comments like any

106 - Rita Louie -1 citizen of the area, sure. 2 3 The comments that you would submit would be what, official comments from the Village of Pomona? 6 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Personally I would submit comments 7 8 from me personally as a citizen of the town or Page 92

9	the area.
10	Q Did you ever do that, submit personal
11	comments regarding surrounding development?
12	A Sure, yeah.
13	Q In what instances?
14	A I've spoken vehemently against the
15	Patrick Farm downzoning and I spoke vehemently
16	about against the building of Boulder Stadium.
17	Also have spoken out and written comments about
18	the Walmart property development and against the
19	building of the desalinization plant on the
20	Hudson River, to name a few.
21	Q Since you've been on the Village Board
22	of Trustees has the village officially voiced its
23	opinion regarding adjacent development?
24	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
25	Q Because you talked about earlier the
1	- Rita Louie -
2	lawsuits. What I'm asking you now, has the
3	village ever sort of passed an official
	-
4	resolution, we're opposed to a certain kind of
5	development in any adjacent municipality?
6	MS. NAPP: Object to the form. You
7	can answer if you understand the question.
8	A Yes, I believe we have submitted
9	comments about certain projects in other areas of
10	the county.
11	Q Do you recall which ones?
12	A Not off the top of my head. Page 93

13	Yes, we have a resolution we did
14	submit a resolution against the desalinization
15	plant. I believe we off the top of my head I
16	can't think of them. But we absolutely have
17	issued resolutions against projects in other
18	parts of the county.
19	Q I hand you what's been marked as
20	Plaintiff's Exhibit 269, and ask you to look at
21	that. And specifically I'm just going to ask you
22	a question on the second page.
23	A (Complying.)
24	Q Do you recognize what's set forth
25	there under your name, Louie Election Video?

108 1 - Rita Louie -2 Α Yes. 3 Was that your election video Q transcript? 5 I believe so. I don't remember it But if this is what they say it is, it 6 verbatim. must be. 7 As you indicated, the election 2007 8 was a -- the village was at a crossroads. And 9 10 the election was a very important election. Do 11 you agree with that? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 12 13 Every election is an important 14 election. 15 But that one especially in 2007, was Q 16 that a critical election?

17	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
18	A I would say it was equally as
19	important as any other. But for me personally it
20	was critical because it was the first time I was
21	running, sure.
22	Q One of the issues, as you testified,
23	was the Tartikov project; is that right?
24	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
25	A Yes, that was one of the issues.
	109
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q Were you concerned that the Tartikov
3	project would completely change the village and
4	the makeup of the village?
5	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
6	A I was concerned that any large project
7	adjacent to the village could completely change
8	the village, yes.
9	Q What about change the makeup of the
10	village?
11	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
12	A I don't know what you mean by that.
13	Q Well, if you go to the first page,
14	Mrs. Louie, under Mr. Sanderson's election video,
15	third paragraph. "This election is critical for
16	the village. We are facing a huge proposed, or
17	as yet un-proposed development that has been
18	leaked, that could completely change the village
19	and the makeup of the village."
20	Did I read that accurately? Page 95

21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Do you know what he meant by that?
23		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
24	Α	Not specifically.
25	Q	What do you know about that phrase,

110 - Rita Louie -1 2 that the huge proposed or yet un-proposed 3 development that was leaked could completely 4 change the village and the makeup of the village; what did you understand in that regard? 5 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 6 These are not my words, so I can't... Α 7 But Mr. Sanderson was on your slate, 8 Q 9 right? 10 Yeah. Α 11 Did you talk at all to Mr. Sanderson Q about how this election could completely change 12 the village and the makeup of the village? 13 14 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. How this election? 15 Α 16 Strike that. Q Did you talk at all with Mr. Sanderson 17 about how the Tartikov project would change the 18 19 village and the makeup of the village? 20 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 21 He could be talking about one of 22 several developments in this paragraph, not specifically the Tartikov project. Because there 23 24 were several huge pieces of undeveloped land,

25 including the Walmart property, including the

	111
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Patrick Farm property, including the Tartikov
3	property, that had potential to have large
4	developments on them that could completely change
5	the village, yes.
6	Q Back in 2007?
7	A Yes.
8	Q These other projects, besides the
9	Tartikov project, they weren't located in the
10	village, were they?
11	A No.
12	Q So the only project that had the
13	potential of changing the village and the makeup
14	of the village was the Tartikov project, right?
15	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
16	A You know what, I'm not going to try
17	and get inside Nick Sanderson's head and what he
18	said in 2007, so I'm not going to answer.
19	Q Were you concerned about who was going
20	to be moving in if the Tartikov project was
21	approved?
22	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
23	A I have no way of knowing, because I
24	had no knowledge of what the project was going to
25	be.

1		FinalRitaLouie.txt - Rita Louie -
2	Q	Well, you knew it was a rabbinical
3	college, r	ight?
4	Α	I knew it could possibly potentially
5	be a rabbi	nical college.
6	Q	And you know rabbis are generally
7	Orthodox o	r Hasidic Jews, you know that, right?
8		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
9	Α	Yes, of course.
10	Q	And also other Jews, right?
11	Α	Of course.
12	Q	You understand that rabbis are
13	associated	with the Jewish faith and religion,
14	right?	
15	Α	Of course, yes.
16	Q	You also knew back in '07 that this
17	project ha	d a housing component, right?
18	Α	Not necessarily. I didn't know. I
19	had no ide	a what this project was. And to this
20	day still	have no idea what this project is.
21	Q	But you were vehemently opposed to it,
22	though, we	ren't you?
23		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
24	Α	No, I was not. I was vehemently
25	opposed to	any overdevelopment that wasn't in
1		- Rita Louie -
2	that wasn'	t consistent with the zoning that it
3		ated for at the time or today.
4	Q	You know that the site is about 100

5	FinalRitaLouie.txt acres, right?
6	A I thought it was about 120 acres.
7	Q So let's just agree that this site is
8	approximately 100 acres, you know that, right?
9	A Yes, it's a big property.
10	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
11	be marked.)
12	(Whereupon, Email dated 3/17/07, Bates
13	No. POM16974, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
14	270 for identification.)
15	Q I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie,
16	what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 270,
17	and ask you to look at it?
18	A (Complying.)
19	Q Have you seen Exhibit 270 before?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did you write this email?
22	A I believe I did.
23	Q It is an email from you, correct?
24	A Yes, it is.
25	Q And dated March 17th, sent to Brett
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Yagel and Nick Sanderson, right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And the subject is mass emailing
5	letter to go out, right?
6	A Correct.
7	Q How did you disseminate this email?
8	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
	Page 99

FinalRitaLouie.txt 9 I don't know. Α 10 Did you send it out by email? Q I don't remember if this ever went out 11 12 or not to the residents or to the voters. 13 March 17th was right before the 14 election, right? 15 Α Yes. 16 When was the election, you remember? Q March 20th I think it was. Or March 17 21st. I don't remember the date of the election. 18 19 At the time that was after the Q 20 endorsement of yourself, Mr. Sanderson and Mr. 21 Yagel by Preserve Ramapo; is that right? 22 Α Yes. 23 What relationship did you have with Q 24 the Coalition to Keep Ramapo Green? 25 Α I don't even remember what that group 115 - Rita Louie -1 2 was. I think it was a very small grass roots organization, but I have no idea who they are. 3 Did it have anything to do with 4 Q 5 Ladentown? Α Possibly. 6 7 You write "Preserve Ramapo and the Q Coalition to Keep Ramapo Green have endorsed the 8 9 Village Community Party because they believe our 10 party will most effectively be able to defeat any 11 developers who plan to take over our village and 12 our area," correct?

FinalRitaLouie.txt 13 Α Correct. 14 "We have the plan, the experience, the Q 15 knowledge, the legal counsel and the guts," 16 right? 17 Α Correct. MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 18 19 Q What was your plan? 20 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. I have no idea. Again, it's campaign 21 Α 22 speak. 23 So that sentence then, "We have the Q 24 plan, the experience, the knowledge and the legal counsel and the guts," you are saying that's all 25

116 1 - Rita Louie -2 campaign rhetoric? 3 Α Sure, yes. Why did you need campaign rhetoric? 4 Q 5 Because we were running for election. Α And you wanted to get elected? 6 Q 7 Yes. I want to be accurate here. Did you 8 Q 9 have a plan back in '07? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 10 11 We did not have a structured plan for Α 12 anything. We just wanted to win the election. 13 So then were you lying when you said Q we have a plan? 14 15 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 16 We weren't lying. We were just saying Α Page 101

FinalRitaLouie.txt we want to get elected. 17 18 So you would say whatever you needed Q 19 to say to get elected? 20 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 21 Yeah. At that time, yeah. Α 22 Q Down at the end, towards the end there 23 it says, "So if you want more of the same, go 24 ahead and let the incumbents stay in office. 25 Then we'll all have to move."

117

1 - Rita Louie what did you mean by that, we'll all 2 have to move? Why would you have to move? 3 If the -- the people who live in the Village of Pomona live there because of the place 5 that it is. That it's bucolic, that it's rolling 6 7 hills, that it's quiet. And if there's a huge shopping center going in with big trucks or a 8 9 huge housing development going in on the other 10 side and another huge housing development going in on the other side and a school being built on 11 12 another border, the village would in fact not be 13 the place where we lived. And that is exactly 14 what I meant. 15 There's no zoning in the Village of Q 16 Pomona for a Walmart, right? 17 Α No, there isn't. And there wasn't any zoning for 18 19 commercial use like that back in '07, was there? 20 Α No.

FinalRitaLouie.txt 21 So Pomona is just zoned for what, Q 22 residential? 23 Α Yes. 24 Any other kind of zoning in Pomona? Q 25 No, not right -- well, we have Α 118 1 - Rita Louie churches, we have religious institutions. 2 3 Q And residential, right? 4 And residential, yeah. 5 So based on the zoning then in '07, it wasn't possible for Walmart to be built in 6 Pomona, right? 7 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 8 Correct, but I was talking about on 9 10 the borders of the village. If you read my 11 rhetoric correctly, it says irresponsible 12 development along our borders. 13 where do you say that? I'm sorry. Oh, I see, along our borders. Yeah, but at the 14 15 very beginning you talk about -- you say, "Because they believe our party will most 16 17 effectively be able to defeat any developers who plan to take over our village," and I'll give you 18 19 this, "and our area." 20 But you are also referring about 21 developers taking over our village, right? 22 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 23 Α Correct. 24 And at the time in '07 there was no Q Page 103

FinalRitaLouie.txt 25 commercial zoning in the Village of Pomona,

4	
1	- Rita Louie -
2	right?
3	A Correct.
4	Q The only thing developers could take
5	over in Pomona in '07 was housing projects,
6	right?
7	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
8	A Yes.
9	Q Back in 2007 were people moving out of
10	the Village of Pomona?
11	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
12	A No, not that I know of.
13	Q Pardon me?
14	A Not that I know of.
15	Q What about since 2007, has there been
16	an unusual exodus of people moving out of Pomona?
17	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
18	A No.
19	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
20	be marked.)
21	(Whereupon, Campaign Literature, Bates
22	No. POM20045, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
23	271 for identification.)
24	Q I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie,
25	what's been marked as Exhibit 271. You've seen

1

2	this before, I'm sure?
3	A Yes.
4	Q This was your campaign literature that
5	was distributed; is that right?
6	A Correct.
7	Q The second page, the first column
8	there. It references the rabbinical college of
9	Tartikov plan, doesn't it?
10	A Yes, it does.
11	Q The third paragraph says, "From what
12	we know of the plan as it has been leaked to the
13	public, it will have real environmental and
14	safety problems."
15	What environmental problems were you
16	aware of?
17	A I have no idea. I don't recall what
18	this was referencing at the time.
19	Q Well, you saw this pamphlet before it
20	went out, right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q You approved it, right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And you've already testified that you
25	had no idea what was planned by the rabbinical
	121
1	- Rita Louie -
2	college, right?
3	A Yes. Right.
4	Q So how is it that you would have an
5	idea that there were real environmental problems? Page 105

6	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
7	A It was just project conjection
8	(sic). It was saying from what we know of the
9	plan that was leaked to the public.
10	Q But I thought you testified you didn't
11	know anything about the plan?
12	A We've never seen the plan.
13	Q So what did you know about the plan?
14	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
15	A Rumors, like everybody else in the
16	community.
17	Q Then I'm just trying to focus in on
18	what it was that formed the basis for you saying
19	in your election material that the project was
20	going to have real environmental problems?
21	A Like I said, it was conjecture. It
22	had potential to have environmental problems.
23	Q How do you know that?
24	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
25	A We didn't know.

122 1 - Rita Louie -2 But you knew that the proposal was going to include housing, right? 3 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 4 5 No, we didn't know. Α You didn't know that it was going to 6 7 include housing for adult students and their families? 8 We only knew what the rumor mill was Page 106 9 Α

10	telling us and telling the residents.
11	Q But you say here in the second
12	paragraph of this that you knew that it was going
13	to be the village was going to be faced with a
14	proposal for a huge development that will include
15	housing for thousands of adult students and their
16	families, right?
17	A Yes, but that was just a rumor. We
18	had no proof of that. It was just what people
19	were saying.
20	Q Did you attend the village board
21	meeting on January 22nd, 2007?
22	A January 22nd, 2000 I don't recall
23	if I was there.
24	Q It was a packed board meeting where
25	the village actually took action on a zoning law.

123 1 - Rita Louie -2 Do you recall being there? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 3 I don't remember that, no. Α Q Have you ever heard Mr. Savad speak in 5 front of a board of trustees meeting? 6 7 I'm not sure I know which person Mr. 8 savad is. 9 You know the name, though, Paul Savad? Q 10 Α Yes. 11 You know he was the attorney, one of 12 the attorneys in this case actually, but he was the attorney that made presentations in front of 13 Page 107

the board, did you know that?

14

15	Α	I believe he's an attorney in the
16	county who	represents a lot of different people.
17	That's how	I know the name.
18	Q	What was it that you knew about the
19	plan that f	ormed the basis for you and your slate
20	of candidat	es indicating that the plan would have
21	real safety	problems?
22		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
23	Q	I'm again referring to paragraph three
24	there.	
25		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
1		- Rita Louie -
2	Α	We only knew what the rumor mill was
3	spilling ou	t. And we knew it had a potential of
4	going above	and beyond our current zoning and
5	that would	have environmental problems.
6	Q	When you say you knew it had the
7	potential f	or going above the current zoning,
8	what do you	mean by that?
9	Α	That's what the rumors were, that some
10	big housing	development was going to come in and
11	challenge o	our zoning laws.
12		MR. STEPANOVICH: Can you read that
13	back, p	lease?
14		(The answer was repeated.)
15	Q	Where did you hear this?
16	Α	I am not sure.
17	Q	You wouldn't have heard it at any Page 108

FinalRitaLouie.txt 18 board meetings because back in March you weren't 19 on the board, right? 20 Α Correct. So you would have had to hear this 21 Q 22 from where, your friends and neighbors in the 23 community? 24 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 25 Α Possibly. 125 - Rita Louie -1 2 Q The third paragraph there, "You need 3 to vote for a team that is prepared to stand up to this threat of using the fundamentally unfair 4 RLUIPA statute as a hammer against our village. 5 A team that is in it for the long term, and one 6 7 that has already prepared themselves with a 8 strategy to fight for Pomona." 9 Did I read that accurately? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q What was the strategy that you had 12 already prepared to fight for Pomona? 13 Our main strategy was that we would 14 not back down against our zoning laws. 15 In other words, let me see if I 16 understand that correctly, your strategy was to 17 enforce your zoning laws? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 18 19 Α Correct. 20 Why? Q Because that's the basis of what the 21 Α

22	Village of Pomona was formed on.
23	Q To do what?
24	A To be a mountainous, bucolic, one acre
25	zoned, rural setting.
1	126 - Rita Louie -
2	Q Back then you thought, and I'm just
3	concerned with that time frame back in '07. You
4	thought that the RLUIPA statute was fundamentally
5	unfair?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Why?
8	A From what I've read, the RLUIPA
9	statute was being used in many areas of the
10	country to bring in huge developments, mega
11	churches, in very small towns and disrupting
12	those communities.
13	Q How was it disrupting those
14	communities?
15	A By bringing in huge mega churches in
16	very small towns that are used to a simple way of
17	life.
18	Q So is that what you mean when your
19	literature, your campaign literature uses the
20	term "as a hammer against our village"?
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	Q Strike that.
23	What did you mean that RLUIPA was
24	going to be used as a hammer against our village,
25	what did you mean by that? Page 110

			127
1		- Rita Louie -	
2	Α	I meant we meant we meant	
3	Q	Of course, I recognize that.	
4	Α	No developer was going to come in	
5	under a fu	ndamentally flawed statute to try and	
6	build some	mega development in the middle of our	
7	little sim	ple village.	
8	Q	But at the time RLUIPA was a federal	
9	law, right	?	
10	Α	And it still is, yes.	
11	Q	So what's the fundamental flaw in the	
12	law?		
13	Α	You know what, I'm not a lawyer, so I	
14	don't know	what it is. But that has yet to be	
15	seen.		
16	Q	What has yet to be seen?	
17	Α	I guess what the fundamental flaw is.	
18	Q	You've testified earlier that the	
19	proposed p	roject of Tartikov was to be you	
20	used diffe	rent terms. It was one of the issues	
21	that was i	mportant in the campaign, right?	
22	Α	Yes.	
23	Q	Then why did your campaign write unde	r
24	issues, "T	he single most important issue facing	
25	the villag	e is clearly the Tartikov development"	?

```
FinalRitaLouie.txt
                                 Object to the form.
 2
                      MS. NAPP:
                      And the next line says, "However,
 3
           other issues that face us are," and there are
 4
 5
           several other issues.
                      Well, I agree it sure says it. But it
 6
           also says -- it also doesn't say that those other
 7
 8
           issues are the single most important issue facing
 9
           the village, right?
10
11
                      MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
12
               be marked.)
                       (Whereupon, Campaign Literature,
13
14
               Candidate Endorsement, Bates No. POM20296,
15
               was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 272 for
               identification.)
16
                       I'm handing you, Mrs. Louie, what's
17
18
           been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 272, and ask
19
           you to take a look at that?
20
               Α
                       (Complying.)
21
                      Have you ever seen this before,
               Q
22
           Exhibit 272?
23
               Α
                      Yes.
24
                      Do you know who wrote it?
               Q
25
                      No, I don't.
               Α
                                                              129
                              - Rita Louie -
 1
 2
                      Did you and your other slate of
               Q
 3
           candidates seek the endorsement of the Republican
           Party?
 5
               Α
                      I did not.
```

6	FinalRitaLouie.txt Q Do you know whether or not Mr.
7	Sanderson or Mr. Yagel did?
8	A I don't know how this endorsement came
9	about.
10	Q But you recall being endorsed by the
11	Republican Party; is that right?
12	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
13	A I recall seeing this endorsement
14	letter come out, yes.
15	Q Did you supply any information to the
16	Republican Party to be used in drafting this
17	endorsement?
18	A No.
19	Q Do you know who did?
20	A No.
21	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
22	be marked.)
23	(Whereupon, Note to Rita, Bates No.
24	POM20311, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 273
25	for identification.)
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q I'm handing you what's been marked as
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 273, and ask if you can take
4	a look at that?
5	A (Complying.)
6	Q Have you ever seen this exhibit
7	before, 273?
8	A I don't remember this.
9	Q So you don't ever remember seeing this
	Page 113

FinalRitaLouie.txt 10 before? 11 Α well, I see it's addressed to me, but 12 I don't remember seeing this. 13 And you don't know who wrote this? Q 14 No, I do not know who wrote this. Α 15 Q Well, they reference at the very beginning at the top, "forged signatures in 16 17 petitions." Do you know anything about that? 18 No, I know nothing about that. 19 And did you recall back during the Q 20 campaign that there were some issues with Mr. 21 Marshall's campaign signs along --22 Α I do not remember that, no. 23 -- along the rabbinical college Q 24 property, do you remember that? 25 Nope, I do not remember this. Α

- Rita Louie -

1 2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

Q The second full paragraph from the bottom indicates that "At the opening of the cultural center in February I and Nick overheard the mayor discussing with another resident, whom I later located in the village in my door to door campaigning, that overtures with the Tartikov College had been made. I will swear in court to this."

Did you recall hearing anything about that, overtures with the Tartikov College being made between Mayor Marshall and representatives of the college?

14 I don't remember hearing anything about this. This is a complete surprise to me, 15 16 this email. 17 Q Could this have been written by Brett 18 Yagel? 19 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 20 Α I have no idea who could have wrote 21 this. So you've never seen this before? 22 0 23 No. Not that I remember. Α 24 To the best of your recollection Q 25 you've never seen this before? 132 1 - Rita Louie -2 To the best of my recollection I don't 3 remember seeing this. 4 I think you've answered this. But did 5 you know that Herb Marshall's signs were on the Tartikov property? 6 You know, if I remember correctly, I 7 remember something about that, that some of our 8 opponent's signs were along 306 and somebody had 9 made a comment about it. 10 11 Do you know who made that comment? Q 12 No, I don't remember. It really was 13 not a big deal. 14 Are you involved at all with the Q 15 cultural center in the Village of Pomona? 16 Α Yes. 17 When did your involvement begin? Q Page 115

18	FinalRitaLouie.txt A When I first became a trustee.
19	Q So that would have been back in
20	April
21	A '07, '08.
22	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
23	be marked.)
24	(Whereupon, Campaign Literature, Bates
25	No. POM33090, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
-1	133
1	- Rita Louie -
2	274 for identification.)
3	Q I'm handing you now what's been marked
4	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 274, and ask if you have
5	ever seen that before?
6	A (Perusing document.) It looks
7	familiar, yes.
8	Q Would this have been part of your
9	campaign literature?
10	A Yes, most probably.
11	Q About seven bullets down. Indicates
12	that you will or your slate will "Be proactive in
13	creating a legal defense fund that will grow in
14	the years prior to major legal expenses being
15	incurred fighting development that is not in
16	accordance with our zoning. We must start
17	preparing now. And to that end we will retain,
18	as a village, the most expert constitutional
19	special counsel to advise us on this flawed, but
20	well intended, RLUIPA statute."
21	Did I read that accurately?
	Page 116

22	FinalRitaLouie.txt A Yes.
23	Q And did you and Mr. Yagel and Mr.
24	Sanderson actually retain special counsel?
25	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
	<u>-</u>
4	134
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q To advise you on the flawed but well
3	intended RLUIPA federal statute?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Who was that?
6	A We reached out to Marci Hamilton.
7	Q Do you know, was it you or Mr.
8	Sanderson or Mr. Yagel that did that?
9	A I believe it was Mr. Sanderson.
10	Q Then ultimately you three - Mr.
11	Sanderson, Mr. Yagel and yourself - then actually
12	retained Marci Hamilton; is that right?
13	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
14	A I was not yes, as the Village
15	Community Party, yes, we did.
16	Q So is it your understanding that it
17	was the Village Community Party that retained
18	Marci Hamilton?
19	MS. NAPP: Object to the form, timing.
20	Q Back in '07 when you were running.
21	A Yes. For advice, yes.
22	Q Advice on what?
23	A The RLUIPA statute.
24	Q What was it that you were trying to
25	find out about regarding the RLUIPA statute?
	Page 117

		135
1	- Rita Louie -	133
2	MS. NAPP: I'm going to object here	
3	and direct Miss Louie not to answer on the	
4	basis of the privilege as we've discussed	
5	earlier.	
6	MR. STEPANOVICH: Fair enough.	
7	Q Just a couple of other questions.	
8	Do you recall signing a retainer	
9	agreement with Miss Hamilton?	
10	A I do not.	
11	Q Do you recall ever seeing a retainer	
12	agreement with Miss Hamilton?	
13	A No, I do not.	
14	Q Do you recall who amongst your slate	
15	of candidates actually talked with Miss Hamilton	
16	in order to obtain her services?	
17	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
18	Q You didn't talk with her, correct?	
19	A I did not speak with her.	
20	Q Do you know whether or not it was Mr.	
21	Sanderson or Mr. Yagel?	
22	A I don't know, no.	
23	MS. SOBEL: If I could just state for	
24	the record that we've agreed with opposing	
25	counsel since this issue is going to be	

- Rita Louie -

1

 $2 \hspace{1cm} \mbox{written on to the Court that we are} \\ \mbox{Page 118}$

3 agreeing, we are not agreeing with, we are 4 agreeing to your objecting right now. We 5 are not going to seek to call the Court right now, instead it will be part of the 6 letter. And when that issue is resolved we 7 will seek to have questioning on this topic, 8 assuming that that is what is permitted by 9 10 the Court. 11 MS. NAPP: Agree with that statement. 12 MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 13 be marked.) (Whereupon, Campaign Literature, Bates 14 No. POM20516, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 16 275 for identification.) 17 I'm handing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 275, and ask if you've seen 18 19 that before? 20 (Perusing document.) I'm not sure 21 what this is from. It has no reference. 22 I'm giving it to you as it was turned 23 over to us by the village. I'm not withholding any papers there. That's how it was given to us. 24 If you could look at what's described 25

1	- Rita Louie -
2	as Rita's Blurb, if could you read that?
3	A (Complying.) Okay.
4	Q Does that look familiar to you?
5	A It sounds like something I would say,
6	yes. Page 119

7	Q You indicate that "The Village of
8	Pomona is a cultural, ethnic and religious model
9	of diversity."
10	Can you explain to me how the Village
11	of Pomona is a cultural, ethnic and religious
12	model of diversity?
13	A That's also a pretty big question.
14	The Village of Pomona, although small, has
15	residents of every ethic background, religious
16	background, cultural background. We have
17	artists, we have musicians. We're a very small
18	village, we are sort of a microcosm of ethnic,
19	cultural and religious diversity.
20	Q Are there Hasidic Jews living in the
21	Village of Pomona?
22	A Possibly.
23	Q Do you know of any?
24	A I don't know any Hasidic Jews
25	personally who live in the village.

- Rita Louie -1 2 What about Orthodox Jews, do you know whether or not any Orthodox Jews live in the 3 village? 5 Α We definitely have some Orthodox Jews living in the village, Reformed Jews, Greek 6 Orthodox, Reformed Christians. We have every --7 8 many, many denominations of different religions. 9 What other backgrounds are you 10 familiar with that live in the Village of Pomona? Page 120

11		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
12	Α	We have Asians, we have Hindus, we
13	have white	, black, Indian. We have many, many
14	diverse pe	ople.
15	Q	Are there any Jewish synagogues in the
16	Village of	Pomona?
17		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
18	Α	No, not right now, no.
19	Q	I think you testified earlier that you
20	oversee th	e cultural center, the Pomona cultural
21	center, ri	ght?
22		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
23	Α	I don't think I ever said that.
24	Q	Are you affiliated with the Pomona
25	cultural c	enter?

139 1 - Rita Louie -2 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 3 As part of the Village of Pomona, yes. Α What capacity do you have? Q I'm liaison with the art director and the village board. 6 7 What does that mean? Q If the art director needs approval for 8 9 postcards and posters going out for his events, I 10 would approve them and talk to the art director 11 about the budget. 12 Can you give me some examples of some of the events that have been held at the cultural 13 14 center that celebrate the different cultures in

15	Pomona?
16	A We have art exhibits of all different
17	nature. We have Spanish dancing, Flamenco
18	dancing. You know, most of our exhibits are
19	pretty generic and not specifically geared
20	towards any culture.
21	Q Do you recall any Jewish events being
22	held at the cultural center?
23	A Like I said, not specifically a Jewish
24	event. We haven't had specifically any religious
25	events at the cultural center. We don't do that.
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q Any event that's held at the cultural
3	center, is it sponsored by the village?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Has there ever been any issue with
6	sponsoring an event at the cultural center on a
7	Saturday?
-	
8	
9	Q Of it being in conflict with a Jewish
10	religious observance.
11	A We don't necessarily plan, schedule or
12	not schedule things based on religious
13	observances of any kind.
14	Q So Saturday is just another day of the
15	week?
16	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	A More or less, for the most part.
18	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to Page 122

19	be marked.)
20	(Whereupon, Emails, Bates No.
21	POM16975, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 276
22	for identification.)
23	Q I'm handing you what's been marked as
24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 276. If you'll take a look
25	at that.
1	141 - Rita Louie -
2	A (Complying.)
3	Q Have you ever seen this before?
4	A Yes, it looks like I wrote it.
5	Q Yes, you wrote part of it?
6	A Part of it.
7	Q Who's Judy Fleischer?
8	A Judy Fleischer used to live in the
9	village I believe. She was a resident.
10	Q It looks like you're communicating
11	with her; is that right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q In the middle of this first page
14	there's an email from you to Judy, subject
15	RLUIPA, March 7th, 2007. You write, "Hi Judy, I
16	was forwarded a note you sent to Preserve Ramapo
17	regarding the Pomona election and wanted to
18	briefly respond."
19	So you were receiving communications
20	from Preserve Ramapo when you were running for
21	election, right?
22	MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Page 123

23	A Well, it appears Preserve Ramapo may
24	have forwarded an email to me that they could not
25	answer and thought I could respond to better.

142 - Rita Louie -1 2 Why did the email get forwarded to Q you? 3 4 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 5 Because it was regarding the Pomona Α election. 6 7 Was it also regarding the Tartikov Q property? 8 I don't know. 9 Α 10 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 11 You tell Judy in March of '07 that "We Q 12 are extremely well versed in the RLUIPA issues 13 and are ready to defend our village from 14 unnecessary overdevelopment that will change our 15 quality of life and threaten our environment." 16 You write that, correct? 17 Α Correct. You also write on the second page that 18 Q 19 "Brett Yagel will be contacting you to discuss 20 our platform further. It might be helpful if you 21 or someone you know would be willing to host a 22 chat and chew to get these issue out more in the public," right? 23 24 Α Yes. 25 Q What issue were you trying to get out

	1.	43
1	- Rita Louie -	+3
2	into the public?	
3	A Having not I don't see Judy's	
4	original note here that is being referred to, so	
5	I'm not a hundred percent sure what I was	
6	referring to.	
7	Q Well, what else besides the Tartikov	
8	project were you referring to in your email there	
9	to her?	
10	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
11	A It says overdevelopment in our area,	
12	so it could have been overall projects in the	
13	general area, which is what I have always said	
14	was my platform.	
15	Q So it was projects in the area as	
16	opposed to projects in your village, in the	
17	Village of Pomona?	
18	A Correct.	
19	Q Why did you write in the very next	
20	sentence, "We are extremely well versed in the	
21	RLUIPA issues and we are ready to defend our	
22	village from unnecessary overdevelopment that	
23	will change our quality of life"?	
24	A Because certainly there were several	
25	projects in Ramapo and adjacent areas that RLUIPA	
1	- Rita Louie -	44
2	was being invoked in lawsuits, which would have	

3	FinalRitaLouie.txt been the reason we needed to be well versed in
4	the RLUIPA issues. And we would have been
5	professing in our campaign that we are getting
6	ahead of it in case this should occur in our
7	village also.
8	And referring to this email, I'm
9	pretty sure I was just trying to calm down a
10	resident who was concerned about development in
11	the area, nothing more than that.
12	Q And get her vote, right?
13	A And get her vote, right. And try to
14	convince her to have an event at her house to
15	help us get more votes.
16	Q At the very top she responds saying,
17	"It was great speaking with Brett. I will try to
18	inform anyone that I know that may be able to
19	vote as to what the issues are and why not to
20	vote for the incumbent." And then you respond,
21	"Thank you so much for your support. The issues
22	are so very important, we can't afford to go on
23	business as usual."
24	What was business as usual?
25	MS. NAPP: I'm just going to object.
1	145 - Rita Louie -
2	I think you read that first part of the
3	email wrong.
4	Q Then why don't you read the first
5	your response then?
6	MS. NAPP: It was actually, "It was

FinalRitaLouie.txt 7 great getting to speak with Brett." 8 MR. STEPANOVICH: Yes, wo Brett. 9 I don't know what that means, wo Α 10 Brett. I think that's a typo. 11 Q But anyway, thank you. 12 But the focus of my question is really 13 your response. You write, "Thank you so much for 14 your support. The issues are so very important, we can't afford to go on business as usual. 15 Louie." 16 17 what did you mean business as usual? The entire campaign was based on that 18 Α 19 we wanted a change in the village, because the 20 incumbents were there for a very long time and they had become complacent, there was no 21 22 communication with the residents, nothing was 23 happening in the village dynamically, events had 24 dwindled away. Across the board we were running 25 on a platform that we wanted to change the 146 - Rita Louie -1 village as far as that goes and didn't want to 2 3 have business as usual in village government. None of that that you just defined as 4 Q 5 business as usual was mentioned in your email to 6 Judy down below, was it? 7 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. But again having not seen -- not 8 Α seeing here the note that was sent from Judy, I 9 10 don't know what issues were originally -- she was

FinalRitaLouie.txt 11 concerned about. 12 Do you have any idea where the note is Q from Judy? 13 14 Α No. 15 So you were forwarded something from Preserve Ramapo and that would have been the note 16 from Judy, right? 17 18 Α Possibly, yeah. But you don't have that note that was 19 Q 20 sent to you by Preserve Ramapo? 21 Α No, not that I know of. 22 On the bottom of the first page you Q 23 reference that you've retained prominent 24 development counsel to guide you through this 25 election as it relates to RLUIPA and development 147 - Rita Louie -1 2 issues and you have a well thought out 3 comprehensive plan to deal with the issues coming about without opening the village up to 4 unnecessary lawsuits; is that right? Is that 5 what you write? 6 Α That's what I wrote, yes. 8 What was this well thought out Q 9 comprehensive plan to deal with the issues coming about? 10 11 MS. NAPP: You can answer that 12 question as long as you don't divulge any 13 advice that might have been given to you by 14 Marcie. You can answer that to the best of

FinalRitaLouie.txt 15 your own knowledge. 16 To the best of my knowledge I'm not sure what that well thought out comprehensive 17 18 plan was, except to, as I've testified 19 previously, to uphold the zoning laws of the 20 Village of Pomona. 21 0 And to keep the rabbinical college out 22 of Pomona? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 23 24 That was not part of any plan, 25 absolutely not. 148 - Rita Louie -1 2 Did it occur to you back then in 2007 Q that as an elected official it was your duty to 3 uphold a federal statute? 5 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Yes, as an elected official it's my 6 duty to uphold all the laws of the state and 7 federal government. 8 9 It was your opinion back in '07 that 10 the RLUIPA statute was fundamentally flawed, 11 right? 12 It's my opinion that there is a flaw 13 possibly in the statute, yes. What is the flaw? 14 Q 15 I don't know what the flaw is, like I've said, since I'm not an attorney. But the 16 17 RLUIPA statute has been used in communities 18 across the United States for religious

19	institutions and some schools to build major	
20	projects and circumvent zoning laws in small	
21	towns.	
22	Q So is that basically your explanation	
23	of what the flaw is?	
24	A That's my explanation of what the flaw	
25	causes, but I don't know what the flaw is because	
1	- Rita Louie -	
2	that would be something that needs to be	
3		
	litigated or needs a legal expert to decipher.	
4	Q I think I understand what you're	
5	saying. Let me try, but I'll give you an	
6	opportunity obviously to correct me.	
7	It's the consequence to the village	
8	that you have a problem with when somebody uses	
9	the RLUIPA statute?	
10	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
11	MR. STEPANOVICH: I don't blame you.	
12	A I don't think I totally agree with	
13	that, because I don't understand what the	
14	question is.	
15	Q well, isn't it true, though, again	
16	based on what you understand, I'm not trying to	
17	get counsel's advice, you basically believe that	
18	RLUIPA can be used to get something done in a	
19	village that its zoning laws don't necessarily	
20	permit?	
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
22	Q Is that right?	
	Page 120	

23	FinalRitaLouie.txt A Yes, I do agree with that.
24	Q But you understand too that the basic
25	premise of RLUIPA is that the use has to be a
4	
1	- Rita Louie -
2	religious use, do you understand that?
3	A Not necessarily. Isn't the RLUIPA
4	law, it's not just religious use. It's also for
5	schools and special needs I believe or group
6	homes, things like that. It's a very well
7	intentioned law.
8	Q So that's what you understand. You
9	understand then that the use is something beyond
10	a religious use?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And it's your understanding then that
13	a group or an applicant can use the RLUIPA
14	statute to build a group home in Pomona?
15	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
16	A well, I don't know. It's the
17	Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons
18	Act, so I would assume it also could be used to
19	circumvent zoning laws for institutionalized
20	persons.
21	Q Prisoners? That's what that means.
22	A Oh, possibly.
23	Q I'm just trying to focus in on this.
24	So do you believe or do you understand
25	that RLUIPA could be used in a village even if

			151
1		- Rita Louie -	1)1
2	it's not a	religious use?	
3	А	Yes.	
4	Q	The property that you were referencing	g
5	in your em	ail here is the Tartikov property,	
6	right?		
7	Α	It doesn't say that here.	
8	Q	But it could be?	
9	А	It could be, yeah.	
10	Q	What other property was potentially	
11	the subjec	t of a RLUIPA use back in March of '07	
12	in the Vil	lage of Pomona?	
13		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
14	Α	It could have been the Patrick Farm	
15	property.		
16	Q	Patrick Farms is not in the Village o	f
17	Pomona, ri	ght?	
18	Α	No, it's not.	
19	Q	Could you think of any other property	
20	in the Vil	lage of Pomona that potentially could	
21	have a RLU	have a RLUIPA use?	
22		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
23	Α	Any property in Pomona could be	
24	subject to	a RLUIPA designation.	
25	Q	Isn't it true, though, that the	
1		- Rita Louie -	152
2	nronarty h	ere that you're referring to, the	
3		igns that Mr. Marshall was displaying,	
5	campaigh S	Page 132	

4	that was o	n the very that you say is on the	
5	very prope	rty that everyone is concerned about.	
6	Do you see	that?	
7	Α	Yes, I see that.	
8	Q	That's the Tartikov property, right?	
9	Α	I think that was probably the Tartikov	′
10	property,	sure.	
11	Q	And you said that you had heard that	
12	Herb Marsh	all was putting up signs on the	
13	Tartikov p	roperty. Where did you hear that from?	,
14	Α	I don't remember. Maybe it was from	
15	this email		
16	Q	At the end you write, "It might be	
17	helpful if	you or someone you know would be	
18	willing to	host a chat and chew to get these	
19	issue out	more in the public."	
20		What issue are you referring to, the	
21	Tartikov i	ssue?	
22		MS. NAPP: Objection.	
23	Α	I don't know. I can't tell from this.	į
24	And it was	so long ago I'm not going to imagine,	
25	you know,	which specific issues we were	
1		- Rita Louie -	153
2	discussing		
_	•		
3	Q	You testified earlier that you didn't	

7 A I said I was not a member of Preserve Page 133

that right?

5

6

have any -- I thought you testified you didn't

have any affiliation with Preserve Ramapo; is

8	Ramapo.
9	Q Do you know if Preserve Ramapo has
10	members?
11	MS. NAPP: Objection.
12	A I don't know if they have members or
13	if they're just a group of people.
14	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
15	be marked.)
16	(Whereupon, Email dated 3/26/07, Bates
17	No. POM21291, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
18	277 for identification.)
19	Q I'm handing you now what's been marked
20	as 277. See if you can take a look at that?
21	A (Complying.)
22	Q This Judy, you write to Judy Fleis, I
23	mean jfleisc@optonline. Do you know if that's
24	the same Judy that you wrote to prior in 276 that
25	we were talking about?
1	- Rita Louie -
2	A Yes.
3	Q You indicate now the hard work begins.
4	What did you mean by that?
5	A The hard work of governing the
5	A THE HATA WOLK OF GOVERNING CHE

8 to work so to speak. Is that what you mean?
9 A Yes.
10 Q You were indicating that you wanted
11 her help to get the word out and feedback. And Page 134

Campaign is over, now it's time to go

6

7

village.

Q

		FinalRitaLouie.txt
12	you wanted	to get some feedback; is that right?
13	Α	Correct.
14	Q	So was it important that you stayed in
15	contact wi	th the constituents; is that right?
16		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	And you wanted to hear what the
19	constituer	nts had to say regarding issues going on
20	in the vil	lage, right?
21		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Do you recall if Judy was opposed to
24	the rabbir	nical college project?
25		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
1		155 - Rita Louie -
1 2	A	- Rita Louie -
		- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being
2		- Rita Louie -
2	specifical	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being
2 3 4	specifical no. Q	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being Ty opposed to the rabbinical college,
2 3 4 5	specifical no. Q	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being Ily opposed to the rabbinical college, But she was definitely concerned about
2 3 4 5 6	specifical no. Q	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being Ily opposed to the rabbinical college, But she was definitely concerned about
2 3 4 5 6 7	specifical no. Q the project	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being Ily opposed to the rabbinical college, But she was definitely concerned about Et, right? MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	specifical no. Q the project	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being Ily opposed to the rabbinical college, But she was definitely concerned about Et, right? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. She like many other residents in our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	specifical no. Q the project A area were	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being Ily opposed to the rabbinical college, But she was definitely concerned about Et, right? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. She like many other residents in our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	specifical no. Q the project A area were overall.	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being Ily opposed to the rabbinical college, But she was definitely concerned about Et, right? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. She like many other residents in our concerned about development in the area
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	specifical no. Q the project A area were overall. Q A	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being Ily opposed to the rabbinical college, But she was definitely concerned about t, right? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. She like many other residents in our concerned about development in the area Any kind of development?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	specifical no. Q the project A area were overall. Q A	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being By opposed to the rabbinical college, But she was definitely concerned about ct, right? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. She like many other residents in our concerned about development in the area Any kind of development? Development that was not in sync with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	specifical no. Q the project A area were overall. Q A	- Rita Louie - I don't remember her being Ily opposed to the rabbinical college, But she was definitely concerned about it, right? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. She like many other residents in our concerned about development in the area Any kind of development? Development that was not in sync with of the area. MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to

	FinalRitaLouie.txt
16	(Whereupon, Campaign Literature, Bates
17	No. POM21311, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
18	278 for identification.)
19	Q I'm handing you what's been marked as
20	Plaintiff's Exhibit 278. See if you can
21	recognize that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Was this one of your campaign
24	placards?
25	A Yes, that was our lawn sign.
	156
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q You indicate fighting for the future
3	of Pomona, right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And what do you mean by that, fighting
6	for the future of Pomona?
7	A It's a campaign slogan.
8	Q Which means what?
9	A Which means fighting for the future of
10	Pomona.
11	Q Fighting to keep out the rabbinical
12	college?
13	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
14	A No, fighting to keep Pomona Pomona.
15	Q What do you mean by that? What did
16	you mean by that?
17	A I meant fighting to keep Pomona the
18	village that it is and not change it.
19	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to Page 136

20	be marked.)
21	(Whereupon, Printout of Journal News
22	article, 4/2/07, Bates Nos. RC1682-83, was
23	marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 279 for
24	identification.)
25	Q I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie,
1	157 - Rita Louie -
1	
2	what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 279,
3	and ask if you've ever seen that before?
4	A I don't remember seeing this, no.
5	Q It was an article in the Journal News
6	I guess right after the election; is that right?
7	A Correct.
8	Q But you just don't recall seeing this?
9	A About two weeks after the election it
10	looks like. No, I don't remember seeing it.
11	Q I'll just ask you a couple of
12	questions about it. Down towards the bottom it
13	says, "Sanderson said he and his running mates
14	had studied the Religious Land Use and
15	Institutionalized Persons Act."
16	What did you do to study the Religious
17	Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act?
18	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
19	A I think that's just semantics. We
20	just educated ourselves on the statute as much as
21	we could.
22	Q How did you educate yourself? I'm not
23	asking you any questions about what any lawyer Page 137

told you. How did you educate yourself, outside

24

25

of receiving counsel from an attorney? 158 - Rita Louie -1 2 Α Personally I looked up the law and 3 read it. Did you do anything else in your 0 studies of the law? 5 6 We did reach out to Marci Hamilton and 7 asked her about the background on it. That's all. 8 9 Q Did you personally do any research regarding other areas of the country that had 10 RLUIPA cases? 11 12 Α I did not. 13 So the information you were talking 14 about earlier about other communities that had 15 RLUIPA cases, that information came to you from someone else? 16 17 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 18 Α Probably while I was reading the 19 history of the RLUIPA law. 20 You said you read more than just the Q 21 law? 22 I read more than just the law. 23 the law came about and what it was. And it's possible that you read about 24 25 some RLUIPA challenges in various parts of the

			159
1		- Rita Louie -	139
2	country?		
3	А	It's possible, but I don't remember	
4	them speci	fically.	
5		MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document	to
6	be mar	ked.)	
7		(Whereupon, Posting, Bates No.	
8	PROL00	00006, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibi	t
9	280 fo	r identification.)	
10	Q	I'm handing you what's been marked	as
11	Plaintiff'	s Exhibit 280. Have you ever seen t	his
12	before?		
13	А	No, I have not.	
14	Q	"Rita from Pomona," is that you?	
15	А	Possibly, yeah.	
16	Q	"Defeat RLUIPA, new member, Rita fro	om
17	Pomona."		
18	А	Uh-huh.	
19	Q	"Proud to be a new member of this	
20	group. Le	t's keep recruiting from around the	
21	country.	we're all in this together," right?	
22		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
23	Q	Is that what it says?	
24	А	Yes.	
25	Q	What do you mean by "we're all in the	his
1		Dita Louis	160
1	+0~2+62:112	- Rita Louie -	
2	together"?		
3	Α	I have no idea.	
		DAUD LKM	

4	Q	FinalRitaLouie.txt Do you recall writing this?
5	Α	No, I don't.
6		There are several other people in
7	Pomona nam	ned Rita. So, you know, because I don't
8	remember w	riting this it might not have been me.
9	Q	But you said it was possibly you,
10	right?	
11	Α	It could possibly have been me. I
12	don't know	ı.
13	Q	Do you know about the group Defeat
14	RLUIPA?	
15	Α	No.
16	Q	You never heard of it before?
17	Α	No, I have not. I may you know,
18	have been	on many blogs and many sites and many
19	logs in my	life. I mean this isn't even dated.
20	I don't kr	ow what it's from.
21		MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
22	be mar	ked.)
23		(Whereupon, Emails, Bates No.
24	POM171	12, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 281
25	for ic	lentification.)
1		161 - Rita Louie -
2	Q	I'm handing you what's been marked as
3	•	Louie. See if that refreshes your
4	•	out the Defeat RLUIPA group.
5	Α	(Perusing document.) "Please consider
6		r Google group."
7	Q	So does that refresh your memory now
	`	Page 140
		.

8	that that w	FinalRitaLouie.txt was a group started I think by Mr. Bob		
9	Prol, does that refresh your memory now?			
10	А	I see it, I'm reading the email here.		
11	But I don'	t specifically remember anything about		
12	this.			
13	Q	At the top of the email it indicates		
14	that it's an email from Mr. Sanderson saying that			
15	he joined the group, right?			
16	Α	Yes.		
17	Q	what do you know about the movement to		
18	incorporate the Village of Ladentown?			
19	Α	I know at one time there was a group		
20	going around taking signatures to form the group			
21	of Ladentown.			
22	Q	Were you involved in that group?		
23	Α	Not too much. At the time I wasn't		
24	that involved actively.			
25	Q	Did you ever get involved in that		
1		1 - Rita Louie -	62	
2	group?			
3	Α	I went to some hearings as an audience		
4	member. I	don't remember being actively involved		
5	in the formation of Ladentown.			
6	Q	Where would the Village of Ladentown		
7	have been	formed, what's it's geographic		
8	location?			
9	Α	I'm not sure what the borders were,		
10	but I know	it included Ladentown Road and other		
11	historical	areas within the Village of Pomona and		
		- 444		

12	outside th	FinalRitaLouie.txt e Village of Pomona.	
13	Q	Did you vote to have the Village of	
14		the Town of Ramapo over Patrick Farms?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	I think you testified briefly about	
17		er, but why did you do that?	
18	A	Because the Village of Pomona is a	
19		•	
20	municipality adjacent to the Patrick Farm, it affects our village.		
21	Q	How does it affect your village?	
22	Α	Environmentally, scenic.	
23	Q	Let's start with environmentally. How	
24	environmen	•	
25	Α	The Patrick Farm area is a wooded	
1		163 - Rita Louie -	
2	area, has	streams, wetlands, trees, wildlife.	
3	Q	If all of those issues, the	
4	environmental issues were taken care of or		
5	mitigated as you say, would you still have a		
6	problem wi	th the Patrick Farms development?	
7		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
8	Α	I would have a problem, I have a	
9	problem wi	th the downzoning of the Patrick Farm.	
10	Q	So you have a problem with what, the	
11	number, th	e density?	
12	Α	The density, yes.	
13	Q	What was your understanding of the	
14	density re	quirements of Patrick Farm?	
15	A	At the time I did speak in front of	

FinalRitaLouie.txt 16 the zoning board in objection to the downzoning 17 of Patrick Farm from two acre zoning to multi 18 family. 19 Q Do you recall what the multi-family 20 density provisions were, how many per unit? I don't recall. 21 While you have been a member of the 22 0 23 board of trustees has the village taken any steps 24 to repeal or revise RLUIPA? 25 Α No. 164 - Rita Louie -1 2 Do you personally think RLUIPA should Q be repealed? 3 I don't know. 4 Α Do vou think RLUIPA should be revised? 5 Q I think it should be discussed. 6 Α 7 What should be discussed? Q From my understanding of how the law 8 came about, there was never a discussion on the 9 10 floor of the House when they voted on it, on how it would affect communities. And I think they 11 12 should revisit that and possibly revise the law 13 based on that. 14 So your understanding is when the law Q was passed there was never any input from the 15 16 villages as to the consequences of the law? 17 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. My understanding there was no 18 Α 19 discussion in the House or Senate on the

FinalRitaLouie.txt consequences of the law, that it was just passed 20 21 without any discussion. 22 What is it that you would like if you Q 23 had the opportunity to discuss it as you say, 24 what is it that you would like the legislators to 25 hear from you about it? 165 - Rita Louie -1 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 2 I don't know if I can answer that 3 Α 4 question. Well, we've kind of gone around a 5 Q little bit, testified that the statute is flawed, 6 it's been in your campaign literature. You've indicated that a discussion needs to be had. So 8 9 I mean I'm sure as you sit here today you have to 10 have an idea of how you would like to correct the 11 statute. 12 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 13 Right? Q 14 well, I don't believe that any federal 15 law should be big enough that it circumvents 16 local zoning. Although there are portions of 17 that law that are probably very good and very well intentioned, no federal law should be 18 allowed to come into a small town or a small 19 20 village or a small community and circumvent their 21 local zoning. That portion of the RLUIPA law 22 should probably be discussed. 23 Do you know anything about the Fair Q Page 144

24	FinalRitaLouie.txt Housing Act?
25	A The Fair Housing Act was passed
1	- Rita Louie -
2	what was it, 1968?
3	Q What do you know about it?
4	A To make sure there was no
5	discrimination against people, race, creed.
6	Q That's a federal law, right?
7	A That's a federal law.
8	Q That federal law also affects local
9	zoning, doesn't it?
10	MS. NAPP: Objection.
11	A No, that federal law is more geared
12	towards landlords.
13	Q So is it your understanding that the
14	Federal Fair Housing Act doesn't impose any
15	obligations on local governmental officials?
16	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	A Only if they are taking money from
18	the HUD money from the federal government.
19	Then they have to adhere to the federal housing
20	laws in their development. And they can't
21	discriminate against anyone based on race, creed
22	or religion.
23	Q So is it your understanding that the
24	Fair Housing Act doesn't apply to local
25	governments?

	FinalRitaLouie.txt 167
1	- Rita Louie -
2	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
3	A Absolutely not. Of course the Fair
4	Housing Act applies to local governments.
5	Q Is that only when the government is
6	acting as a landlord?
7	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
8	A What other time would the Fair Housing
9	Act apply to a government?
10	Q Well, maybe when it's passed in the
11	legislation it affects housing?
12	MS. NAPP: Objection.
13	A Not in zoning laws.
14	Q I just want to be clear. So is it
15	your understanding that the zoning law passed by
16	Pomona is not subject to the Federal Fair Housing
17	Act?
18	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
19	A One has nothing to do with the other.
20	That's not true.
21	Q So tell me
22	A Which zoning law are you referring to?
23	MS. NAPP: I'm lost too. I don't mean
24	to be flip. But objection. I don't
25	understand that either.
1	168 - Rita Louie -
2	Q Do you understand that the Federal
3	Fair Housing Law prohibits a village from passing
4	a law that makes housing unavailable, you with me Page 146

_	
5	so far?
6	A Yeah.
7	Q If the passage of the law is based
8	upon some religious reason.
9	MS. NAPP: Objection.
10	Q Do you understand that?
11	A No, I don't understand that.
12	Q Okay, all right.
13	But we do agree, though, that the
14	you agree that the Village of Pomona's zoning
15	laws are subject to the Federal Fair Housing Act,
16	you agree with that, right?
17	MS. NAPP: Objection.
18	A Which zoning laws?
19	Q Any zoning law that deals with
20	housing.
21	MS. NAPP: Objection.
22	A I'm not going to agree with that.
23	Q Why not?
24	A Because I don't understand the
25	question as it pertains to as fair housing

169 - Rita Louie -1 2 pertains to zoning. They are two separate issues 3 in this case. MS. NAPP: I'm just going to state for the record, I'm trying to give you some 5 6 latitude but Miss Louie is not an attorney and I think those are pretty technical 7 8 questions.

FinalRitaLouie.txt 9 MR. STEPANOVICH: I understand. 10 Q Let's come back to this. You 11 understand that the Federal Fair Housing Act is a federal law, you understand that? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 And you understand that RLUIPA is a Q federal law, right? 15 16 Α Yes. We'll leave it at that. 17 Q (Recess held.) 18 19 MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 20 be marked.) (Whereupon, Emails, Bates Nos. 21 POM16969-72, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 22 23 282 for identification.) 24 I'm handing you what's been marked as 25 Exhibit 282, and ask if you've ever seen that 170 - Rita Louie -1 2 before? 3 Α Yes. This is an email from you, correct, to Q 5 Mr. Sanderson and Mr. Yagel, right? 6 Α Yes. What was the purpose of this email? 7 Q

9 seminar.

10 Q What was the seminar?

11 A It was a discussion on RLUIPA.

12 Q Is this right after you got elected?
Page 148

I was passing on a flier for a

8

Α

13	A Yes.
14	Q Why did you forward this to Mr.
15	Sanderson and Mr. Yagel?
16	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	A Just to see if they wanted to attend
18	this event.
19	Q And to see if they wanted to get
20	educated on RLUIPA?
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	A No, I forwarded the flier to see if
23	they wanted to go to the event or pass it on to
24	their email list to see if anybody else was
25	interested in the event.
1	171 - Rita Louie -
1 2	
	Q You write, "PS: Brett, might want to
3	pass this onto some Pomona people on our email
4	list who might be interested in getting educated
5	on RLUIPA;" is that right?
6	A Correct.
7	Q That's why you passed it on, correct?
8	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
9	A I passed it on to see if Brett or Nick
10	wanted to go to the event and/or pass it on to
11	their email list, like I would with any event.
12	Q Did you go to this event?
13	A I believe I was there for part of the
14	time.
15	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
16	be marked.)

17		(Whereupon, Document pertaining to			
18	Confer	Conference August 16-18, 2007, Bates No.			
19	POM116	POM11646, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 283			
20	for id	entification.)			
21	Q	I'm handing you what's been marked as			
22	Plaintiff'	s Exhibit 283, and ask if you've ever			
23	seen that	before?			
24	Α	No, I have never seen this.			
25	Q	So looks like it was a land use			
_					
1	_	- Rita Louie -			
2		on August 16th to 18th, 2007 regarding			
3	RLUIPA. D	id you attend that conference?			
4	Α	No, I did not.			
5	Q	Have you ever seen this document?			
6	Α	I have never seen this document.			
7	Q	Besides any counsel, have you ever			
8	been advis	ed to be careful of what comments you			
9	make in pu	blic on RLUIPA cases?			
10		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.			
11	А	Not that I remember.			
12	Q	Have you ever been told by anyone on			
13	the board	to be careful not to make any off the			
14	cuff remar	k in a public hearing?			
15		MS. NAPP: Object to the form.			
16	Α	Maybe.			
17	Q	Maybe?			
18	Α	Maybe.			
19	Q	what do you recall about that?			
20	А	I may have had conversation with the Page 150			

FinalRitaLouie.txt 21 mayor, Mayor Yagel, in recent years about public 22 comments being taken out of context or being 23 misconstrued. 24 What about when you first came on the Q 25 board back in '07, did you have any similar 173 - Rita Louie -1 2 conversations with anybody on the board of 3 trustees? Possibly. I don't remember. Α 4 Basically was the intent of what you 5 Q were told just to be careful of what you said in 6 public? 7 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 8 9 As elected officials we always have to Α 10 be careful about what we say in public because it could be misconstrued or taken out of context by 11 12 the media or other people. 13 MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 14 be marked.) (Whereupon, Emails, Bates No. 15 POM17094, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 284 16 17 for identification.) I'm handing you what's been marked as 18 Plaintiff's No. 284, and ask if you have ever 19 seen that before? 20 It is an email addressed to me, so I 21 22 have probably seen it before.

Page 151

23

24

Q

Α

Yes.

It's from Brett Yagel, correct?

25	Q	Dated April	2nd,	2007,	right?
----	---	-------------	------	-------	--------

	174
1	- Rita Louie -
2	A Yes.
3	Q He writes, "Must be very careful about
4	what we say. Don't know who's in the audience.
5	Who knows, Savad might show up again."
6	Is that what it said?
7	A Yes, that's what it says.
8	Q What did you understand that to mean?
9	A I understood that to mean to be
10	careful what you say because someone in the
11	audience might be trying to get dirt on you or
12	trying to, you know, get a quote from you that
13	they can use against you.
14	Q Specifically in this instance they
15	were referring Mr. Yagel was referring be
16	careful, Mr. Savad might show up again; is that
17	right?
18	A Right.
19	Q You were actually on the board at this
20	time, right, April 2nd, 2007?
21	A Yes. We were just new on the board,
22	yes.
23	Q Did you receive any kind of guideline
24	or instructions from anyone else besides Mr.
25	Yagel back in '07 to be careful what you said in

1	FinalRitaLouie.txt - Rita Louie -
2	public?
3	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
4	A Not that I remember.
5	Q What do you know about the New York
6	Sunshine laws in terms of communicating with
7	other board members?
8	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
9	A That you can't have a private meeting
10	or a quorum of three or more board members
11	without notice to the public.
12	Q From what you know, do those laws
13	prevent you from emailing to other members of the
14	board?
15	A I believe I don't think that that
16	applies to the law.
17	Q What is it that you understand
18	regarding email?
19	A I have no knowledge of how it applies
20	to the emails. I haven't discussed it with
21	anyone.
22	Q So were you in fact careful what you
23	said at the public meetings?
24	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
25	A I don't know.
1	176 - Rita Louie -
2	Q Let's be more specific. Were you
3	careful what you said when Mr. Savad was there on
4	behalf of Tartikov at any public hearing?

FinalRitaLouie.txt Object to the form. 5 MS. NAPP: 6 I was not aware of Mr. Savad being at 7 any public meeting that I was speaking at. 8 Have you ever been at a meeting and heard Mr. Savad speak? 9 10 MS. NAPP: Objection. Asked and 11 answered. 12 Possibly. But I don't know what he looks like, so I don't know. 13 14 what about anyone from his office, did Q 15 you ever hear anyone else from his office speak at a public hearing? 16 17 Α I don't know. 18 Did you ever talk to Mr. Yagel and Mr. Sanderson in person about being careful what you 19 20 say in public? 21 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 22 Not that I remember. Α 23 Is it possible that you spoke to those Q 24 two individuals in person about being careful 25 what you say in public? 177 1 - Rita Louie -MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 2 3 Anything is possible. Α 4 MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 5 be marked.) (Whereupon, Emails, Bates No. 6 POM33424, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 285 7 for identification.) 8

9	FinalRitaLouie.txt Q I'm handing you what's now been marked
10	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 285, and ask if you've
11	seen that before? And specifically I'm going to
12	just ask you a question about the front page.
13	MS. NAPP: Take your time and read the
14	whole thing if you like.
15	Q Yes, read the whole thing. And I'll
16	take just a minute.
17	(Recess held.)
18	Q Are you done, Mrs. Louie?
19	A Yes, I am.
20	(Off-the-record discussion.)
21	Q This is your email at the top. You
22	sent this to Mr. Sanderson; is that right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Who's Barnaby Joyce?
25	A I'm not really sure. I think he was a
1	178 - Rita Louie -
2	builder up on the mountain in Pomona, building
3	
	houses.
4	houses. Q Were you trying to sort of get
4 5	
-	Q Were you trying to sort of get
5	Q Were you trying to sort of get information about some sort of understanding of
5	Q Were you trying to sort of get information about some sort of understanding of whether or not the village met with individuals
5 6 7	Q Were you trying to sort of get information about some sort of understanding of whether or not the village met with individuals who had yet not submitted plans, formal plans, is
5 6 7 8	Q Were you trying to sort of get information about some sort of understanding of whether or not the village met with individuals who had yet not submitted plans, formal plans, is that what this email was about?
5 6 7 8 9	Q Were you trying to sort of get information about some sort of understanding of whether or not the village met with individuals who had yet not submitted plans, formal plans, is that what this email was about? MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
5 6 7 8 9	Q Were you trying to sort of get information about some sort of understanding of whether or not the village met with individuals who had yet not submitted plans, formal plans, is that what this email was about? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A Yes, I was asking the mayor what the

	FinalRitaLouie.txt
13	assistant from Savad's office was at the planning
14	board meeting last night taking notes, as usual.
15	The planning board members didn't know who she
16	was. Maybe the planning board needs a little
17	advice on the ground rules from Doris regarding
18	this. Talk to you later."
19	What are the ground rules that you're
20	referring to here?
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	A I don't know. I don't know what I was
23	referring to. I was new on the board and I
24	obviously was a little ignorant of what the
25	policies were.
	179
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q You mention an assistant from Savad's
3	office was there, as usual. What are you trying
4	to relay there?
5	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
6	A I guess that an assistant from Savad's
7	office was there taking notes, as usual.
8	Q From your experience, and I know it
9	was limited experience, was that unusual, that
10	someone was there from an attorney's office
11	taking notes?
12	A Well, I wrote as usual, so maybe it
13	wasn't unusual.

were at, was there always an assistant from Mr.

Savad's office there?

15

17	FinalRitaLouie.txt MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
18	A I don't remember.
19	Q Did you get advice on the ground rules
20	regarding your request here at the end of this
21	paragraph?
22	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
23	A I don't think so. I don't remember
24	getting any response to this.
25	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
1	- Rita Louie -
2	be marked.)
3	(Whereupon, Printout of article, "In a
4	Town Divided, a Wispy Boundary Between Land
5	Use and Religion," Bates Nos. RC1618-19, was
6	marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 286 for
7	identification.)
8	Q I'm handing you what's been marked as
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 286, and ask if you've ever
10	seen that before?
11	A (Perusing document.) I don't remember
12	seeing this exact document before.
13	(Recess held.)
14	Q Of course you've had time to review
15	this. You probably know it verbatim now.
16	Exhibit 286, you've seen it today, right?
17	A I've seen it just now when you gave it
18	to me, yes.
19	Q You've never seen this article before?
20	A I have not read this article, no.
	Page 157

21	FinalRitaLouie.txt Q Did you know anything about this
22	article prior to today?
23	A No, I did not.
24	Q You never heard that an article like
25	this was published in the New York Times?
1	- Rita Louie -
2	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
3	A No.
4	Q There's a statement that says, "Nearby
5	residents look at Patrick Farm and envision a
6	clone of New Square."
7	Have you ever heard a statement like
8	that?
9	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
10	A No.
11	Q You never heard anyone say that
12	Patrick Farms would be a clone of New Square?
13	A No, I've never heard anyone say that.
14	Q Did you ever hear anyone say that,
15	"You say Patrick Farm and I want to throw up, I
16	literally get nauseous."
17	A I've never heard that, no.
18	Q Have you ever heard anyone say, "You
19	wonder, how can someone drop their own little
20	planet on us," have you ever heard that?
21	A I've never heard that, no.
22	Q That's all I have on that.
23	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
24	be marked.)
4	Page 159

FinalRitaLouie.txt (Whereupon, Article entitled, "Culture

	182
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Clash," Bates No. RC1810, was marked
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 287 for identification.)
4	Q I'm handing you now what's been marked
5	as 287, Mrs. Louie, and ask if you can review
6	that and just review it, please?
7	A Okay. (Perusing document.)
8	Q Have you ever seen this article
9	before, "Culture Clash"?
10	A No, I have never.
11	Q Have you ever heard anyone indicate
12	that the anyone discuss the population growth
13	rate of Orthodox, Hasidic Jews?
14	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
15	A Repeat that question.
16	Q Strike that.
17	Did you ever hear Mr. Rhodes speak
18	about the population growth rate of the Orthodox,
19	Hasidic Jews?
20	A Not specifically.
21	Q Have you ever heard him generally
22	speak about that?
23	A Not that I remember.
24	Q Have you ever heard Mr. Rhodes call
25	for an examination of the population growth rate

2	in Ramapo's Hasidic communities, have you ever
3	heard that?
4	MS. NAPP: Objection.
5	A No, I never heard him say anything
6	like that.
7	Q Have you ever heard Mr. Rhodes
8	indicate that the Hasidic communities' growth
9	rate is unusually high, did you ever hear him say
10	anything like that?
11	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
12	A No.
13	Q How about anything you look like
14	you are struggling with that answer.
15	A The question is you're asking about
16	specific sentences Mr. Rhodes might have said.
17	And I don't remember him saying things like that.
18	Q Did you ever read anything authored by
19	Mr. Rhodes relative to the Orthodox, Hasidic
20	growth rate?
21	A It's possible. I read a lot of
22	articles about a lot of different things.
23	Q Do you have a general understanding
24	that Orthodox, Hasidic Jews have large families?
25	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
1	- Rita Louie -
Т	- KILA LOUIE -

Yes.

be marked.)

MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to

(Whereupon, Emails, Bates No. Page 160

2

3

6 POM33312, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 288 7 for identification.) 8 I'm handing you what's been marked as 288, Mrs. Louie. 9 10 Α (Perusing document.) At the bottom there it looks like it's 11 0 12 an email from you to Brett Yagel. You see where 13 I'm referring to, "I spoke to my friend Susan." It looks like it's an email from you to Nick 14 15 Sanderson and Brett Yagel on July 26th. You see 16 that? 17 Α Uh-huh, yes. 18 You write there in the middle that 19 your friend "believes many firms will want to 20 take this case pro bono due to its high 21 visibility and potential for publicity, as this 22 may become one of the most significant cases of reverse discrimination in history." You see 23 24 that? 25 Yes, I see that. Α

> 185 - Rita Louie -

1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q What did you mean by that, reverse
3	discrimination?
4	A I don't know. I think it's what this
5	woman must have said to me, that she believed it
6	would be a case of reverse discrimination.
7	That's what she believed.
8	Q This woman who you spoke with at a law
9	firm you mean?

	i marricaloure. exc
10	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
11	A I don't know if she's from a law firm.
12	Q Do you recall anything about the facts
13	surrounding that statement that this would be a
14	most significant case of reverse discrimination
15	in history, you recall anything about that?
16	A I don't even remember who this Susan
17	person is.
18	Q That's all I have.
19	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
20	be marked.)
21	(Whereupon, Posting, 1/21/10, Bates
22	No. POM33886, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
23	289 for identification.)
24	Q I'm handing you what's been marked as
24 25	Q I'm handing you what's been marked as 289. Now that looks like it's something called
25	289. Now that looks like it's something called
25	289. Now that looks like it's something called - Rita Louie -
25 1 2	289. Now that looks like it's something called - Rita Louie - an FB post. Would that be a Facebook post?
25	289. Now that looks like it's something called - Rita Louie -
25 1 2	289. Now that looks like it's something called - Rita Louie - an FB post. Would that be a Facebook post?
25 1 2 3	289. Now that looks like it's something called - Rita Louie - an FB post. Would that be a Facebook post? A Yes.
1 2 3 4	289. Now that looks like it's something called - Rita Louie - an FB post. Would that be a Facebook post? A Yes. Q Rita Jablonski, is that you?
1 2 3 4 5	289. Now that looks like it's something called - Rita Louie - an FB post. Would that be a Facebook post? A Yes. Q Rita Jablonski, is that you? A Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6	289. Now that looks like it's something called 186 - Rita Louie - an FB post. Would that be a Facebook post? A Yes. Q Rita Jablonski, is that you? A Yes. Q You write, "Join the group Save
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	289. Now that looks like it's something called 186 - Rita Louie - an FB post. Would that be a Facebook post? A Yes. Q Rita Jablonski, is that you? A Yes. Q You write, "Join the group Save Patrick Farm. It's directly related to saving
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	289. Now that looks like it's something called - Rita Louie - an FB post. Would that be a Facebook post? A Yes. Q Rita Jablonski, is that you? A Yes. Q You write, "Join the group Save Patrick Farm. It's directly related to saving our schools."
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	289. Now that looks like it's something called - Rita Louie - an FB post. Would that be a Facebook post? A Yes. Q Rita Jablonski, is that you? A Yes. Q You write, "Join the group Save Patrick Farm. It's directly related to saving our schools." A Yes.

related to saving our schools? Page 162

14 Α I believe that a large development of 15 that nature would have overtaxed our public 16 school system. 17 Q What do you mean overtaxed? 18 well, the buildings were already full Α 19 to capacity and it would have hurt our school 20 district. Any large development would hurt the 21 school district in any town. 22 Was East Ramapo School District Q 23 closing schools around this time in January of 24 2010? 25 Α They had closed -- I don't know if 187 - Rita Louie -1 2 they had closed any schools at that point, no. 3 You don't remember or you don't know Q whether or not they were closing schools? 4 5 I don't believe they were closing schools in 2010. 6 7 Q That's all I have on that. 8 MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 9 be marked.) (Whereupon, Posting, 1/22/10, Bates 10 11 No. POM33884, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 12 290 for identification.) 13 I'm handing you what has been marked as Plaintiff's 290. And is that again another 14 15 post from you? Yes, it looks like it is. 16 Α You indicate "Save Patrick Farm." 17 Q

FinalRitaLouie.txt 18 What do you mean by Save Patrick Farm? 19 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Save Patrick Farm from 20 Α overdevelopment. 21 22 You indicated earlier that you knew Q 23 that the developer of Patrick Farm was an Orthodox, Hasidic Jew, right? 24 I don't know if I knew that in January 25 Α 188 - Rita Louie -1 2 of 2010. 3 when did you become aware that the Q developer of Patrick Farms was an Orthodox, 4 Hasidic Jew? When I saw the developer at a planning 6 board presentation meeting. 7 You remember when that was? 8 Q 9 I don't remember when that was, no. I don't know the timeline on this. 10 11 MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 12 be marked.) 13 (Whereupon, Posting, 1/26/10, Bates 14 No. 33880, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 291 for identification.) 16 I'm handing you now what's been marked 17 as Plaintiff's 291. And ask you if this was another post written by you? 18 19 Α Yes. You reference "poor developers." What 20 Q did you mean by that? 21

22	A I was being sarcastic.
23	Q In what sense?
24	A You know, whoever owned the property
25	could have already built a housing development if
1	- Rita Louie -
2	they just stuck with the original zoning.
3	Q Which was the one acre zoning?
4	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
5	A Yes.
6	Q So it was your opinion then what, the
7	developers were getting greedy?
8	
	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
9	A It was my opinion, as I wrote, that
10	the developers were dopes.
11	Q For not sticking with the original
12	zoning?
13	A For not sticking with the original
14	zoning, yes.
15	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
16	be marked.)
17	(Whereupon, Posting, 12/27/11, Bates
18	No. 33865, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
19	292 for identification.)
20	Q I'm handing you now what's been marked
21	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 292, and ask again if this
22	was a post by you, a Facebook post?

23

24

25

Α

Okay.

I'm sorry, was this a -- I asked if

this was a Facebook post by you, but you probably Page 165

			190
1		- Rita Louie -	150
2	didn't hea	ar me.	
3	А	Oh, I didn't hear you.	
4		Yes, it is.	
5	Q	Who is Steven White?	
6	А	Steve White is a community activist	
7	and leader	in the East Ramapo School District.	
8	Q	Does he live in Pomona?	
9	А	No.	
10	Q	What was it that you were asking him	
11	to do?		
12	А	I was asking him to mobilize people	
13	from the E	East Ramapo School District to come to	a
14	Ramapo Tov	vn Board meeting.	
15	Q	To oppose the Patrick Farm	
16	developmer	nt?	
17	Α	Yes.	
18	Q	At this time in December of 2011 did	
19	you have a	any idea who would occupy the homes and	
20	residences	s in Patrick Farms?	
21	А	I don't know. I don't know if this	
22	was the me	eeting that I went to at that point to	
23	see the pr	resentation the first time or if it was	
24	after that	or if it was before that.	
25		MR. STEPANOVICH: Andrea, if we take	

2	FinalRitaLouie.txt five, six minutes we are going to reduce
3	this down and we probably have another
4	twenty minutes and that's it.
5	MS. NAPP: Okay.
6	(Recess held.)
7	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
8	be marked.)
9	(Whereupon, Posting, 12/17/11, Bates
10	No. POM33869, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
11	293 for identification.)
12	Q I'm handing you what's been marked as
13	293, Mrs. Louie, and ask you if this is a
14	Facebook post of yours?
15	A Yes.
16	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
17	be marked.)
18	(Whereupon, Posting, 12/17/11, Bates
19	No. POM33868, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
20	294 for identification.)
21	Q I'm handing you now what's 294 and ask
22	you if this exhibit is another Facebook post of
23	yours?
24	A Yes.
25	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
1	192 - Rita Louie -
2	be marked.)
3	(Whereupon, Minutes of Pomona Board of
4	Trustees Meeting, 4/23/07, Bates Nos.
5	RC1339-1381, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit
	Page 167

FinalRitaLouie.txt 295 for identification.) 6 7 I'm handing you what's been marked as Q 295, Mrs. Louie. Now, of the laws that 8 9 plaintiffs are challenging in this lawsuit, you 10 voted on one law only and that was the wetlands 11 law, correct? 12 Α Correct. 13 That was on April 23rd, 2007? Q 14 Α Correct. You believe that the law was a 15 Q 16 thorough law; is that right? 17 Α Yes. 18 You also believe that the law complied Q 19 with everything that the village was looking for 20 to protect its wetlands, right? 21 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 22 Α Yes. 23 In fact, you thought the wetlands law 24 was a great law? 25 Α Yes, I did. 193 - Rita Louie -1 2 Q Can you tell me why you thought it was a thorough law? 3 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. As a new member of the town board when 5 6 this came up, I was actually thrilled that we had 7 a wetlands law in front of us. Because when I was on the planning board the year before we had 8 9 a situation where we were reviewing an

application of a flag lot and the back lot had wetlands in front of it and the applicant had to -- the application that was before us presented us with a problem as a planning board because you had to pass through wetlands to get to the flag lot which was legal. And we had no vehicle as a planning board to deal with the wetlands situation because there was no town board law at the time designating wetlands.

So when I became a town board member

so when I became a town board member and we had a wetlands law being formulated, I was ecstatic about it, because I knew that that would help the planning board when making decisions such as the one I was faced with just the year before in planning board decisions.

Q So then is it your understanding that

- Rita Louie -

the wetlands law which was passed would have been applicable to the situation that you just described?

A Yes, it would have, because it would have given us a delineation of how far the house had to be from the wetlands and whether we could in fact approve the site plan with the two houses on it with a wetland in between. And we would have had a reason to actually deny the plan or approve the plan based on real policy that the village had. But there was no policy at the time.

FinalRitaLouie.txt
The Corps of Engineers wetlands

14

Q

15 regulations didn't take that into consideration? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 16 17 Α The Army Corps of Engineers came out 18 and used a very broad criteria to approve a small bridge to build over the wetland area where a car 19 20 could drive and get to the back lot that was 21 going to be built on. And to this day there is still not a house built on that lot because it 22 23 was -- the approval was just not buildable the 24 way it was approved. 25 I'm trying to follow you there. Q 195 1 - Rita Louie -2 the approval by the Corps was done in such a way 3 that it didn't facilitate the building of the 4 project? Correct. The approval by the Corps 5 Α 6 was in line with the Army Corps requirements and perfectly satisfied their requirements in terms 7 of protecting wetlands. But the approval by the 8 planning board approving a flag lot with having a 9 10 bridge to get over it to get to that house turned out to not be buildable for the developer, it 11 wasn't feasible for them. 12 13 Q So this law then in your opinion it --14 what areas of wetlands did it improve for the 15 village? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 16 17 It didn't necessarily improve any Α Page 170

FinalRitaLouie.txt What it did was give the 18 areas of wetlands. 19 village and the planning board guidelines to go 20 by when there was wetlands presented during an 21 approval process. Which they did not have before 22 that. 23 Does the wetlands law apply to every 24 piece of property in the village? 25 I believe it does. I'd have to review 196 - Rita Louie -1 2 it again just to make sure if there were any exceptions, I'm not sure. 3 This wetlands law that was passed that 4 you voted on, did it exempt any properties? 5 I'm not a hundred percent sure, but I 6 7 think existing houses that are already built 8 would have some exemptions because they would be 9 grandfathered in. 10 You indicated that it "complies with everything that we're looking for to protect our 11 wetlands." What do you mean by that? 12 13 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 14 Q Page 1350, Mrs. Louie, at the bottom. I think at the time we had extensive 15 Α 16 discussions about the law. We were getting ready 17 to vote on it. And I just expressed my opinion 18 that I thought the law was well thought out, was 19 well discussed and was a good law. 20 So in your opinion did this law Q 21 provide guidance where the federal law lacked?

22 Object to the form. MS. NAPP: 23 I believed it at the time, yes. Α 24 You still believe that? Q 25 I still believe that, yes. Α 197 - Rita Louie -1 2 Q So you believe then that the wetlands law was a benefit to residents of the Village of 3 Pomona? 4 5 Α Absolutely. Why is that? 6 Q Wetlands are obviously a very 7 important part of any community, because we do 8 9 have an aguifer, we have many residents who have 10 wells, who have well water, who need that water. 11 And if we have anybody coming in and building 12 over wetlands or filling in wetlands, that would 13 be a detriment to some of our residents. So in your opinion the local law, the 14 15 village law regarding wetlands, did it, I'm 16 trying to figure it out, did it fill a gap that 17 was necessary? 18 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. If you understand what I'm --19 Q 20 I understand the question. It wasn't 21 necessarily filling a gap. It was creating a law 22 that we did not have. And the planning board had 23 no guidelines at all to go by when it came to 24 building on wetlands. And they needed that; 25 otherwise, they would be at a loss and it would Page 172

	198
1	- Rita Louie -
2	be a disadvantage to the village and to the
3	planning board.
4	Q So the way you understand the wetlands
5	law then, it was a law that was necessary to help
6	residents build?
7	A Yes.
8	Q I see, okay.
9	When those residents encountered
10	wetlands issues that was not covered by the
11	federal statutes; is that right?
12	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
13	A Yes. It was a law to help residents
14	build responsibly when wetlands were involved,
15	yes.
16	Q Did you personally compare the law to
17	the state and federal regulations regarding
18	wetlands?
19	A I don't remember doing that, no.
20	Q Do you recall ever seeing any reports
21	from any consultants regarding your wetlands law?
22	A I believe we did at the time when we
23	were reviewing it, but I don't recall them at the
24	moment.
25	Q So it's your understanding as you sit
1	199 - Rita Louie -
1	
2	here today that there was a consultant, a

3	professional consultant that reviewed the
4	wetlands law?
5	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
6	Q If you remember.
7	A I think we had well, we had a
8	planner. I don't know if we had a professional
9	wetlands consultant at that time, I don't
10	remember.
11	Q Who was the planner that you believe
12	reviewed the law?
13	A I don't know.
14	Q You recognize I think I've asked
15	you this, but I want to make sure. You recognize
16	that the village is obligated to comply with the
17	federal wetlands law, right?
18	A Absolutely, yes.
19	Q In your opinion this law was necessary
20	because the type of assistance that you were
21	talking about was not provided in the federal
22	wetlands law?
23	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
24	A No, that's not what I said.
25	Q Okay, I want to make sure.

1	- Rita Louie -
2	A What I said, there was no vehicle in
3	the village code to give the planning board
4	guidance when reviewing projects that involved
5	wetlands.
6	Q Well, isn't the village supposed to Page 174

MS. NAPP: Object to the form.

consider the federal wetlands law?

7

8

8

9

10

well.

Q

9	Q In reviewing projects.
10	A Yes, but as a planning board member
11	the federal laws were way too broad to be used in
12	local planning board decisions. It just wasn't
13	enough to help the planning board make a
14	responsible decision regarding responsible
15	development.
16	Q And that's what I'm trying to
17	understand. And I know I'm probably confusing
18	you.
19	On one hand you said the laws were too
20	broad but then I thought you said the laws were
21	not enough to give the planning board guidance.
22	So that's what I'm confused
23	A They weren't specific enough or they
24	weren't clear enough to give the planning board
25	guidance, which on the other side really means
1	- Rita Louie -
2	
	they were too broad. When I sat on the planning
3	board and we were discussing projects that had
4	wetlands involved, many of the planning board
5	members were very much not sure what to do in
6	terms of responding to the developer and the Army
7	Corps, the owners and the village. So this law

really laid it out and clarified it very, very

Since the passage of this law have you Page 175

	FinalRitaLouie.txt
11	had any issues where you weren't able to resolve
12	them with the application of this law?
13	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
14	A I'm not you know, I haven't sat on
15	the planning board since this passed, so I don't
16	know if anything has come before the planning
17	board at this time.
18	Q So you don't know whether or not this
19	law has in fact had the intended effect that the
20	village had hoped?
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	A I don't know.
23	Q That's all I have on that.
24	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
25	be marked.)
1	202 - Rita Louie -
_	
2	(Whereupon, Email dated 8/3/07, Bates
3	No. POM16948, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit

No. POM16948, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 296 for identification.) I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie, Exhibit No. 196. See if you've ever seen this before. (Perusing document.) I've never seen Α this before. Of course that was your email, one of your emails, rita.louie@pomonavillage.com, right? Α Yes. And you say you've never seen this Q email before? Page 176

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

15 I don't remember seeing this email, Α 16 no. 17 MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to be marked.) 18 (Whereupon, Affidavit In Opposition To 19 20 Defendants' Motion To Dismiss, Bates Nos. 21 RC163-165, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 297 for identification.) 22 23 I'm handing you now -- Well, let me 24 ask you a question, first of all. 25 Mrs. Louie, do you recall attending a 203 - Rita Louie -1 2 Pomona Civic Association meeting on April the 3 4th, 2007? I know that's a while back. I've only attended one civic 4 5 association meeting I believe, maybe two, in my 6 whole life. So that could have been one of them, 7 yes. 8 Q When you were there do you recall 9 seeing a man with a video camera? 10 Α No. Do you recall ever making the 11 12 statement at a Village of Pomona Civic 13 Association meeting that, "Do you see the man with the video camera? You better believe that 14 they will use anything you say against us, so 15 tell everyone to be careful." 16 17 You ever recall making a statement like that? 18 Page 177

FinalRitaLouie.txt 19 Α I don't remember saying those words. 20 Q Do you ever recall saying anything 21 like that? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 22 23 Can I see this? Α 24 Yes, you can. And I'm specifically Q 25 referring to paragraph eleven, but you can look 204 1 - Rita Louie -2 at the whole thing. 3 (Perusing document.) I wasn't Α 4 referring to anyone with a video camera at that meeting. 5 6 Q Would that have been at a village 7 board meeting? It might have been at a village board 8 9 meeting or at a town board meeting. There's 10 people with video cameras at many municipal 11 meetings that I go to. 12 Q Now, after reading all this, does that 13 refresh your recollection that you made that 14 comment that's referred there in paragraph 15 eleven? 16 Α Yes. 17 At a village board meeting. Q 18 Yes, I may have made that comment. Α Did you ever speak on a Rockland 19 Q 20 County radio station regarding accreditation of schools? 21

Page 178

Possibly.

Α

23	Q	Would that	have	been re	cently?		
24	Α	Possibly.	I've	spoken,	I speak	on	the
25	radio all	the time.					

205 - Rita Louie -1 2 Do you recall speaking about accreditation of schools in the Village of 3 Pomona? Α Maybe. I'm not sure. 5 What would you -- I'm just trying to 6 7 get an understanding of what you know about accreditation regarding schools. 8 Most schools are accredited by the 9 Α state based on their curriculum, teaching staff, 10 11 budgets, et cetera, as being real schools. 12 So is it your opinion then a school Q that's not accredited is not a real school? 13 14 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 15 If you understand, you can answer. Q 16 That's my personal opinion, yeah. I 17 think if a school is not accredited, it's not a real school. 18 Does a school have to be accredited by 19 0 20 the state to be a real school? 21 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. I would think the school has to be 22 accredited by the board of education, the state 23 24 board of education to be considered a real

school. Otherwise, anybody could open up a

206 1 - Rita Louie -2 school and say I want to teach people to rip paper in half and call it a school. It's not a 3 school. 0 It's not a school in your definition of school? 6 Under my opinion, yes. It's my 7 Α 8 opinion. 9 Q Does Mr. Nick Sanderson and his wife live on Secor Court? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Are they your neighbors? Q 13 Α Yes. 14 How long have they been your Q 15 neighbors? 16 They lived there before I did, so Α 17 twenty years. 18 Q Prior to you becoming a member of the 19 board did you ever speak to Mr. Sanderson and 20 express your opinions to him about village issues? 21 22 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 23 Prior to me becoming a board member? Α 24 Q Yes. 25 He was the mayor at the time, so 207 - Rita Louie -1 2 possibly.

Q	FinalRitaLouie.txt Well, prior to him being the mayor and
when he sa	t as a village board of trustee member
did you ev	er speak to him about your opinions on
issues wit	hin the village?
Α	I don't believe so. We weren't that
friendly.	
Q	At a certain point you became
friendlier	?
Α	Only would see him once or twice a
year at vi	llage block parties.
Q	How close does he live to you?
Α	A quarter of a mile down the street.
Q	You wouldn't discuss village business
with him w	hen you saw him in the neighborhood?
	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
Α	No, not necessarily.
Q	What do you mean not necessarily? I
don't want	to try to force you into an answer.
You either	did or you didn't or you don't
remember.	
Α	Not no, not specifically. We would
talk about	our dogs when I saw him in the
neighborho	od.
	- Pita Louis -
	when he saddid you ever issues with A friendly. Q friendlier A year at vice Q A Q with him with A Q don't want You either remember. A talk about

80 - Rita Louie -1 Who asked you to run for the board? 2 Q MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 3 Did anybody ask you to run? Q The village board? 5 Α 6 Q Yes.

FinalRitaLouie.txt 7 It was discussed, Brett Yagel and myself and Nick Sanderson discussed running for 8 9 the board together. 10 Q when did those discussions take place? 11 Those discussions, I remember exactly 12 when those discussions took place. It was 13 Christmas Eve at Brett Yagel's house in 2006. 14 That was when you began your discussions with Mr. Yagel and Mr. Sanderson 15 16 about running for the village board? 17 Α Yes. 18 Then you made your decision shortly Q 19 thereafter? 20 Α Sometime in January 2007, yes. I think you answered this. That was 21 Q 22 the first time you ran for public office, back in 23 '07? 24 Yes, it was. Α 25 Have you ever asked anyone to speak Q 209 - Rita Louie -1 for you in public? 2 3 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Α No. 5 Have you ever asked anyone to say Q 6 something in public that you felt you couldn't 7 say because of your position? 8 Α No. 9 Have you ever asked anyone to write Q 10 something for you under their name because of Page 182

FinalRitaLouie.txt your position? 11 12 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 13 Α No. 14 You understand my question? Q 15 I understand your question. 16 No, I'm very able to speak for myself. 17 Q And sign your own name? 18 And sign my own name, yes. Α MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 19 20 be marked.) 21 (Whereupon, Email dated 2/25/07, Bates 22 No. POM19626, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 23 298 for identification.) 24 I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie, what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 298. 25 210 - Rita Louie -1 2 Ask if you recall seeing that email before today? 3 (Perusing document.) No, I don't recall this at all. 4 Now, that looks like it's another 5 email address. You testified to some email 6 addresses earlier. But that was rita@villagecommunityparty.com. Was that another 8 email you had? 9 10 Α That would have jumped directly to my 11 rjlouie@optonline account. I never really 12 corresponded through villagecommunityparty.com. 13 The email reads, "Nick, Rita. I just Q 14 got off the phone with Bob Rhodes. He'll have

FinalRitaLouie.txt the link killed to the RLUIPA letter that PR had 15 drafted. Incidentally, he had not reviewed this 16 prior to being posted on the site." 17 18 Did I read that accurately? 19 Α 20 Q You understand who he was referring to 21 by PR, right? 22 Yes, Preserve Ramapo I would imagine. Α 23 0 Did you ever see the link on the PR 24 website, Preserve Ramapo website to an RLUIPA 25 letter? 211 - Rita Louie -1 2 Α No. Do you have any idea what he's 3 Q referring to here as the RLUIPA letter? 5 I have no idea what this is referring 6 to. 7 This was during the time of your Q campaign, right? 8 9 Α Yes. He writes, "I've saved the PDF file 10 11 prior to it being deleted so that we can forward 12 it to Marcie and ask for her revisions and input," right? 13 14 Α Correct, that's what it says. 15 Were you involved in forwarding any Q 16 letters to Miss Hamilton for input or revisions? 17 No, I was not. Brett Yagel and Nick Α 18 Sanderson corresponded directly with Marci

19	FinalRitaLouie.txt Hamilton.
20	Q Did you ever speak again, I don't
21	want to know the substance of the communications.
22	But did you ever speak personally with Marci
23	Hamilton when you were running for reelection?
24	A No.
25	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
	, and the second
	212
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q You never talked to her on the phone?
3	A No.
4	Q You never spoke to her in person?
5	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
6	Q Face to face.
7	A Only once with Brett and Nick.
8	Q When would that have been?
9	A I don't remember exactly.
10	Q Was it during your campaign?
11	A Yes, it was early in the campaign.
12	Q Where was that?
13	A In Marci Hamilton's office at the
14	university.
15	Q I think you said that was early in
16	your campaign?
17	A Yes.
18	Q January of '07 maybe?
19	A Maybe January. January well, yeah.
20	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
21	be marked.)
22	(Whereupon, Emails, Bates No.
	Page 185

	FinalRitaLouie.txt
23	POM19626, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 299
24	for identification.)
25	Q I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie,
1	- Rita Louie -
2	what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 299,
3	and ask if you've ever seen this before?
4	A (Perusing document.) Yes.
5	Q Who is D.M. Wind?
6	A David Wind.
7	Q Who is he?
8	A He was he just helped me do my
9	website. It's a friend of mine who helped me
10	with my website.
11	Q So under your name there at the very
12	beginning it says Rita Louie is the deputy mayor
13	of the Village of Pomona. So you recall seeing
14	those three paragraphs there?
15	A Yes. I wrote them.
16	Q Oh, you wrote them.
17	Then what did you mean when you say,
18	"We need to be proactive and aggressively pursue
19	the preservation of our history, our culture and
20	our open space." What did you mean by that.
21	A At the time I was getting ready to run
22	for office in the Town of Haverstraw. And I was
23	very concerned about the history and the
24	historical buildings in downtown Village of
25	Haverstraw and the preservation of them.

	214
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q Of the buildings?
3	A Yes.
4	Q So what did you mean by "the
5	preservation of our culture," what are you
6	referring to there?
7	MS. NAPP: John, where are you reading
8	from?
9	MR. STEPANOVICH: The second
10	paragraph.
11	MS. NAPP: Thank you.
12	Q What are you referring to when you say
13	that "we need to be proactive and aggressively
14	pursue the preservation of our" you just
15	described history. And then the next term is
16	"our culture." What culture do you believe needs
17	to be preserved?
18	A The historical culture of the Town of
19	Haverstraw is very deep in terms of from the
20	river the brick-making community all the way up
21	to the mountains in Pomona and through the
22	Ladentown area. The history and the culture and
23	development of that area was very significant in
24	New York State. As well as, you know, not just
25	in Rockland County. That's what I meant by our
1	215 - Rita Louie -
2	culture.
3	
,	Q So again it sounds to me as it you Page 187

4	were referring to some sort of building or
5	environment, what is it that
6	A Yes, the overall culture of the Town
7	of Haverstraw, including the Village of
8	Haverstraw, the history of it, the Hispanic
9	community, the areas in Garnerville that are rich
10	in history, the areas in Pomona and in the
11	Haverstraw section of Pomona that are rich in
12	history and Revolutionary War history. And how
13	that all relates to each other and joins
14	together. And as I campaigned for town
15	supervisor I made many speeches related to this.
16	Q You were working to part of your
17	platform then was to preserve the existence of
18	this culture as you describe it?
19	A The existence of the culture of the
20	Town of Haverstraw historically and currently,
21	yes.
22	Q So that the culture could stay the
23	same?
24	A So that the culture could be preserved
25	and not lost.

You say, "I've worked successfully on such matters on behalf of the residents of In what capacity have you worked successfully on such matters on behalf of the

216

Page 188

- Rita Louie -

1 2

3

5

6

7

Pomona."

residents of Pomona?

8 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 9 Α I have been working on creating a 10 historical district in the Village of Pomona and I have been very vocal in talking to residents at 11 12 our music festival and at other events about the 13 history of Pomona and about making people aware 14 of our history, and the history of the entire 15 area. 16 And also besides the history, are you Q 17 working to make people aware of the culture of the Village of Pomona? 18 19 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 20 In terms of -- I don't think it's -- I don't think that's what that means. 21 22 Is this more campaign rhetoric? Q 23 This is campaign related, yes. And Α 24 it's very broad. 25 Q This went on your website? 217 - Rita Louie -1 2 Α Yes. You indicate there's a need to 3 Q celebrate and seek more representation from 4 Hispanics. And in fact I think that's on Page 3, 5 6 if you turn to Page 3. The third paragraph on 7 Page 3 under "Cultural diversity and history,"

11 A Yes.

Republic."

8 9

10

five lines down. "We should be twinned with

cities in Ireland, Italy and the Dominican

12	Q Why did you believe it was important
13	to do that?
14	A When I was campaigning in Haverstraw
15	the Dominican community was very strong, very
16	politically savvy, very concerned about their
17	representatives; yet many of the people in the
18	Dominican community felt they didn't have
19	adequate representation in their town hall.
20	Q Was that the same for the Italians and
21	the Irish, that they were politically savvy there
22	in Haverstraw?
23	A The Italians and the Irish and all the
24	people in Haverstraw are very active in their
25	political community. But it was just a
1	- Rita Louie -
2	suggestion in my campaign that we twin with some
3	of the cities in some of those countries where
4	our immigrant families come from.
5	Q Are there any Jewish families in
6	Haverstraw that you're aware of?
7	A In the Village of Haverstraw there are
8	probably some Jewish families, but none that I
9	had any large response from during the campaign.
10	Q So is the reason you mentioned
	-

Ireland, Italy and the Dominican Republic is

because you had a response from those groups of

MS. NAPP: Object to the form.

A More or less, yes.
Page 190

people?

11

12

13

16	Q What kind of response would you have
17	gotten from individuals of these backgrounds
18	within your campaign?
19	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
20	A I had relationships with many people
21	in many parts of the town that had different
22	ancestral backgrounds.
23	Q You didn't have any relationships with
24	any Jewish people with a Jewish background?
25	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.

219 1 - Rita Louie -In Haverstraw? 2 Α Wherever you were reaching out to. 3 I don't know. Not that I know of. MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 5 be marked.) 6 (Whereupon, Emails, Bates No. 8 POM16957, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 300 for identification.) 9 I asked you if you knew Bob Prol. 10 Q 11 Α Correct. 12 It sounded as if you didn't know Bob Q 13 Prol. 14 Α Correct. 15 So did you ever meet Bob Prol Q 16 personally? 17 I think I may have met Bob Prol a Α couple of times. 18 19 But did you have a relationship, a Q Page 191

20	friendship with Bob Prol?
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	A No. I remember seeing him at some
23	meetings. I know he used to be vocal and speak
24	out, but I didn't know him personally.
25	Q Do you recall ever emailing with Bob
23	Q Do you recarr ever cinarring with bos
	220
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Prol?
3	A Probably.
4	Q I'm handing you now what's been marked
5	as Exhibit 300. It looks like that's an email
6	from you to Bob Prol.
7	A Okay.
8	Q July 11th, 2007. In response looks
9	like to an email from him, Mr. Prol. Just take a
10	minute and look at that.
11	A (Complying.) Okay.
12	Q Mr. Prol writes to you and Mr.
13	Sanderson, Mr. Banks and Mr. Yagel. He writes,
14	"In case you haven't heard this enough, I speak
15	to many of our neighbors and have yet to find
16	even one person who disagrees that the laws of
17	our village pertain to everyone the same way."
18	Did you hear that sentiment that he
19	just explained there from other residents in the
20	village?
21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
22	A I'm sure I did.
23	Q "Please do not allow the Babad family Page 192

FinalRitaLouie.txt to intimidate you or force you to compromise in

25 this battle."

24

	221
1	221 - Rita Louie -
2	Did you understand who he was
3	referring to by the name Babad B-A capital B-A-D
4	family?
5	A I was not sure, sure. I don't know
6	who the Babad family is specifically.
7	Q But generally do you have any idea
8	that the Babad family was affiliated with the
9	Rabbinical College of Tartikov?
10	A Yes.
11	Q You knew that?
12	A Yes, yes, they are affiliated.
13	Q And you knew that back in July of '07,
14	right?
15	A I don't know if I knew that back then.
16	I know it now.
17	Q Have you ever heard the name Chaim
18	Babad?
19	A Chaim Babad?
20	Q Yes.
21	A I don't know. Maybe.
22	Q Then you respond well, he
23	continues. "There is only one outcome acceptable
24	to the community and that is to maintain our fair
25	zoning laws and the way of life we have all

	222	
1	- Rita Louie -	
2	invested in," right?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q I think that's what you've explained	
5	what you were seeking to do as a board member,	
6	right, to enforce the village's zoning laws?	
7	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
8	Q Is that right?	
9	A Correct.	
10	Q And you understood that that's the	
11	message that Mr. Prol was sending you, right, he	
12	wanted you to enforce the village zoning laws?	
13	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
14	A Yes, that's what he says.	
15	Q He wanted you to maintain not only	
16	zoning laws but the way of life that we have all	
17	invested in, right?	
18	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
19	A That's what he says in his note.	
20	Q You understood what he meant by that?	
21	A Yes, he meant that we wanted to	
22	maintain our zoning laws and the way of life we	
23	have all invested in, yes.	
24	Q You agree with that?	
25	A Yes.	
1	- Rita Louie -	
1		
_	Q In fact, you respond, "Thanks for your	
3	kind words, Bob. It's a little unsettling what's	
	Page 194	

4	FinalRitaLouie.txt going on, but we are sure we can maintain our
5	zoning laws in Pomona and keep our neighborhood
6	rural and diverse. Thanks for all your support.
7	Rita Louie," right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q You indicated it's a little
10	unsettling. What was unsettling?
11	A The onslaught of development that was
12	going on in the perimeter of the village, on
13	every side of the village.
14	Q But there was no development going on
15	inside the Village of Pomona, right?
16	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	A No.
18	Q You understood that, right?
19	A I understood that, yes.
20	Q So enforcing the Village of Pomona
21	zoning laws had nothing to do with what was going
22	on or this onslaught that you talk about,
23	onslaught of development, outside of the
24	village's jurisdiction, right?
25	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
1	224 - Rita Louie -
1	
2	A That's not completely true. Of course
3	it had everything to do with it.
4	Q So the village's zoning laws would
5 6	have an effect on this onslaught of development
	in jurisdictions beyond Pomona?
7	A No, that's not what I said.

8	FinalRitaLouie.txt Q Then tell me what you said. I'm
9	sorry, I don't want to mischaracterize. What did
10	you say?
11	A To maintain our fair zoning laws. And
12	what was unsettling was that there was a lot of
13	downzoning going on in other areas. And I was
14	once again assuring, reassuring a resident of my
15	village that we were not going to be like the
16	other areas outside of the village and that we
17	would maintain our zoning laws and keep our
18	neighborhood rural. It was just another
19	reassurance for one of my village residents and
20	that's what he was looking for.
21	Q But at the time this was happening the
22	only zoning issue was the Tartikov zoning issue,
23	right?
24	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
25	A I was referring to any zoning laws in
1	225 - Rita Louie -
2	our village. I wasn't specifically targeting one
3	set of zoning laws.
4	Q You were trying to reassure a citizen
5	that you would maintain the zoning laws in the
6	Village of Pomona, right?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Even if those zoning laws violated a
9	federal law?
10	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
11	A That had not been an issue at the
	Page 196

FinalRitaLouie.txt 12 There was no reason for me to believe any of our zoning laws violated any federal laws. 13 But isn't it true, Mrs. Louie, that 14 15 your response here was a response that was 16 directed at a very particular piece of property, 17 right? 18 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 19 I'm not reading that from this email Α 20 exchange at all. 21 So what are you reading, are you Q 22 reading this email broader than what it says? 23 Α I am, yes. 24 Did you ever talk to Mr. Prol in Q 25 person about this email? 226 - Rita Louie -1 2 I don't believe so, no. Α 3 Do you recall whether or not the Q Tartikov lawsuit had been filed by the time you 4 got this email? 5 I don't recall. 6 Do you recall when the Tartikov Q 7 lawsuit was filed? 8 No. I don't. 9 Α 10 Does July 10th, 2007 ring a bell? Q I don't remember. I never remember 11 12 dates off the top of my head. 13 You indicate that you wanted to keep Q 14 the -- "But we are sure we can maintain our 15 zoning in Pomona and keep our neighborhood rural

FinalRitaLouie.txt What did you mean about keeping 16 and diverse." the neighborhood diverse? 17 18 Maintaining the demographic makeup of 19 the village the way it is. Accepting all people 20 in all areas of the village. 21 How would you be able to maintain the 22 diversity of the village if you were going to 23 strictly enforce the village zoning laws? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 24 25 It has worked historically since 1967, Α 227 - Rita Louie -1 so there's no reason for me to believe that it 2 wouldn't be maintained, the cultural and economic 3 diversity that it has now. 4 You're familiar with Monsey, right? 5 Q Yes. 6 Α Isn't it true that the Orthodox, 7 Q Hasidic Jews make up a large segment of the 8 population of Monsey? 9 10 Yes, they do. 11 Isn't it also true that the Orthodox, Q Hasidic community makes up now a large segment of 12 the population of Ramapo? 13 14 Α Not all but some sections, yes. What sections would that be? 15 Q 16 The Monsey section. Α 17 Beyond that are there any other sections in Ramapo that the Orthodox, Hasidic 18 19 community makes up a significant portion of?

	FinalRitaLouie.txt
20	A New Square Village.
21	Q Do you believe that once the Orthodox,
22	Hasidic community moves in they tend to take over
23	the community?
24	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
25	A Not necessarily.
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q Do you believe that in any way? You
3	said not necessarily, so I'm trying to find out
4	whether or not you believe that in any way?
5	A No, not always. There's many
6	communities that are made up of some Orthodox,
7	some Christians, some Muslims. All over, in
8	Rockland, in Brooklyn, New York, Long Island,
9	Ohio. There's many mixed communities that have
10	Orthodox and Hasidic people living in them.
11	Q And they seem to all get along?
12	A And they seem to all get along in most
13	cases.
14	Q Are you aware of any cases where they
15	don't get along, the Orthodox, Hasidic community?
16	A No, I'm not.
17	Q That's not the case in Pomona, is it?
18	There's no Orthodox, Hasidic community in Pomona,
19	is there?
20	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
21	A Not in the village, no.
22	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
23	be marked.)
	Page 100

	FinalRitaLouie.txt
24	(Whereupon, Email, 5/11/07, Bates No.
25	POM36709, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 301
1	229 - Rita Louie -
2	for identification.)
3	Q I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie,
4	what's been marked as Exhibit 301, and ask if you
5	recognize that?
6	A I am not completely familiar with
7	this. I don't remember it that well.
8	Q Well, it's an email that you wrote?
9	A But it looks like an email I wrote, so
10	I must have written it.
11	Q You wrote it to Nick Sanderson and
12	Brett Yagel, right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q You indicate, "The Journal News is
15	being used as a pawn in the Tartikov public
16	relations scam and they seem to be falling for it
17	hook, line and sinker."
18	What did you mean by that?
19	A I have no idea. I don't know what
20	editorial this is talking about.
21	Q How did you know in May of 2007 that
22	Tartikov was "paying Rubenstein over \$100,000 to
23	make themselves look good"?
24	A I don't know.
25	Q Do you know who told you that?

	FinalRitaLouie.txt 230
1	- Rita Louie -
2	A I don't know who told me that or where
3	I found that out.
4	Q So was it your understanding that back
5	in May of '07 the Village of Pomona was looking
6	bad and Tartikov was looking good?
7	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
8	Q I'm just using your words.
9	A It looks like somebody wrote an
10	editorial or the Journal News wrote an editorial
11	that was slanted against the village I guess.
12	But not having the editorial in front of me, I
13	have no idea.
14	Q You write, "I agree with Marci, the
15	best thing we could do is ignore them."
16	Them, who are you referring to by
17	them?
18	A The Journal News.
19	Q "But how can we get the rest of Pomona
20	to do it?"
21	Are you asking how can you get the
22	rest of Pomona to ignore the Journal News?
23	A The Journal News it looked like it
24	means the Journal News editorial.
25	Q Were you bothered that the public
1	- Rita Louie -
2	sentiment regarding the Tartikov issue was making
3	the village look bad?
4	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
-	Page 201

5	A I don't think so I don't know. It
6	appears to me in this email that I was bothered
7	that the Journal News was printing a very biased
8	editorial.
9	Q Editorial is an opinion that the
10	newspaper writes, correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Why is it that you believed the best
13	thing you could do was to ignore them?
14	A Because there was no reason to flame
15	the flames what is it, fan the flames on an
16	editorial that was meaningless.
17	Q What flames do you think would be
18	would fan?
19	A I have no idea unless Do you have
20	this editorial? Because I don't know what I was
21	referring to.
22	Q Is it true that you didn't want to say
23	anything in public that would be termed to be
24	discriminatory?
25	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
1	Pita Louis -

- Rita Louie -1 2 No, that's not what this is about at Α 3 all. 4 You just didn't want the debate to Q 5 continue? I'm trying to figure out what it is 6 that you are trying to ignore here. MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 7 Do you know what it is that you are Page 202 8 Q

	T markit calculate. exc
9	trying to ignore?
10	MS. NAPP: Objection. Asked and
11	answered. You can answer again.
12	A It seems to me that the developer had
13	very deep pockets and was able to pay a very high
14	expensive public relations firm, whereas the
15	Village of Pomona did not. So we could not
16	battle against that, so the best thing to do
17	would be to just ignore them and let them spend
18	all the money they want in public relations
19	scams.
20	Q It was in your opinion a scam, a
21	public relations scam?
22	A It was in my opinion a scam.
23	Q Why?
24	A Because developers do it all the time,
25	it's a trick developers use. They pay a lot of
1	- Rita Louie -
2	money to public relations firms to make
3	themselves look like they are doing wonderful
4	things and it's not always the truth.
5	Q That's what you think Tartikov did in
6	this case?
7	A I wasn't sure. I don't know for sure,
8	but it could have.

That's what you thought back in --

That's what I thought back in 2007

So you thought the public relations Page 203

apparently when I wrote this email, yes.

9

10

11

12

Q

Α

Q

13	firm was lying for Tartikov?
14	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
15	A I thought the public relations firm
16	was doing their job.
17	Q Which is?
18	A What they were being paid for.
19	Q Which was?
20	A To try and sway public opinion.
21	Q Do you know if it was working?
22	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
23	A No, I don't.
24	Q The sentiment in your community in
25	Pomona regarding the Tartikov project, is it
	234
1	- Rita Louie -
2	still about the same today as it was back in '07?
3	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
4	A I would say the sentiment about
5	development overall is the same as it was, yes.
6	Q So it looks like Tartikov lost a lot
7	of money.
8	MS. NAPP: Objection.
9	Q I'll retract it.
10	I think I've asked you this Mrs.
11	Louie, and I apologize. The sentiment in Pomona
12	back in 2007 on the Tartikov project was
13	generally negative, right?
14	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
14 15	MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A The sentiment was generally

17	on, because there were too many rumors floating
18	around.
19	Q Do you have any personal knowledge
20	that Mr. Savad reached out to the village board
21	to meet and explain some of the specifics about
22	the project, do you know that?
23	A I think I don't know I think
24	they may have wanted to meet with the village.
25	But I don't know what the premise was to meet
1	235
1	- Rita Louie -
2	with the village board.
3	Q Do you know anything about a meeting
4	that the Tartikov group had at the Comfort Inn in
5	May of 2007?
6	A No, I was not at that meeting.
7	Q Why not?
8	A I don't know why. I don't think I
9	knew about it, actually.
10	Q So this is about a month after you get
11	elected, right?
12	A Right.
13	Q And the developers of the largest
14	project in Pomona is holding a public meeting,
15	right?
16	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
17	A Correct.
18	Q And it was the single most important
19	factor in your campaign, right?
20	MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Page 205

Correct, yes.

Α

21

22 Q And you didn't go? 23 A I don't think I knew about it. 24 Q So it's your testimony that you were 25 not informed of this meeting in May of '07? 23 1 -Rita Louie - 2 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 3 A It's my testimony that I don't believe 4 I was informed in advance of this meeting at the 5 Comfort Inn. And if I was, I don't know why I 6 wouldn't have gone. 7 Q Do you know of anybody else in the 8 village that went to the meeting? 9 A I don't remember talking to anybody 10 about this meeting. 11 Q You don't recall receiving an 12 invitation to the meeting at your home address? 13 A I don't recall receiving an invitation 14 to this meeting, no. 15 Q And you don't recall talking to anyone 16 else on the board about this meeting? 17 A I don't. 18 Q What about talking to anybody else on 19 the board after the meeting, did you talk to 20 anybody then? 21 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 22 A I have no recollection of this Comfort 23 Inn meeting at all. 24 Q Did you read the article in the Page 206		· · ·
Q So it's your testimony that you were not informed of this meeting in May of '07? 1	22	Q And you didn't go?
not informed of this meeting in May of '07? 1	23	A I don't think I knew about it.
1 - Rita Louie - 2 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 3 A It's my testimony that I don't believe 4 I was informed in advance of this meeting at the 5 Comfort Inn. And if I was, I don't know why I 6 wouldn't have gone. 7 Q Do you know of anybody else in the 8 village that went to the meeting? 9 A I don't remember talking to anybody 10 about this meeting. 11 Q You don't recall receiving an 12 invitation to the meeting at your home address? 13 A I don't recall receiving an invitation 14 to this meeting, no. 15 Q And you don't recall talking to anyone 16 else on the board about this meeting? 17 A I don't. 18 Q What about talking to anybody else on 19 the board after the meeting, did you talk to 20 anybody then? 21 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 22 A I have no recollection of this Comfort 23 Inn meeting at all. 24 Did you read the article in the	24	Q So it's your testimony that you were
MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A It's my testimony that I don't believe I was informed in advance of this meeting at the Comfort Inn. And if I was, I don't know why I wouldn't have gone. Q Do you know of anybody else in the village that went to the meeting? A I don't remember talking to anybody about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q what about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	25	not informed of this meeting in May of '07?
MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A It's my testimony that I don't believe I was informed in advance of this meeting at the Comfort Inn. And if I was, I don't know why I wouldn't have gone. Q Do you know of anybody else in the village that went to the meeting? A I don't remember talking to anybody about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q what about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the		
MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A It's my testimony that I don't believe I was informed in advance of this meeting at the Comfort Inn. And if I was, I don't know why I wouldn't have gone. Q Do you know of anybody else in the village that went to the meeting? A I don't remember talking to anybody about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q what about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the		
MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A It's my testimony that I don't believe I was informed in advance of this meeting at the Comfort Inn. And if I was, I don't know why I wouldn't have gone. Q Do you know of anybody else in the village that went to the meeting? A I don't remember talking to anybody about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q what about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the		236
A It's my testimony that I don't believe I was informed in advance of this meeting at the Comfort Inn. And if I was, I don't know why I wouldn't have gone. Q Do you know of anybody else in the village that went to the meeting? A I don't remember talking to anybody about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the		
I was informed in advance of this meeting at the Comfort Inn. And if I was, I don't know why I wouldn't have gone. Q Do you know of anybody else in the village that went to the meeting? A I don't remember talking to anybody about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the		•
Comfort Inn. And if I was, I don't know why I wouldn't have gone. Q Do you know of anybody else in the village that went to the meeting? A I don't remember talking to anybody about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	3	
<pre>6 wouldn't have gone. 7 Q Do you know of anybody else in the 8 village that went to the meeting? 9 A I don't remember talking to anybody 10 about this meeting. 11 Q You don't recall receiving an 12 invitation to the meeting at your home address? 13 A I don't recall receiving an invitation 14 to this meeting, no. 15 Q And you don't recall talking to anyone 16 else on the board about this meeting? 17 A I don't. 18 Q What about talking to anybody else on 19 the board after the meeting, did you talk to 20 anybody then? 21 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 22 A I have no recollection of this Comfort 23 Inn meeting at all. 24 Q Did you read the article in the</pre>	4	I was informed in advance of this meeting at the
Q Do you know of anybody else in the village that went to the meeting? A I don't remember talking to anybody about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	5	Comfort Inn. And if I was, I don't know why I
village that went to the meeting? A I don't remember talking to anybody about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	6	wouldn't have gone.
A I don't remember talking to anybody about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	7	Q Do you know of anybody else in the
about this meeting. Q You don't recall receiving an invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	8	village that went to the meeting?
11 Q You don't recall receiving an 12 invitation to the meeting at your home address? 13 A I don't recall receiving an invitation 14 to this meeting, no. 15 Q And you don't recall talking to anyone 16 else on the board about this meeting? 17 A I don't. 18 Q What about talking to anybody else on 19 the board after the meeting, did you talk to 20 anybody then? 21 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 22 A I have no recollection of this Comfort 23 Inn meeting at all. 24 Q Did you read the article in the	9	A I don't remember talking to anybody
invitation to the meeting at your home address? A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	10	about this meeting.
A I don't recall receiving an invitation to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	11	Q You don't recall receiving an
to this meeting, no. Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	12	invitation to the meeting at your home address?
Q And you don't recall talking to anyone else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	13	A I don't recall receiving an invitation
else on the board about this meeting? A I don't. Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	14	to this meeting, no.
17 A I don't. 18 Q What about talking to anybody else on 19 the board after the meeting, did you talk to 20 anybody then? 21 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 22 A I have no recollection of this Comfort 23 Inn meeting at all. 24 Q Did you read the article in the	15	Q And you don't recall talking to anyone
Q What about talking to anybody else on the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	16	else on the board about this meeting?
the board after the meeting, did you talk to anybody then? MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	17	A I don't.
20 anybody then? 21 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 22 A I have no recollection of this Comfort 23 Inn meeting at all. 24 Q Did you read the article in the	18	Q what about talking to anybody else on
MS. NAPP: Object to the form. A I have no recollection of this Comfort Inn meeting at all. Q Did you read the article in the	19	the board after the meeting, did you talk to
22 A I have no recollection of this Comfort 23 Inn meeting at all. 24 Q Did you read the article in the	20	anybody then?
23 Inn meeting at all. 24 Q Did you read the article in the	21	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
Q Did you read the article in the	22	A I have no recollection of this Comfort
	23	Inn meeting at all.
	24	

25 Journal News the day after the meeting about the

237 - Rita Louie -1 2 meeting? 3 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 4 I don't know what meeting you're referring to. I have absolutely no recollection 5 of this meeting at the Comfort Inn. I don't even 6 7 remember that it happened, seriously. I may have been out of town. You know what, I should check 8 9 the dates. Maybe I was away, because I don't 10 remember it at all. 11 Do you recall anybody speaking at the Q village meeting after May of 2007 and telling 12 13 what happened at the meeting? 14 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 15 It's possible, but I'd have to review Α 16 the meeting minutes to see. 17 Again, I think this will be the last. Q It's hard for me to believe and I'm not implying 18 19 anything by that. 20 So it's your testimony that you have 21 absolutely no recollection about this meeting 22 held by Tartikov in May of 2007 at the Comfort 23 Inn? 24 Α That is my testimony. Unless my brain is on a glitch or maybe I was away that week. 25

1	FinalRitaLouie.txt - Rita Louie -
2	But I have no idea how I missed that.
3	Q Had you known about it would you have
4	gone?
5	A I would imagine so, yeah.
6	Q Why?
7	A Because I go to all the meetings. I
8	go to a lot of meetings.
9	Q You would have gone because you
10	thought it's important as a village official to
11	go to a meeting like that, right?
12	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
13	A I would have gone as a concerned
14	citizen, not just as a village official.
15	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
16	be marked.)
17	(whereupon, Emails, Bates No.
18	POM16952, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 302
19	for identification.)
20	Q Do you know how much Tartikov paid for
21	the property?
22	A I'm not sure. I want to say I
23	don't know. I don't know.
24	Q Back in '07 do you have any idea what
25	that property was worth?
1	239 - Rita Louie -
2	A I probably did at the time, but right
3	now I don't remember.
4	Q I'm handing you now, maybe this will
	Page 208

FinalRitaLouie.txt
It looks like it's an email 5 help, No. 302. 6 chain, but I'm not going to ask you about all of 7 that. I'm going to ask you about the middle 8 there. It looks like it's an email from you to Brett Yagel, copies to deerkill@att.net. Which, 9 by the way, do you recall whose email address 10 11 that is, deerkill@att.net? 12 No. Deerkill is probably Bob Rhodes 13 because he's the Deerkill guy. 14 Then Bob Prol, Nick Sanderson. 15 Tartikov suit. You write, "Here's a better 16 question. Where did the money come from to buy 17 this property? I can't imagine any legitimate 18 investor dishing out four times the value for a piece of property that will generate no income. 19 20 I say follow the money and the truth will be 21 revealed." 22 That's what you write, correct? 23 Correct. Α 24 Now does that, first of all, refresh Q 25 your recollection what Tartikov to your knowledge 240 1 - Rita Louie paid for the property? 2 3 Evidently at the time I thought it was four times the value of the property. 4 5 Of course you're in -- you have an Q understanding of the value of property, correct? 6 7 Somewhat, sometimes. Α You say, "I can't imagine any 8 Q

FinalRitaLouie.txt legitimate investor dishing out four times the 9 value for a piece of property that will generate 10 no income." 11 12 So did you believe back on July 16th, 13 2007 that the purchase was a scam? I may have believed that. I may have 14 15 believed that there was something strange about 16 the price of the property. I may have believed 17 that there was something crazy going on with the 18 amount that was paid for the property. You know, 19 I asked the question, like how did this happen? 20 That was a question I was asking. I had a 21 question in my mind, why would somebody pay so 22 much for this piece of property? Well, it's 100 acres, right? 23 Q 24 Α Yes. In the middle of this beautiful 25 Q

241 - Rita Louie -1 2 village, right? 3 Α Yes. Winding streams. 4 Q 5 Α Bucolic rolling hills. Bucolic rolling hills. 6 Q 7 MS. NAPP: Objection. Question. 8 Q So there is some value to property in 9 Pomona, right? 10 Absolutely. Α 11 You say, "I say follow the money and Q 12 the truth will be revealed."

13	FinalRitaLouie.txt When you say truth that means what
14	do you mean by that?
15	A That means, you know, that there may
16	have been some underlying motivation for buying
17	this piece of property that was not being
18	revealed to the public at the time.
19	Q Did you have any reason back in July
20	of '07 to believe that the property was not going
21	to be used as a rabbinical college?
22	A I had no idea what the property was
23	going to be used for at that time.
24	Q We understand there weren't any
25	specific plans. I know that you've talked about
1	- Rita Louie -
2	that. But you did know that the general plan was
3	to use it for a rabbinical college, correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q With housing for the students and
6	their families, right?
7	A I did not know that, no.
8	Q You did not know that?
9	A I didn't know the whole plan, no. I
10	never knew the whole plan. I still don't know
11	the whole plan.
12	Q I understand that, but what I'm asking
13	is a specific question. Did you know back in
14	July of '07 that the plan had at least a general
15	concept for housing?
16	A The plan had a general concept for a
	Page 211

FinalRitaLouie.txt 17 college with dormitories and that I knew, yes. Dormitories, housing. And did you 18 Q understand at the time that families would live 19 20 in these dormitories? 21 That's what the rumor was. MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 22 23 be marked.) 24 (Whereupon, Emails, Bates No. POM21286, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 303 25 243 1 - Rita Louie for identification.) 2 I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie, 3 what's been marked as Exhibit 303. And do you know who spookrock@gmail.com is? 5 Spookrock is Mike Parietti. 6 Who is Mike Parietti? 7 Q Mike Parietti is -- he lives in Ramapo 8 and he's an activist in the community. And I 9 believe he has run for office, but he helped me 10 on some of my campaigns also. 11 12 Who is Tina Frawley? Q 13 Tina Frawley was a resident, and I 14 don't even know where she lives. I thought she lived in the village, but she might not. 15 In the middle there it looks like an 16 Q 17 email from you January 20th, 2010 writing to Tina. You see where I'm at? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Towards the middle there you write, Q Page 212

21 "In addition, at the public hearing the applicant said 'religious people' would be living here and 22 23 not going to public schools." 24 Α I don't see that. 25 Oh, it's right in the middle. 244 1 - Rita Louie -"In addition, at the public hearing 2 Q the applicant said 'religious people' would be 3 living here and not going to public schools." 4 5 This I think is in reference to a development in Ramapo if I'm not mistaken. 6 Α 7 Yes. what do you mean by, quote, religious 8 Q 9 people? Orthodox, Hasidic Jews? 10 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 11 This is in reference to the downzoning of the Patrick Farm development. And in the 12 public hearing the developer, who was an Orthodox 13 religious Jew, specifically said that religious 14 15 people would be living there and would not be 16 going to the public schools. 17 Q You heard that because you were at the meeting? 18 19 Α I heard that because I was there at 20 the meeting, yes. 21 Why do you have religious people in Q 22 quotes? 23 Α That was a quote. 24 I see. You were just capturing it in Q Page 213

FinalRitaLouie.txt terms used.

245 - Rita Louie -1 2 That's what the applicant said. Α The developer. 3 Q Yes. 4 Α Then you write the next sentence, "The 5 Q town cannot in good conscience change the 6 7 comprehensive plan and zoning to accommodate the 8 development of a segregated community." 9 Did I read that accurately? 10 Α Correct, yes. 11 What do you mean by -- who are you Q 12 referring to by segregated community? 13 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 14 I'm referring to the applicant's 15 reference to religious people who would be living 16 there and not going to the public schools, where he was absolutely indicating that the community 17 would be segregated. 18 Meaning they would what, in your view 19 not assimilate into the surrounding community? 20 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 21 22 Meaning they would be a community of Α religious people not going to the public schools. 23 Do you find that to be a -- Wouldn't 24 Q 25 that be a good thing for the public schools?

	i markitaloure. exc
2	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
3	A It would be a very good example of a
4	town in reference to your previous questions
5	approving zoning that was in violation of the FHA
6	laws.
7	Q What would be?
8	A Changing the zoning laws to
9	accommodate a community of religious people who
10	are not sending their children to public schools
11	as this applicant wanted to do.
12	Q I think I missed something there. Are
13	you saying to do that would be a violation of the
14	Fair Housing laws?
15	A You know, I'm trying to go back to
16	what you were asking about before. I would see
17	it that way. It could be construed that way.
18	Q Why, because the town is catering to a
19	specific religious group?
20	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
21	A Not catering to. But spot zoning
22	to yeah, to create a zone of one religious
23	group, yes.
24	Q Have you ever heard of Kiryas Joel?
25	A Yes, I have.
1	247 - Rita Louie -
1	
2	Q Isn't that what's happening in Kiryas Joel?
3 4	
•	
5	Kiryas Joel over the years. I wasn't involved in Page 215

1?
1?
1?
e?
h
ds?
h
у
of

248 1 - Rita Louie -2 Why? Q It's -- this is America. We are a 3 melting pot. You keep one group out of one area and another group out of another area 5 specifically, that would be discriminatory. 6 7 Well, the residents in Patrick Farms -- this is related to Patrick Farms, right, 8 9 this email? Page 216

10	Α -	This is related to Patrick Farm, yes.		
11	Q	The residents in Patrick Farms would		
12	have a choi	ce as to whether or not they wanted to		
13	live there,	right?		
14	Α `	Yes.		
15	Q	And if they wanted to live amongst		
16	people of a	similar background, is there a		
17	problem with	n that?		
18	1	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.		
19	Q	In your view.		
20	A I	No, not at all.		
21	Q I	Do you believe the Orthodox, Hasidic		
22	community i	community intentionally segregates itself from		
23	assimilatin	g into the general community?		
24	1	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.		
25	Α `	Yes, I do at times. Depends on the		
1		- Rita Louie -		
2	ovtromity o			
	extremity o			
3	•	What sect would be the most extreme in		
4	that regard	?		
5	Α :	I'm not familiar enough to comment on		
6	that.			
7	Q	Do you have any understanding then of		

Jews to segregate themselves in a community?

A The conversations I've had with some rabbis, they have told me that the leaders in the community want to keep their religion pure.

That's a quote.

any religious basis for the Orthodox, Hasidic

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 Do you know, did you understand what Q 15 he meant by that? 16 That was an explanation of why they don't want their community to assimilate. 17 Do you agree with that? 18 Q 19 I don't agree or disagree. It's just 20 the way it is. MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to 21 be marked.) 22 23 (Whereupon, Emails, Bates No. POM16954, was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 304 24 25 for identification.) 250 - Rita Louie -1 2 Q I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie, what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 304. It looks like an email exchange, at least 4 5 on the first page because that's all I'm concerned about, another email exchange with you 6 7 and Bob Prol. 8 Α Yes. 9 And you write to Bob Prol, this is Q July 12, 2007. "Thanks Bob. I'm assuming you've 10 forwarded these comments to Preserve Ramapo, 11 12 et. al. Let's try to get a new group together as 13 a spin-off of Preserve Ramapo, made up of mainly Orthodox Jews and other Monsey residents. There 14 15 are many, many people that want to get involved, but I cannot do it because of my position. We 16 must get rid of St. Lawrence and get Ramapo back 17

FinalRitaLouie.txt 18 on the right track. Thanks again for all your support. Rita." 19 20 Now, that's your email that you wrote to Mr. Prol, correct? 21 22 Α Correct. 23 So on July 12, 2007 you were a member Q 24 of the board of trustees, correct? 25 Α Yes, I was. 251 - Rita Louie -1 2 Is that why you couldn't get involved Q 3 in this, putting together a new spin-off of Preserve Ramapo? 4 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. Α Possibly. 6 I'm just trying to get an 7 8 understanding why you felt that you couldn't get 9 involved in a public grass roots organization. 10 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 11 I think again at the time, you know, I 12 was still new to the board. There was the 13 lawsuit. I guess it was already filed. And I 14 did not want to get involved in any new 15 organizations. 16 Is that because you didn't want your 17 name associated with any of those kinds of organizations? 18 19 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 20 No, not necessarily. My name is associated with many, many organizations back 21

FinalRitaLouie.txt 22 then and going forward and since then. So I 23 don't know why at that time I wouldn't have 24 wanted to be involved in putting together a new 25 organization, unless it was something I didn't 252 1 - Rita Louie -2 feel like I could do at the time. well, if you could just take a minute. 3 This whole email chain really is in reference to 4 5 the Tartikov lawsuit, right? Uh-huh, yes. Tartikov sues village, 6 Α yes. 7 MS. NAPP: I'm just going to interpose 8 a belated objection there. 9 10 (Off-the-record discussion.) 11 The subject of the email is, "Even the Q 12 Jewish blogs support Pomona and want to testify 13 on our behalf." 14 And what is it that you were 15 attempting to communicate here to Mr. Prol in 16 this email? 17 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. I think we were just brainstorming 18 19 about how the Jewish community feels about the 20 proposed, you know, about the lawsuit that had 21 just been filed. 22 So isn't it true that you were trying 23 to get the word out that even Orthodox Jews and other Monsey residents oppose the Tartikov 24

Page 220

25

project?

	253
1	- Rita Louie -
2	A Yes, absolutely.
3	Q You thought it was important that that
4	fact, that Orthodox Jews and Monsey residents are
5	opposed to the Tartikov project, you thought it
6	was important to get that word out, right?
7	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
8	A Yes, I thought it was important to get
9	that word out.
10	Q But you didn't want to have your name
11	associated with that purpose, right?
12	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.
13	A I don't know if that's the reason I
14	couldn't get involved at the time. I may have
15	been too busy with other things, because I was
16	new on the board. I can't imagine any group that
17	I wouldn't want my name associated with. Because
18	like I said, I am involved with many, many groups
19	and I'm not afraid to put my name out there.
20	Q Why do you believe you had to get rid
21	of St. Lawrence and then get Ramapo back on the
22	right track?
23	A I was very angry at the time that the
24	Patrick Farm property had been downzoned. And
25	St. Lawrence sat as the chair and as the

254

	FinalRitaLouie.txt
2	supervisor on that board who was the lead agency
3	on that decision.
4	Q In your opinion back in July of '07
5	Ramapo was on the wrong track?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Why was it on the wrong track?
8	A Because of all the downzoning that had
9	gone on within the year before, in that year.
10	Q And the adult student housing as well?
11	A All of the downzoning. Two acre to
12	one acre, one acre to multi-family, multi-family
13	to adult student housing.
14	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
15	be marked.)
16	(Whereupon, Article written to Journal
17	News Editorial Page, Bates No. POM20042, was
18	marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 305 for
19	identification.)
20	Q You've been handed Exhibit 305, Mrs.
21	Louie. If you could take a look at that?
22	A (Complying.) Yes.
23	Q Now, this is an email to the Journal
24	News editorial page from Rita J. Louie, 1 Secor
25	Court, Pomona, 845-354-0292. Regarding: Pomona
1	255 - Rita Louie -
1	
2	Rabbinical College Not a Natural Progression.
3	Is that what the heading says?
4	A Yes.
5	Q You wrote this email?
	Page 222

6	FinalRitaLouie.txt MS. NAPP: Objection.
7	Q Strike that.
8	Did you write this document 305?
9	A I wrote it together with Brett Yagel.
10	Q So the edits here in this document,
11	were they done by you or Mr. Yagel?
12	A Mr. Yagel.
13	Q So when you say you wrote it with Mr.
14	Yagel, you and he originally drafted it?
15	A I believe I drafted the would have
16	drafted the original letter and sent it to Mr.
17	Yagel and he edited it and sent it out.
18	Q Sent it on to the Journal News?
19	A Correct.
20	MR. STEPANOVICH: (Handing document to
21	be marked.)
22	(Whereupon, Article entitled, "Pomona
23	rabbinical college not a 'natural'
24	progression," Bates No. RC1656, was marked
25	Plaintiff's Exhibit 306 for identification.)
	Trainerry 5 Eximate 300 To. Taenerry eactonly
1	256 - Rita Louie -
2	Q I'm handing you now, Mrs. Louie,
3	what's been marked as 306. Ask if you've ever
4	seen this before, Mrs. Louie?
5	A (Perusing document.) It's the same
6	letter.
7	Q But the author is Lynn Yagel, right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And if you and Mr. Yagel wrote this
	Page 223

FinalRitaLouie.txt 10 same letter, why was it submitted to the Journal 11 News by Lynn Yagel? 12 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 13 Probably because -- the only reason I 14 could think of is that I probably had a letter 15 already submitted to the Journal News just before 16 that and you can't submit more than one letter 17 within thirty days from the same person. So we had drafted the letter but could not send it out 18 19 because the Journal News would not have accepted 20 it. So we may have asked Lynn if she would sign her name to it. 21 22 But you and Mr. Yagel wrote the Q 23 letter? 24 Yes, probably. I mean it appears so, Α 25 yes.

257 - Rita Louie -1 2 You remember writing this letter? Q I vaguely remember writing a lot of 3 Α letters. 4 Do you remember writing this letter? Q 5 6 Α This particular letter? You indicated that you wrote it. 7 Q 8 I vaguely remember writing this Α 9 letter, because I remember making reference to 10 the Revolutionary War. This was what, about a month or so 11 12 before the election, right? 13 Α Yes.

14	FinalRitaLouie.txt Q The regarding line on 305 and then the	
15	title of the letter on 306, "Pomona rabbinical	
16	college not a natural progression."	
17	So what did you mean by that, that the	
18	Pomona rabbinical college is not a natural	
19	progression?	
20	MS. NAPP: Object to the form.	
21	A I believe we were responding to a	
22	statement in a previous letter in the Journal	
23	News that it was a natural progression.	
24	Q Do you recall, I think you may be	
25	referring to maybe a comment by Mr. Savad that	
1	258	
1	- Rita Louie -	
2	the rabbinical college was just a natural	
3	progression of the sort of change in population	
4	in Pomona; is that what you're referring to?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q And your opinion is that it was not a	
7	natural progression, right?	
8	A In my opinion it was not a natural	
9	progression.	
10	Q Why not?	
11	A A natural progression indicates a slow	
12	progressive evolution of demographics and changes	
13	in a town or a village that happens naturally due	
14	to climate or due to areas or due to jobs, not	
15	necessarily putting in a large development.	
16	Q So a natural progression is something	
17	that has to start and then develop; is that	

FinalRitaLouie.txt 18 right? 19 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 20 Over a period of time. Is that right? Q 21 Correct. Α 22 The third paragraph says, "To say that a virtual mini-city within the village that will 23 24 house thousands of homogenous individuals is 25 natural in any way is simply not true." 259 - Rita Louie -1 2 So the homogenous individuals that you 3 refer to there, those are the Orthodox, Hasidic Jews, right? 4 Α 5 Yes. And you knew back then in February of 6 7 '07 that the inhabitants living in the housing in 8 the rabbinical college would be Orthodox, Hasidic Jews, right? 9 10 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. If this was in response to a statement 11 made in Mr. Savad's letter, that's what I would 12 have been referring to. 13 14 0 Isn't it true that you didn't want this letter submitted under your name because you 15 16 were running for election and you didn't want your name associated with this article? 17 MS. NAPP: Object to the form. 18 On the contrary. I would think we 19 20 would have wanted an article in the paper because we were running for election and you want as much 21 Page 226

22	FinalRitaLouie.txt publicity as you can get. But I believe we had
23	already submitted a letter or were about to
24	submit another letter so we couldn't submit it
25	under our name.
23	under our name.
	260
1	- Rita Louie -
2	Q So if you could have signed your name
3	and submitted this letter under your name, you
4	would have done it?
5	A Absolutely.
6	Q I have nothing further.
7	MS. NAPP: No questions.
8	MR. STEPANOVICH: Again, I thank you
9	very much.
10	We'll just I think hold this open on
11	the issue only of the Marci Hamilton
12	retainer.
13	MS. NAPP: Yes.
14	MR. STEPANOVICH: Okay.
15	MS. NAPP: Agreed.
16	(Time Noted: 6:57 p.m.)
17	* * *
18	
19	
20	Rita Louie
21	
22	Subscribed and sworn to before me this day
23	of , 2014 ,
24	
25	

261 1 2 EXHIBITS 3 4 For Ident. Plaintiff's Description 5 Page/Line No. 6 7 Ex 260 Notice of Deposition 4 8 Ex 261 Defendants' 7 20 Supplemental Responses 9 To Plaintiffs' First 10 Interrogatory Ex 262 Defendant Louie's 11 12 6 Supplemental Responses To Plaintiffs' Second 12 Set Of Interrogatories 13 Ex 263 Board of Trustees 7 26 Meeting Minutes, 14 5/9/05 15 Bates No. POM17495 16 Ex 264 Memo 8/29/07, Document 30 3 Hold and Preservation Notice - Privileged 17 and Confidential 18 Bates Nos. POM0007310-14 Ex 265 Affirmation of Rabbi 19 71 7 Fromowitz 20 Bates No. RC267 21 Ex 266 Preserve Ramapo email, 71 19 1/9/07 22 Bates No. POM13255 23 Ex 267 Email dated 8/7/07 78 17 Bates No. POM16497 24 25

262

3

6

4				
5	Plaintiff's Description	For Id Page/L	ent. ine No.	
6				
7	Ex 268 Campaign Literature,	85	10	
8	Endorsement Bates No. POM20043	03	10	
9	Ex 269 Email dated 8/3/07	104	5	
10	Bates No. POM21331	101	3	
11	Ex 270 Email dated 3/17/07 Bates No. POM16974	113	12	
12	Ex 271 Campaign Literature	119	21	
13	Bates No. POM20045			
14	Ex 272 Campaign Literature, Candidate Endorsement	128	13	
15	Bates No. POM20296			
16	Ex 273 Note to Rita Bates No. POM20311	129	23	
17	Ex 274 Campaign Literature	132	24	
18	Bates No. POM33090			
19	Ex 275 Campaign Literature Bates No. POM20516	136	14	
20	Ex 276 Emails	140	20	
21	Bates No. POM16975			
22	Ex 277 Email dated 3/26/07 Bates No. POM21291	153	16	
23	Ex 278 Campaign Literature Bates No. POM21311	155	16	
24	Bates No. POM21311			
25				
				262
1				263
2	EXHIBITS			
3				
4		For Id	Δnt	
5	Plaintiff's Description		ine No.	

Page 229

7					
8	Ex	279	Printout of Journal News article, 4/2/07 Bates Nos. RC1682-83	156	21
9	Ev	280	Posting	159	7
10	ΕX	200	Bates No. PROLO000006	139	,
11	Ex	281	Emails Bates No. POM17112	160	23
12					
13	Ex	282	Emails Bates Nos. POM16969-72	169	21
14	Ex	283	Document pertaining to Conference August 16-18, 2007	171	17
15					
16			Bates No. POM11646		
17	Ex	284	Emails Bates No. POM17094	173	15
18	Ex	285	Emails	177	6
19			Bates No. POM33424		
20	Ex	286	Printout of article, "In a Town Divided, a	180	3
21			Wispy Boundary Between Land Use and Religion"		
22			Bates Nos. RC1618-19		
23	Ex	287	Article entitled, "Culture Clash" Bates No. RC1810	181	25
24			bates NO. RCIOIO		
25					

264

Т				
2	EXHIBITS			
3				
4		For Id	lon+	
5	Plaintiff's Description		ine No.	
6				
7	Ex 288 Emails	184	5	
8	Bates No. POM33312	104	J	
9	Ex 289 Posting, 1/21/10 Bates No. POM33886	185	21	
10	bates No. POM33000			
	Page 230			

ting, 1/22/10 187	10
	13
+ing 12/27/11 190	17
	17
	9
ting 12/17/11 191	18
	10
	3
ting, 4/23/07	
rd of Trustees	3
es Nos. RC1339-1381	
	2
es NO. POMIO340	
	es No. POM33884 ting, 1/26/10 188 es No. 33880 ting, 12/27/11 189 es No. 33865 ting, 12/17/11 191 es No. POM33869 ting, 12/17/11 191 es No. POM33868 utes of Pomona 192 rd of Trustees ting, 4/23/07 es Nos. RC1339-1381 utes of Pomona 192 rd of Trustees ting, 4/23/07 es Nos. RC1339-1381

265

1				۷
2	EXHIBITS			
3				
4	Plaintiff's Description	For I	dent. _ine No.	
5	Francis Description	rage/ i	THE NO.	
6				
7	Ex 298 Affidavit In Opposition To	202	19	
8	Defendants' Motion To Dismiss			
9	Bates Nos. RC163-165			
10	Ex 299 Email dated 2/25/07 Bates No. POM19626	209	21	
11	Ex 300 Emails	212	22	
12	Bates No. POM19626	212	22	
13	Ex 301 Emails Bates No. POM16957	219	7	
14	Page 231			

15	FinalRitaLouie.txt Ex 302 Email, 5/11/07 228 24 Bates No. POM36709				
16	Ex 303 Emails 238 17 Bates No. POM16952				
17 18	Ex 304 Emails 242 24 Bates No. POM21286				
19	Ex 305 Emails 249 23 Bates No. POM16954				
20					
21	Ex 306 Article written to 254 16 Journal News Editorial Page				
22	Bates No. POM20042				
23	Ex 307 Article entitled, 255 22 "Pomona rabbinical				
24 25	college not a 'natural' progression" Bates No. RC1656				
	266				
1					
2	STATE OF NEW YORK) SS.				
3	COUNTY OF ROCKLAND)				
4					
5					
6	I, Gale Salit, a shorthand reporter and				
7	Notary Public within and for the State of New				
8	York, do hereby certify:				
9	That RITA LOUIE, the witness whose				
10	examination is hereinbefore set forth, was				
11	duly sworn by me and that the transcript				
12	of said examination is a true record of the				
13	testimony given by the witness.				
14	I further certify that I am not related				
15	to any of the parties to this action by blood				
16	or marriage and that I am in no way interested				
17	in the outcome of this matter.				
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set Page 232				

FinalRitaLouie.txt 19 my hand this 26th day of June, 2014. 20 21 22 Gale Salit Shorthand Reporter 24